1 2 3 4	Kevin P. Sullivan, OSB # 162383 Sullivan Law Firm 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4600 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone: (206) 903-0504 Facsimile: (206) 624-9292 K.Sullivan@SullivanLawFirm.org				
5 6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF OREGON				
9	PORTI AND DIVISION				
10	VALERIE AILEEN SILVA and) Cause No.:			
11	RICHARD SILVA, a married couple,) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES			
12	Plaintiffs, v.	PERSONAL INJURY ACTION (28 U.S.C. § 1332; 28 U.S.C. § 1391)			
13	BULLSEYE GLASS CO., an Oregon Corporation,)			
14	Defendant.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL))			
15 16		<u> </u>			
17	Plaintiffs allege:				
18	PARTIES, JURISI	DICTION, AND VENUE			
19		1.			
20					
21	Washington.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
ا ۵۷					

THE SULLIVAN LAW FIRM

K.SULLIVAN@SULLIVANLAWFIRM.ORG
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4600
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
206.903.0504

2. 1 Defendant Bullseye Glass Co. is an Oregon corporation with its principal office located in 2 Portland. 3 3. 4 There is complete diversity between the parties and jurisdiction is vested in this court 5 6 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Each Plaintiff's damages exclusive of expenses and accruing interest exceed \$75,000. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as defendant resides in the District of Oregon and the incidents alleged herein took place in the District of Oregon. 8 9 **BACKGROUND FACTS** 10 4. Plaintiff Valerie Silva, age 63, is employed by Fred Meyer at its Portland office facility 11 located at 22nd and Powell streets. This office facility is located across the street from 12 Bullseye's factory. 13 5. 14 Ms. Silva was diagnosed with stage IV (terminal) non-small cell lung cancer on 15 September 28, 2014. The cancer is located in both lungs. The cancer was caused by airborne 16 contamination. Ms. Silva never smoked cigarettes or used other tobacco products, nor did 17 her husband. 18 6. 19 Since her diagnosis, Ms. Silva has received regular medical treatment for her cancer. 20 Ms. Silva has suffered pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life as the result of her 21 cancer, the cancer treatment, and the prospect of an early death. Her mother lived until she 22 was 92 years old. 23 24 7. Mr. and Mrs. Silva have a close and loving marriage. They are the parents of two 25 daughters and have four grandchildren. Ms. Silva has been severely emotionally traumatized 26

by her cancer diagnosis. Her husband Richard has likewise been severely emotionally traumatized by his wife's cancer diagnosis and the devastating experience since her diagnosis, and suffers loss of consortium. They will continue to suffer emotional harm from this situation for the rest of their lives.

8.

On February 4, 2016, Ms. Silva received a notice at work that high levels of arsenic, cadmium and hexavalent chromium were obtained at a testing site located in the Fred Meyer parking lot. These contaminants had been emitted from the smokestacks at the Bullseye facility across the street.

9.

Arsenic, cadmium and hexavalent chromium are classified as class I human carcinogens by the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) have established standards for the allowed emissions of these contaminants. In particular, the EPA established National Emission Standards of Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Glass Manufacturing sources, 40 CFR Part 63, sub part SSSSSS.

10.

According to the protocols and standards set forth by the World Trade Center Claims Administrator (relying on scientific studies), airborne exposure for a period of as little as approximately four years to these contaminants causes lung and other solid cancers. Their toxicity and carcinogenicity have been well known in the United States for decades. In addition, these contaminants act as cancer tumor promoters for lung cancer cells. The suppliers of these contaminants provided Bullseye with Material Safety Data Sheets which state that these contaminants are human carcinogens and thus Bullseye was on notice that it was emitting carcinogens into the atmosphere.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

11.

Bullseye began operation as a stained glass factory at its present location in 1974. As part of the stained glass manufacturing process at its facility, large quantities of arsenic, cadmium and hexavalent chromium are used regularly. Bullseye has continuously emitted these contaminants into the air from this facility in southeast Portland for the past 40 years. In 2014, for example, Bullseye used 1,800 pounds of arsenic trioxide.

12.

