

Submitted to San Miguel Electric Cooperative, Inc. 6200 FM 3387 Christine, Texas 78012 Submitted by AECOM 9400 Amberglen Boulevard Austin, Texas 78729

January 10, 2018

CCR Annual Inspection §257.83 (b)

for the

Equalization Pond

at the

San Miguel Plant

Revision 0

Table of Contents

Execu	utive Sun	nmary	,
1 Inti	roductio	nmary n	1
		se of this Report	
2 An	nual Insp	pection Description	2
2.1	Annual	I Inspection	2-
	2.1.1	Review of Available Information	
	2.1.2	Visual Inspection	2-
2.2	Conten	nt of the Inspection Report	2-2
2.3	Freque	ency of Inspections	2-:
2.4	Deficie	ency Identified	2
	2.4.1	Previous Inspection	
	2.4.2	Current Inspection	2-
3 Lin	nitations	<u> </u>	3-

Tables

Table ES-1 Summary

Table 1-1 CCR Rule Cross Reference Table

Table 1-1 Depth and Elevation of Impounded Water

Table 2-2 Areas of Concern (Inspected: January 4, 2017)

Table 2-3 Areas of Concern (Inspected: December 27, 2017)

Appendices

Appendix A Figures

Figure 1 – Site Map

Executive Summary

This Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Annual Inspection for the Equalization Pond at the San Miguel Electric Plant (the San Miguel Plant) owned by the San Miguel Electric Cooperative, Inc. (SMECI) has been prepared in accordance with the requirements specified in the USEPA CCR Rule under 40 Code of Federal Regulations §257.83 (b). These regulations require that the specified documentation and assessments for an existing CCR surface impoundment be prepared based on the timeframe of the initial annual inspection. That inspection was submitted on January 13, 2017, therefore, this annual inspection is due on January 13, 2018.

This Inspection for the Equalization Pond meets the regulatory requirements as summarized in **Table ES-1**.

	Table ES-1 –Summary				
Report Section	CCR Rule Reference	Requirement Summary	Requirement Met?	Comments	
2.1	§257.83 (b)(1)	Annual Inspection	Yes	The CCR Unit has met the annual inspection requirements	
2.2	§257.83 (b)(2)	Inspection Report	Yes	The CCR Unit has met the inspection report requirements	
2.3	§257.83 (b)(4)	Frequency of Inspections	Yes	The CCR Unit has met the required frequency of inspections	
2.4	§257.83 (b)(5)	Deficiency Identified	Yes	Remedial actions and measures have been identified for all noted deficiencies	

The San Miguel Equalization Pond is currently an active surface impoundment. All inspection requirements were evaluated, and the surface impoundment was found to meet all requirements as required within each individual assessment in §257.83 (b).

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this Report

The purpose of the Annual Inspection presented in this report is to document that the requirements specified in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §257.83 (b) have been met to support the requirement under each of the applicable regulatory provisions for the San Miguel Equalization Pond. The San Miguel Equalization Pond is an existing coal combustion residual (CCR) surface impoundment as defined by 40 CFR §257.53. The CCR Rule requires that the inspection for an existing CCR surface impoundment be prepared in a timeframe based on the previous inspection report date of January 13, 2017.

Table 1-1 summarizes the documentation required within the CCR Rule and the sections that specifically respond to those requirements of this assessment.

Table 2-1 – CCR Rule Cross Reference Table				
Report Section	Title	CCR Rule Reference		
2.1	Annual Inspection	§257.83 (b)(1)		
2.2	Inspection Report	§257.83 (b)(2)		
2.3	Frequency of Inspections	§257.83 (b)(4)		
2.4	Deficiency Identified	§257.83 (b)(5)		

1.2 Brief Description of Impoundment

The San Miguel Plant is located in south central Atascosa County in Christine, Texas. The plant is surrounded by open grassy areas, a majority of which is used as pastureland for livestock.

The Plant has three CCR units which include two surface impoundments (the Ash Pond and Equalization Pond) and one landfill (the Ash Pile). This report will focus on the inspection of the Equalization Pond. The Equalization Pond is a diked impoundment that shares its western embankment with a water well storage pond. The perimeter length around the Equalization Pond is approximately 4,500 feet, and the surface area is approximately 25 acres. The maximum pond depth is approximately 16.5 feet with 3.0 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) side slopes on the downstream face and an average crest width of 10 feet. The elevation of the embankment crest is 295 feet 1 with a normal pool level gage elevation of 293.0 feet (24-inches(") below crest). **Figure 1** in **Appendix A** presents the San Miguel Plant Site Map.

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all elevations in this report are in the NAVD88 datum.

