ACTON PARK TENNIS RATING GUIDE



This is the National Tennis Rating Program (NTRP) levels alongside the corresponding David Lloyd class level. If you are unsure of your level or are a new member, please speak to our Raquets Manager, Bridie or one of the coaching team who will be able to advise.

Racquets.ActonPark@davidlloyd.co.uk

| Level | Rating | FOREHAND | BACKHAND | SERVE / RTN OF SERVE | VOLLEY | SPECIAL SHOTS | PLAYING STYLE |
|------------------|------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Brand new player | 1.0 | This player is just starting to | olay tennis. | | | | |
| Beginner 1 | 1.5 | This player has limited experience and is still working primarily on getting the ball into play. | | | | | |
| Beginner 2 | 2.0 | Incomplete swing; lacks directional intent | Avoids backhands; erratic contact; grip problems; incomplete swing | Incomplete service motion; double faults common; toss is inconsistent; return of serve erratic | Reluctant to play net; avoids backhand; lacks footwork | | Familiar with basic positions for singles and doubles play; frequently out of position |
| Improver 1 | 2.5 | Form developing; prepared for moderately paced shots | Grip and preparation problems; often chooses to hit forehand instead of backhand | Attempting a full swing; can get the ball in play at slow pace; inconsistent toss; can return slow- paced serve | Uncomfortable at net, especially on the backhand side; frequently uses forehand racquet face on backhand volleys | Can lob intentionally but with little control; can make contact on overheads | Can sustain a short rally of slow pace; modest consistency; weak court coverage; usually remains in the initial doubles position |
| Improver 2 | 3.0 | Fairly consistent with some directional intent; lacks depth control | Frequently prepared; starting to hit with fair consistency on moderate shots | Developing rhythm; little consistency when trying for power; second serve is often considerably slower than first serve; can return serve with fair consistency | Consistent forehand volley; inconsistent backhand volley; has trouble with low and wide shots | Can lob fairly consistently on moderate shots | Fairly consistent on medium- paced shots; most common doubles formation is still one up, one back; approaches net when play dictates but weak in execution |
| Intermediate 1 | 3.5 | Improved consistency and variety on moderate shots with directional control; developing spin | Hits with directional control on moderate shots; has difficulty on high or hard shots; returns difficult shots defensively | Starting to serve with control and some power; developing spin; can return serve consistently with directional control on moderate shots | More aggressive net play; some ability to cover side shots; uses proper footwork; can direct forehand volleys; controls backhand volley but with little offense; difficulty in putting volleys away | Consistent overhead on shots within reach; developing approach shots, drop shots, and half volleys | Improved consistency on moderate shots with directional control; improved court cover- age; starting to look for the opportunity to come to the net; developing teamwork in doubles |
| Intermediate 2 | 4.0 | Good consistency; hits with depth and control on moderate shots; may try to hit too good a placement on a difficult shot | Directs the ball with consistency and depth on moderate shots; developing spin | Places both first and second serves, often with power on first serve; uses spin; dependable return of serve; can return with depth in singles and mix returns in doubles | Depth and control on forehand volley; can direct backhand volleys but usually lacks depth; developing wide and low volleys on both sides of the body | Can put away easy overheads; can poach in doubles; follows aggressive shots to the net; beginning to finish point off; can hit to opponent's weaknesses; able to lob defensively on difficult shots and offensively on set-ups | Good consistency on ground strokes with directional control and depth demonstrated on moderate shots; not yet playing good percentage tennis; teamwork in doubles is evident; rallies may still be lost due to impatience |
| Advanced 1 | 4.5 | Very good consistency; uses speed and spin effectively; controls depth well; tends to over-hit on difficult shots; offensive on moderate shots | Can control direction and depth but may break down under pressure; offensive on moderate shots | Aggressive serving with limited double faults; uses power and spin; developing offense; on second serve frequently hits with good depth and placement; frequently hits aggressive service returns; can take pace off with moderate success in doubles | Can handle a mixed sequence of volleys; good footwork; has depth and directional control on backhand; developing touch; most common error is still overhitting | Hits approach shots with good depth and control; can consistently hit volleys and over- heads to end the point | Very good consistency; more intentional variety in game; is hitting with more pace; covers up weaknesses well; begin- ning to vary game plan according to opponent; aggressive net play is common in doubles; good anticipation; beginning to handle pace |
| Advanced 2 | 5.0 | Strong shots with control, depth, and spin; uses forehand to set up offensive situations; has developed good touch; consistent on passing shots | Can use backhand as an aggressive shot with good consistency; has good direction and depth on most shots; varies spin | Serve is placed effectively with intent of hitting to a weakness or developing an offensive situation; has a variety of serves to rely on; good depth, spin, and placement on most second serves to force weak return or set up next shot; can mix aggressive and off-paced service returns with control, depth, and spin | Can hit most volleys with depth, pace and direction; plays difficult volleys with depth; given an opportunity volley is often hit for a winner | Approach shots and passing shots are hit with pace and high degree of effectiveness; can lob offensively; overhead can be hit from any position; hits mid-court volleys with consistency | Frequently has an outstanding shot, consistency, or attribute around which game is built; can vary game plan according to opponent; this player is "match wise," plays percentage tennis and "beats himself or herself" less than the 4.5 player; solid teamwork in doubles is evident; game breaks down mentally and physically more often than the 5.5 player |
| Advanced + | 5.5 | This player is capable of hitting dependable shots in stress situations; has developed good anticipation; can pick up cues from such things as oppo- nent's toss, body position, backswing, preparation; first and second serves can be depended on in stress situations and can be hit offensively at any time; can analyze and exploit opponent's weaknesses; can vary strategies and style of play in a competitive situation. | | | Players in Wheelchairs: Players in wheelchairs should use these general characteristics to determine their skill level. The only differences are as follows: Mobility: While players in wheelchairs may have skills that would normally provide them a certain rating, the mobility factor suggests that when competing against able-bodied players, they should participate at an NTRP skill level that provides for competitive rather than compatible play. | | |
| PRO | 6.0 to 7.0 | | tournament competition at the ctional and/or national ranking ding at the 7.0 level and has d-class player who is committ | e junior level and collegiate g. The 6.5 player has a extensive satellite tournament ted to tournament competition | Serving ability: Due to the nature of the player's injury or disability, a powerful serve may not be possible. In this case, it may be more realistic to self-rate below 4.0, as service strength becomes key beyond this level. Many tournament players in wheelchairs have already received a rating. Wheelchair players should check with players whose skills match their own before determining their rating. The very best world-class players in wheelchairs have a rating in the low 4.5s. | | |