Bullseye knew or should have known that it had been emitting significant and unsafe levels of these contaminants for decades. In fact, although it was aware of the dangers caused by these contaminants, Bullseye lobbied and obtained from the EPA an exemption from regulations for these contaminants so Bullseye would not have to treat or filter these contaminants prior to emission.

13.

On July 27, 2010 the EPA sent Bullseye a letter which notified Bullseye that its Portland facility was subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart N, Manufacture of Glass in Periodic Furnaces, attached as Exhibit A hereto.

14.

Portland residents complained to environmental regulators about emissions from the Bullseye facility for decades. Nevertheless, Bullseye continued to emit these contaminants.

15.

DEQ detected toxic levels in Portland's air over the past decade. DEQ did not determine the source of the contamination until recently, as described below.

16.

Starting in 2012, the United States Forest Service began testing of moss in the Portland area. This testing revealed high levels of the above contaminants. Because moss

THE SULLIVAN LAW FIRM

grows on trees and absorbs nutrients and toxins from the air and not from the soil, any contaminants detected in moss are derived solely from the air and rain.

17.

Research and testing by the Forest Service and DEQ established that the Bullseye facility is the source of this contamination of arsenic, chromium and cadmium. In October 2015, DEQ tested the air in the vicinity of the Bullseye facility, and measured arsenic at 159 times the state-established levels, and cadmium at 49 times the state-established levels. In February 2016, DEQ reported that unsafe levels of chromium had also been detected in the vicinity of the Bullseye facility. Attached hereto as Exhibits B and C are tables summarizing the exposure concentrations of these contaminants according to DEQ. DEQ has confirmed in public announcements that Bullseye is the source of the above carcinogenic chemicals into the air. Plaintiffs attach as Exhibit D, two maps developed by the Portland Oregonian from this governmental research which identify the scope and source of the contamination from the Bullseye facility.

Plaintiffs never had knowledge or suspicion that toxic waste from the Bullseve facility had been contaminating the air adjacent to the Fred Meyer office facility until the February 4, 2016 announcement at Fred Meyer. In fact, Ms. Silva went on daily walks for exercise on breaks and at lunch time in the area of the Bullseye facility.

18.

19.

Ms. Silva's lung cancer was proximately and directly caused and its growth promoted by her exposures to the above contaminants from the Bullseye facility.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Strict Liability for Ultra-Hazardous Activity)

20.

26

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of th	
2	Complaint as though fully set forth.	
3	21.	
4	Bullseye knew or should have known that the dispersal and discharge of the above	
5	contaminants into the air would create and has created actual harm to humans, including	
6	Ms. Silva.	
7	22.	
8	Bullseye knew or should have known that there existed, and still exists, to a scientific	
9	probability, the probability that harm to others would result from the discharge of these	
10	contaminants into the air.	
11	23.	
12	Bullseye's improper use and disposal of these contaminants was and is an ultra	
13	hazardous activity.	
14	24.	
15	As a direct and proximate result of these negligent acts and omissions, Plaintiffs have	
16	suffered and will suffer non-economic damages of great physical, mental and nervous pair	
17	and suffering, including the cancer suffered by Ms. Silva in an amount which exceeds the	
18	jurisdictional minimum of this Court.	
19	25.	
20	As a direct and proximate result of these negligent acts and omissions, Plaintiffs have	
21	and will suffer economic damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional amount of	
22	this Court.	
23	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
24	(Negligence)	
25	26.	
26		

1	Plaintiffs reallege a	nd incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 19, 21
2	and 22 of the Complaint as	s though fully set forth.
3		27.
4	Bullseye owed Ms. S	Silva a duty of care not to expose her to harm from the emissions
5	from its facility.	
6		28.
7	Bullseye was negligo	ent in one or more of the following particulars:
8	i)	Emitting cancer causing air pollutants into the air in a
9		residential and commercial area within a large city in such a
10		concentration as to be harmful to Plaintiff Valerie Silva's health;
11	ii)	Allowing an unreasonably dangerous condition on its premises
12		to escape and harm persons such as Plaintiff Valerie Silva;
13	iii)	Hiding from Plaintiffs and the public the fact that it was
14		poisoning Plaintiff Valerie Silva and others by emitting
15		hazardous air pollutants to travel into Plaintiff Valerie Silva's
16		environment;
17	iv)	Failing to warn Plaintiff Valerie Silva that when Bullseye's
18		emission of hazardious air pollutants came into contact with
19		Plaintiff Valerie Silva, the pollutants were likely to cause
20		Plaintiffs to suffer physical and emotional harm;
21	v)	Failing to reduce or eliminate emitting the hazardous air
22		pollutants identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 to levels deemed
23		safe for humans;
24	vi)	Exceeding emissions limits placed on it by state or federal
25		agencies for the identified hazardous air pollutants; and