2 Annual Inspection Description

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 Inspection requirements for CCR surface impoundments

The Annual Inspection for the Equalization Pond is described in this section. Information about operational and maintenance procedures was provided by San Miguel plant personnel. The San Miguel station follows an established maintenance program that quickly identifies and resolves issues of concern.

2.1 Annual Inspection

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b) Annual inspections by a qualified professional engineer;

- (1) If the existing or new CCR surface impoundment or any lateral expansion of the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the periodic structural stability assessment requirements under §257.73 (d) or §257.74 (d), the CCR unit must additionally be inspected on a periodic basis by a qualified professional engineer to ensure that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR unit is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards.

The Equalization Pond is subject to the annual inspection requirement as mentioned. Thus, the following items were performed to comply with the CCR Rule.

2.1.1 Review of Available Information

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(1);

- (i) A review of available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR unit, including, but not limited to, files available in the operating record (e.g., CCR unit design and construction information required by §257.73 (c)(1) and §257.74 (c)(1), previous periodic structural stability assessments required under §257.73 (d) and §257.74 (d), the results of inspections by a qualified person, and results of previous annual inspections).

The available information was reviewed for the Equalization Pond, including the weekly inspections by the plant personnel, the site assessment performed by CDM Smith for the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) on August 30, 2012, and the previous annual inspection performed by AECOM on January 4, 2017.

2.1.2 Visual Inspection

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(1);

- (ii) A visual inspection of the CCR unit to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures.

The Equalization Pond was visually inspected on December 27, 2017. No signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures were identified. A few minor maintenance issues are listed under section 2.4.2.

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(1);

 (iii) A visual inspection of any hydraulic structures underlying the base of the CCR unit or passing through the dike of the CCR unit for structural integrity and continued safe and reliable operation.

There are no hydraulic structures underlying the base of the CCR unit or passing through the dike of the CCR unit.

2.2 Content of the Inspection Report

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(2) Inspection report. The qualified professional engineer must prepare a report following each inspection that addresses the following:

- (i) Any changes in geometry of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.

The geometry of the impounding structure has not significantly changed since the previous annual inspection.

- (ii) The location and type of existing instrumentation and the maximum recorded readings of each instrument since the previous annual inspection.

The instrumentation includes a gage rod located at the southwest corner of the pond. This gage is correlated to the top of berm elevation. The top of berm elevation at the gage is El. 295 feet (') and the gage reading of 24 inches (") is equivalent to an elevation of 295'. The gage rod reads from 0' to 3'. The gage reading at the time of this annual inspection was 1'4". This indicates that the pond was being maintained 1'4" above the design freeboard elevation.

- (iii) The approximate minimum, maximum, and present depth and elevation of the impounded water and CCR since the previous annual inspection.

The approximate minimum, maximum, and present depths and elevations of the impounded water and CCR since the previous annual inspection varies across the ponds are shown in the table below. Depth and elevation of impounded water data was provided by the SMECI weekly CCR and pond inspection reports.

Table 3-1 – Depth and Elevation of Impounded Water						
	Minimum		Maximum		Present	
	Depth (ft)	Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Elev (ft)
Impounded Water	0.33	293.33	1.67	294.67	1.33	294.33

(iv)The storage capacity of the impounding structure at the time of the inspection.

The storage capacity of the impounding structure is approximately 410 acre-feet, as provided by SMECI.

(v) The approximate volume of the impounded water and CCR at the time of the inspection.

The approximate volume of impounded water and CCR material for the Equalization Pond at the time of inspection was 373 acre-feet.

(vi) Any appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit, in addition to any
existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR
unit and appurtenant structures.

The visual inspection performed on December 27, 2017 did not reveal any actual or potential structural weaknesses. However, AECOM recommends SMECI to address issues regarding

- Standing/ponding water at the downstream toe of the dike on the southeast side of the pond.
- (vii) Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.

There were no changes which might have affected the stability or operation of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.

2.3 Frequency of Inspections

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(4);

- (i) Except as provided for in paragraph (b)(4)(ii) of this section, the owner or operator of the CCR unit must conduct the inspection required by paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section on an annual basis. The date of completing the initial inspection report is the basis for establishing the deadline to complete the first subsequent inspection. Any required inspection may be conducted prior to the required deadline provided the owner or operator places the completed inspection report into the facility's operating record within a reasonable amount of time. In all cases, the deadline for completing subsequent inspection reports is based on the date of completing the previous inspection report. For purposes of this section, the owner or operator has completed an inspection when the inspection report has been placed in the facility's operating record as required by §257.105 (g)(6).

The previous annual inspection report was submitted to SMECI on January 13, 2017. The current annual inspection report was submitted to SMECI on January 10, 2018.

- (ii) In any calendar year in which both the periodic inspection by a qualified professional engineer and the quinquennial (occurring every five years) structural stability assessment by a qualified professional engineer required by §257.73 (d) and §257.74 (d) are required to be completed, the annual inspection is not required, provided the structural stability assessment is completed during the calendar year. If the annual inspection is not conducted in a year as provided by this paragraph (b)(4)(ii), the deadline for completing the next annual inspection is one year from the date of completing the quinquennial structural stability assessment.

The quinquennial structural stability assessment was not performed in 2017. The previous quinquennial structural stability was submitted on October 17, 2016. Thus, an annual inspection report was submitted to SMECI as stipulated in §257.83 (b)(4)(i).

2.4 Deficiency Identified

Regulatory Citation: 40 CFR §257.83 (b)(5);

- If a deficiency or release is identified during an inspection, the owner or operator must remedy the deficiency or release as soon as feasible and prepare documentation detailing the corrective measures taken.

Areas of concern from previous site assessment were reviewed and described below in section 2.4.1. Areas of concern from this year's inspection are described in section 2.4.2.

2.4.1 Previous Inspection

Seven (7) areas of concern were noted during the initial annual inspection performed on January 4, 2017. Corrective measures completed to meet the requirements of §257.83 (b)(5) for each deficiency or observation identified are shown in **Table 2-2** below.

Table 4-2 – Areas of Concern (Inspected: January 4, 2017)			
Deficiency/Observation	Proposed Corrective Measure		
Slight slope softening on northwest levee face.	Slight slope failures were possibly due to the recent dredging activities. The entire area needs to be monitored and if conditions worsen, the area should be scarified and backfilled with cohesive material to adjust to necessary grades. Revegetate as necessary.		
Sparse vegetation observed on west side face.	Revegetation recommended.		
Rutting observed along turns and at various locations along the crest.	Repair damaged areas and regrade.		
Dense vegetation observed on the south and east sides. Ponding and moist/soft spots appear along south and east sides.	Remove/Mow vegetation along embankment slopes and check for visual signs of seepage. Continued groundwater monitoring and sampling is recommended to determine source of ponding.		
Vegetation growth in pond area along the inner face of the embankment/dike.	Remove vegetation and maintain freeboard with a minimum of 18". Remove vegetation and maintain.		
Water ponding around the toe of the downstream embankment (potentially from storm activities prior to inspection).	Ponding water was observed at the localized areas near the toe from approximately the middle section of the southern slope to the south-eastern corner. Sampling of this ponded water is recommended to confirm the water is from surface drainage and not seepage from the CCR unit.		
Accuracy of measuring water depth and volume of CCR material within unit.	Install new staff gages for water level readings and obtain a current bathymetric survey to determine an accurate		

Table 4-2 – Areas of Concern (Inspected: January 4, 2017)			
Deficiency/Observation	Proposed Corrective Measure		
	volume for the existing quantity of CCR material.		

2.4.2 Current Inspection

Eight (8) areas of concern were noted during the annual inspection performed on December 27, 2017. Corrective measures have been proposed to meet the requirements of §257.83 (b)(5) for each deficiency or observation identified as shown in **Table 2-3** below. **Figure 1** shows the location of the listed deficiencies observed during the most recent annual inspection conducted on December 27, 2017.

Table 5-3 – Areas of Concern (Inspected: December 27, 2017)			
Deficiency/Observation	Proposed Corrective Measure		
Dense vegetation observed on the downstream slopes on the south and east sides. Ponding and moist/soft spots appear along south and east sides.	Mow vegetation on a periodic basis. At the time of this inspection (December 27, 2017), it was difficult to visually inspect the condition of the downstream slopes for cracks, seepage or shallow slides.		
	AECOM recommends SMECI to mow the downstream slopes on the south, east and north sides prior to performing additional inspections around the Equalization pond.		
	Slopes did not show any signs of failure at the time of this inspection.		
Vegetation growth along the downstream toes	AECOM recommends mowing to be performed along the downstream toes on the south, east and north sides prior to performing additional inspections around the Equalization pond.		
Vegetation growth in pond area along the inner slopes	Remove vegetation along inner slopes as necessary. Alternatively, grade the inner slopes of the Equalization pond to avoid vertical faces and minimize wave action.		
	The pond elevation was approximately 1-feet 4-inches above the freeboard. AECOM suggests SMECI to maintain the Equalization pond at or below the freeboard elevation in order to properly inspect the inner slopes.		
Prevent wave action from occurring.	SMECI has constructed a 1-foot high berm with flex base on east and south side of the Equalization pond to prevent wave action for major storm events. This is a temporary measure.		