- 1		
1	vii) Circumventing federal air pollutant standards in violation of 40	
2	CFR 61.19; 40 CFR Part 63, subparts N and SSSSSS, although	
3	Bullseye knew or reasonably should have known that its actions	
4	would result in the emission of known human carcinogens at	
5	unsafe levels.	
6	29.	
7	As a direct and proximate result of this negligence, Plaintiffs have suffered and will	
8	suffer great physical, mental and nervous pain and suffering, including the cancer suffered	
9	by Ms. Silva.	
10	30.	
11	As a direct and proximate result of this negligence, Plaintiffs have and will suffer	
12	additional general and special damages in an amount to be proved at trial.	
13	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
14	(Negligence Per Se For Violation of ORS 468A.010 et seq.)	
15		
16	31.	
17	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 19, 25	
18	and 26 of the Complaint as though fully set forth.	
19	32.	
20	As actual operators and managers of facilities causing contamination at the Bullseye	
21	facility, Bullseye violated the provisions of ORS 468A.010 et seq., which provides that no	
22	person may discharge or emit a noxious contaminant into the air in any manner which will	
23	result in contamination, pollution or a nuisance. The statutes (or their predecessors) have	
24	been operative since before Bullseye opened its facility.	
25	33.	
₂₆		

Bullseye's violation of ORS 468A.010 et seq., and the regulations adopted by DEQ 1 under its authority, proximately caused the Plaintiffs' harms. 2 3 34. These air quality statutes and regulations were intended to prevent this type of injury 4 to people, and Plaintiffs are members of the class of persons for whose protection these 5 environmental protection statutes were adopted. Plaintiffs have suffered individual harm 6 separate and apart from the public at large. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 8 (Loss of Consortium) 9 35. 10 Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 19, 25 11 and 26 of the Complaint. 12 36. 13 At all material times herein Plaintiffs were married and continue to be married. 14 15 37. 16 As a result of the wrongful and negligent acts of Bullseve, the Plaintiffs were caused to suffer, and will continue to suffer in the future, loss of consortium, loss of society, 17 affection, assistance, and conjugal fellowship, all to the detriment of their marital 18 19 relationship. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 20 (Battery as to Plaintiff Valerie Silva Only) 21 22 38. Plaintiff, Valerie Silva, realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 23 through 19, 24 and 28 of the Complaint. 24 25 39. 26

1	Bullseye caused non-consensual, harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff Valerie
2	Silva by virtue of its conduct as alleged above.
3	40.
4	Bullseye's actions directly or indirectly caused a non-consensual, harmful or offensive
5	contact with Plaintiff Valerie Silva.
6	41.
7	Bullseye's conduct of knowingly emitting noxious contaminants in the location
8	proximate to Plaintiff Valerie Silva's workplace and where Bullseye knew persons such as
9	Plaintiff Valerie Silva would inhale or otherwise come into contact with said contaminants,
10	was careless, willful, wanton and violated Plaintiff Valerie Silva's right to be free from
11	Bullseye's misconduct, justifying an award of punitive damages.
12	42.
13	Plaintiffs reserve the right to add a request for punitive damages upon request to this
14	Court after discovery is completed.
15	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	43.
17	Plaintiffs demand a jury for trial of all of their claims.
18	REQUEST FOR RELIEF
19	Plaintiffs request the following relief:
20	1. For an award of actual and punitive damages on their claims.
21	2. For prejudgment interest.
22	3. For costs and attorneys' fees.
23	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems fair and equitable.
24	
25	

DATED this 14th day of June, 2016.

5

THE SULLIVAN LAW FIRM

Kevin P. Sullivan, *OSB #: 162383*