Table 5-3 – Areas of Concern (Inspected: December 27, 2017)			
Deficiency/Observation	Proposed Corrective Measure		
	AECOM understands that SMECI intends to raise the crest of the Ash Pond by approximately 4-inches using flex base material in order to address the concerns related to rutting along the crest of the pond. This will increase the freeboard of the pond as well as add necessary protection against wave action. AECOM also recommends SMECI to give consideration to installing a concrete lined spillway for emergency pond relief.		
Water ponding around the toe of the downstream embankment	Ponding water was observed at the localized areas near the toe from approximately the middle section of the southern slope to the south-eastern corner. Sampling of this ponded water is recommended to confirm the water is from surface drainage and not seepage from the CCR unit.		
Sparse vegetation observed on the inner slopes on the north west side and along the downstream toe on the north east side.	Revegetation recommended.		
Accuracy of measuring water depth and volume of CCR material within unit.	AECOM understands that the Equalization pond was primarily designed as evaporation pond without any outlet. A temporary/mobile pump is used to recycle water back to the plant as service water. The existing instrumentation gage is hard to read. AECOM recommends replacing the existing instrumentation gage prior to the next inspection. AECOM recommends installing additional instrumentation on the Northwest side of the Equalization pond to properly assess the quantity of Ash being deposited in the pond. Other considerations could include performing a bathymetric survey of the pond on a regular basis (every year) in order to determine the quantity of Ash deposited or removed from the ponds.		
Riprap needs realignment.	Realign the riprap along the toe of embankment on the south and east sides.		

3 Limitations

Background information, design basis, and other data which AECOM has used in preparation of this report have been furnished to AECOM by SMECI. AECOM has relied on this information as furnished, and is not responsible for the accuracy of this information. Our recommendations are based on available information from previous and current inspections. These recommendations may be updated as future inspections are performed.

The conclusions presented in this report are intended only for the purpose, site location, and project indicated. The recommendations presented in this report should not be used for other projects or purposes. Conclusions or recommendations made from these data by others are their responsibility. The conclusions and recommendations are based on AECOM's understanding of current plant operations, maintenance, stormwater handling, and ash handling procedures at the station, as provided by SMECI. Changes in any of these operations or procedures may invalidate the findings in this report until AECOM has had the opportunity to review the findings, and revise the report if necessary.

This development of the Annual Inspection was performed in accordance with the standard of care commonly used as state-of-practice in our profession. Specifically, our services have been performed in accordance with accepted principles and practices of the engineering profession. The conclusions presented in this report are professional opinions based on the indicated project criteria and data available at the time this report was prepared. Our services were provided in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other professional consultants under similar circumstances. No other representation is intended.

Appendix A Figures

Figure 1 – Site Map

AECOM 1001 Highlands Plaza Drive West, Suite 300 St. Louis, Mo. 63110-1337 314 429-0100 (phone) 314 429-0462 (fax) LEGEND RUTTING FIII EROSION POTENTIAL PONDING RIP-RAP VEGETATION GROWTH SAN MIGUEL ELECTRIC DESICCATION CRACKS COOPERATIVE, INC. 6200 FM 3387 Christine, TX 78012 SAN MIGUEL PLANT CHRISTINE, TEXAS ANNUAL CCR INSPECTION **PRELIMINARY NOT FOR** RIP-RAP —— ALONG TOE CONSTRUCTION RUTTING -EROSION POTENTIAL — DUE TO LACK OF VEGETATION EQUALIZATION POND PONDING — RUTTING — RIP-RAP — ALONG TOE ASH POND B VEGETATION GROWTH AND PONDING AREA ⁵ EQUALIZATION POND SITE MAP

ISSUED FOR BIDDING		DATE			
ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION					
	52				
REVISIONS					
NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE			
\triangle					
AEC	COM PROJECT NO:	60530067			
DRA	AWN BY:	TYL			
DES	SIGNED BY:	TYL			
CHE	CHECKED BY: MJC				
DAT	TE CREATED:	01/09/2017			
PLOT DATE:		1/5/2018			
SCALE: 1" = 200		1" = 200'			
ACAD VER: 2017					
SHEET TITLE					

FIGURE 1 SHEET 1 OF 1

9400 Amberglen Boulevard Austin, Texas 78729 1-512-454-4797

About AFCOM

AECOM (NYSE: ACM) is a global provider of professional technical and management support services to a broad range of markets, including transportation, facilities, environmental, energy, water and government. With approximately 45,000 employees around the world, AECOM is a leader in all of the key markets that it serves. AECOM provides a blend of global reach, local knowledge, innovation, and collaborative technical excellence in delivering solutions that enhance and sustain the world's built, natural, and social environments. A Fortune 500 company, AECOM serves clients in more than 100 countries and has annual revenue in excess of \$6 billion.