#### No. 22-40043

# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

FEDS FOR MEDICAL FREEDOM; LOCAL 918, AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES; HIGHLAND ENGINEERING, INCORPORATED; RAYMOND A. BEEBE, JR.; JOHN ARMBRUST; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., in his official capacity as President of the United States; THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; PETE BUTTIGIEG, in his official capacity as Secretary of Transportation; DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION; JANET YELLEN, in her official capacity as Secretary of Treasury; et al., Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, No. 3:21-cv-356, Hon. Jeffrey V. Brown presiding

**AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF** 

IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES

#### **SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT**

It is the consensus of the medical community that the currently
available Covid-19 vaccine injections ("Covid-19 injections") do not prevent
the spread of Covid-19. Relevant federal agencies have repeatedly
acknowledged this consensus. Therefore, there is no scientific or legal
justification for segregating injected and un-injected people.

Indeed, since the Covid-19 injections do not confer immunity upon the recipients but are claimed to merely reduce the symptoms of the disease, they do not fall within the long-established definition of a vaccine. They are instead treatments and must be analyzed as such under the law.

Even if the President possessed the statutory and constitutional authority to issue the challenged provisions of the federal worker mandate now before the Court, which the President does not, the substantive due process clauses of our Constitution would require the government to establish that the federal worker mandate is narrowly tailored to meet a compelling state interest. This is a standard it cannot meet.

The challenged provisions of the federal worker mandate are completely unsupported by any local, state or federal legislation or legislative action, as required by our Constitutions. Further, the challenged provisions of the federal worker mandate which seek to segregate the public based upon their private medical histories violate the clear public accommodation provisions built into our Constitutions, which expressly prohibits discrimination in all public accommodations in our nation. The challenged provisions of the federal worker mandate are unconstitutional and illegal. There is no rational basis whatsoever in segregating injected and un-injected groups of people on the basis of their private medical histories, where both groups can still acquire and transmit the virus. Such illegal segregation based on medical history is completely pointless.

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT ON JURISDICTION

Plaintiff/Appellees have submitted overwhelming jurisprudence showing that the Plaintiff employees should not be compelled to first exhaust their administrative remedies under the Civil Service Reform Act ("CSRA"), in response to an unconstitutional and, as shall be seen below, a dangerous "health mandate".

NFFE v. Weinberger, 818 F.2d 935, 940 (D.C. Cir. 1987), and NTEU v. Devine, 733 F.2d 114, 117 n.8 (D.C. Cir. 1984) are indeed controlling, and so amicus wholeheartedly adopts Plaintiff/Appellees' well-founded arguments on jurisdiction.

This is particularly important when considering the adverse medical aspects of this "health mandate". Respectfully, this distinguished Court should consider that "time is of the essence" in this urgent medical matter for both employers and employees. CSRA procedures can be extensive and time-consuming. From the standpoint of a federal employer seeking to enforce mandatory experimental mRNA injections upon their employees, the employer wants the threshold constitutional issue resolved for everyone as quickly as possible, as the employer may believe (incorrectly, as will be seen), that the experimental mRNA injections will protect the workplace. And from the standpoint of the employee asserting his constitutional rights of bodily integrity, privacy, medical freedom of choice, and the right to refuse medical treatments, that employee wants the dangerous threat to his health and livelihood removed as quickly as possible, particularly where an employer's "vaccine deadline" might occur before the CSRA procedures might be completed. It is therefore good public policy and in everyone's best interests that

this Honorable Court follow controlling authority and assert its inherent jurisdiction in this matter of vital public importance, as "time is of the essence".

This is also consistent with FRCP 1, in securing "the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding."

#### **ARGUMENT**

A. Covid-19 injections do not create immunity. They are treatments, not vaccines.

The uncontroverted medical consensus is that existing Covid-19 injections do *not* prevent infection or transmission of the coronavirus, *i.e.*, *they do not create immunity in the recipients*. This is admitted openly today, including by U.S. Health Agencies, which is why the CDC Director stated on CNN, "What the vaccines can't do anymore is prevent transmission." <sup>2</sup> Examples abound:

a. NIAID Director Dr. Anthony Fauci to NPR: "We know now as a fact that [vaccinated people with Covid-19] are capable of transmitting the infection to someone else." <sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> CNN. The Situation Room, interview with CDC Director Walensky. (August 5, 2021). https://twitter.com/CNNSitRoom/status/1423422301882748929

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Stieg, C. "Dr. Fauci on CDC mask guidelines: 'We are dealing with a different virus now." (July 28, 2021). <a href="https://www.cnbc.com/2021/07/28/dr-fauci-on-why-cdc-changed-guidelines-delta-is-a-different-virus.html">https://www.cnbc.com/2021/07/28/dr-fauci-on-why-cdc-changed-guidelines-delta-is-a-different-virus.html</a>

b. Dr. Anthony Fauci on November 12, 2021, referring to the experience of health officials regarding the injections:

They are seeing a waning of immunity not only against infection but against hospitalization and to some extent death, which is starting to now involve all age groups. It isn't just the elderly. It's waning to the point that you're seeing more and more people getting breakthrough infections, and more and more of those people who are getting breakthrough infections are winding up in the hospital. <sup>4</sup>

- c. WHO Chief Scientist Dr. Soumya Swaminathan: "At the moment I don't believe we have the evidence of any of the vaccines to be confident that it's going to prevent people from actually getting the infection and therefore being able to pass it on." <sup>5</sup>
- d. Chief Medical Officer of Moderna Dr. Tal Zaks: "There's no hard evidence that it stops [the Covid-19 vaccinated] from carrying the virus transiently and potentially infecting others who haven't been vaccinated." <sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Coleman, K (November 12, 2021). *Dr. Fauci Just Issued This Urgent Warning to Vaccinated People*. Yahoo News. <a href="https://www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/dr-fauci-just-issued-urgent-201846228.html">https://www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/dr-fauci-just-issued-urgent-201846228.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Colson, T. "Top WHO scientist says vaccinated travelers should still quarantine, citing lack of evidence that COVID-19 vaccines prevent transmission." *Business Insider*. (December 29, 2020). <a href="https://www.businessinsider.com/who-says-no-evidence-coronavirus-vaccine-prevent-transmissions-2020-12?op=1">https://www.businessinsider.com/who-says-no-evidence-coronavirus-vaccine-prevent-transmissions-2020-12?op=1</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Manskar, N. "Moderna boss says COVID-19 vaccine not proven to stop spread of virus." *New York Post.* (November 24, 2020). <a href="https://nypost.com/2020/11/24/moderna-boss-says-covid-shot-not-proven-to-stop-virus-spread/">https://nypost.com/2020/11/24/moderna-boss-says-covid-shot-not-proven-to-stop-virus-spread/</a>.

e. The Surgeon General of the State of Florida, Dr. Joseph Ladapo, MD, PhD: "... the infections can still happen whether people are vaccinated or not.

That's very obvious." <sup>7</sup>

- f. Professor Sir Andrew Pollard who led the Oxford vaccine team: "We don't have anything that will stop transmission, so I think we are in a situation where herd immunity is not a possibility and I suspect the virus will throw up a new variant that is *even better* at infecting vaccinated individuals." <sup>8</sup>
- g. Dr. Jay Bhattacharya, MD, PhD, Professor of Health Policy, Stanford University: "Based on my analysis of the existing medical and scientific literature, any exemption policy that does not recognize natural immunity is irrational, arbitrary, and counterproductive to community health." <sup>9</sup>
- h. 2008 Nobel Prize winner in Medicine Dr. Luc Montagnier (also winner of the French National Order of Merit and 20 other major international awards):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> WFLA News. "Desantis, Moody Speak Out Against Vaccine Mandates in Clearwater." Twitter Repost. (October 24, 2021). https://twitter.com/4patrick7/status/ 1452309002021388296?s=21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Knapton, S. "Delta variant has wrecked hopes of herd immunity, warn scientists." *The Telegraph*. (October 8, 2021). <a href="https://www.msn.com/en-gb/health/medical/delta-variant-has-wrecked-hopes-of-herd-immunity-warn-scientists/ar-AAN9O4p">https://www.msn.com/en-gb/health/medical/delta-variant-has-wrecked-hopes-of-herd-immunity-warn-scientists/ar-AAN9O4p</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Bhattacharya, J., *et al.* "The beauty of vaccines and natural immunity." *Smerconish Newsletter.* (June 4, 2021). <a href="https://www.smerconish.com/exclusive-content/the-beauty-of-vaccines-and-natural-immunity">https://www.smerconish.com/exclusive-content/the-beauty-of-vaccines-and-natural-immunity</a>

\* The vaccines don't stop the virus, they do the opposite – they 'feed the virus,' and facilitate its development into stronger and more transmissible variants... <sup>10</sup>

- i. A study of a Covid-19 outbreak in July 2021 published in Eurosurveillance observed that 100 percent of severe, critical, and fatal cases of Covid-19 occurred in injected individuals. The authors stated that the study "challenges the assumption that high universal vaccination rates will lead to herd immunity and prevent Covid-19 outbreaks." <sup>11</sup>
- j. Dr. Martin Kulldorff, Professor of Medicine at Harvard Medical School: "The bottom line is that these vaccines do not prevent transmission." <sup>12</sup>
- k. Dr. Sunetra Gupta, Infectious Disease Epidemiologist and Professor of Theoretical Epidemiology at the University of Oxford:

[I]t is really not logical to use [these] vaccines to protect other people ... I don't think they should be forced[]on the understanding simply because this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> RAIR Foundation USA video with Nobel Laureate Luc Montagnier. (May 18, 2021). <a href="https://rairfoundation.com/bombshell-nobel-prize-winner-reveals-covid-vaccine-is-creating-variants/">https://rairfoundation.com/bombshell-nobel-prize-winner-reveals-covid-vaccine-is-creating-variants/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Pnina, S. *et al.* "Nosocomial outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant in a highly vaccinated population, Israel, July 2021." *EuroSurveill.* 26:39. (September 23, 2021). <a href="https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.39.2100822">https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.39.2100822</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Adams, P, et al. "Who Are These COVID-19 Vaccine Skeptics and What Do They Believe?" Epoch Times. (October 20,2021). <a href="https://www.theepochtimes.com/who-are-these-covid-19-vaccine-skeptics-and-what-do-they-believe\_4043094.html">https://www.theepochtimes.com/who-are-these-covid-19-vaccine-skeptics-and-what-do-they-believe\_4043094.html</a>

vaccine does not prevent transmission. 13

The Court may already be aware of the countless news reports of outbreaks on fully "vaccinated" sports teams <sup>14,</sup> and cruise ships <sup>15,</sup> and in the fully "vaccinated" White House. <sup>16</sup> There is simply no question that the Covid-19 injections do not create immunity. This was summed up by Moderna Chief Medical Officer Tal Zaks, who "warned that the trial results show that the vaccine can prevent someone from getting sick or 'severely sick,' from COVID-19, however, the results don't show that the vaccine prevents transmission of the virus." <sup>17</sup>

Recognition of this fact may explain why, in August of 2021, the CDC changed the definition of "vaccination" from "the act of introducing a vaccine into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Allen, R. "Oxford Scientist 'It's Illogical & Unethical To Force Jab On NHS Staff." The Richie Allen Radio Show.(September 9, 2021). <a href="https://richieallen.co.uk/oxford-scientist-its-illogical-unethical-to-force-jab-on-nhs-staff/">https://richieallen.co.uk/oxford-scientist-its-illogical-unethical-to-force-jab-on-nhs-staff/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Associated Press. "US sports leagues cope with COVID-19 outbreaks amid variants." (December 15, 2021). <a href="https://www.foxnews.com/sports/us-sports-leagues-cope-with-covid-19-outbreaks-amid-variants">https://www.foxnews.com/sports/us-sports-leagues-cope-with-covid-19-outbreaks-amid-variants</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Lemos, G.*et al.* "17 Covid-19 cases identified on New Orleans-bound cruise ship." CNN. (December 5, 2021). <a href="https://www.cnn.com/2021/12/05/us/cruise-ship-norwegian-breakaway-covid-cases/index.html">https://www.cnn.com/2021/12/05/us/cruise-ship-norwegian-breakaway-covid-cases/index.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Chasmar, J. "Psaki doesn't deny White House COVID-19 outbreak." *Yahoo News*. (December 20, 2021). <a href="https://news.yahoo.com/psaki-doesn-apos-t-deny-210029232.html">https://news.yahoo.com/psaki-doesn-apos-t-deny-210029232.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Al-Arshani, S. "Moderna's chief medical officer says that vaccine trial results only show that they prevent people from getting sick—not necessarily that recipients won't still be able to transmit the virus." *BusinessInsider*. (November 2020)

https://www.businessinsider.com/moderna-chief-medical-officer-vaccines-interview-2020-11

the body to produce immunity to a specific disease" to "the act of introducing a vaccine into the body to produce protection to a specific disease." <sup>18</sup>

However, this newly created CDC definition conflicts with the statutory criteria for a vaccine, which focuses solely upon immunity. In 1986, Congress passed 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-1, which established "a National Vaccine Program to achieve *optimal prevention of human infectious diseases through immunization*. . ." (emphasis added). Clearly, from both a public health standpoint as well as from a legal standpoint, immunization is the intended *sine qua non* of vaccination.

Since they do not create immunity but are claimed to merely reduce the symptoms of the disease, the so called Covid-19 vaccines are treatments, not vaccines. <sup>19</sup> Even the FDA has classified them as "CBER-Regulated Biologics" otherwise known as "therapeutics" which fall under the "Coronavirus Treatment".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Attkisson, S. "CDC changes definition of "vaccines" to fit Covid-19 vaccine limitations." (September 8,2021). <a href="https://sharylattkisson.com/2021/09/read-cdc-changes-definition-of-vaccines-to-fit-covid-19-vaccine-limitations/">https://sharylattkisson.com/2021/09/read-cdc-changes-definition-of-vaccines-to-fit-covid-19-vaccine-limitations/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See, e.g., Moderna *Program Patents*. (December 2021). <a href="https://www.modernatx.com/patents">https://www.modernatx.com/patents</a> United States Securities and Exchange Commission, *Moderna Form 10Q*. (August 6, 2020). <a href="https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1682852/000168285220000017/mrna-20200630.htm">https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1682852/000168285220000017/mrna-20200630.htm</a> Nakagami, H. "Development of COVID-19 vaccines utilizing gene therapy technology."

\*IntImmunol.33(10):521-527. (September 25, 2021). <a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33772572/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33772572/</a> FDA. "Comirnaty. Vaccines, Blood, and Biologics." (December 2021). <a href="https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/comirnaty">https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/comirnaty</a>

Acceleration Program." 20

B. The federal worker mandate is not narrowly tailored to meet a compelling state interest. 1. There is no compelling state interest in mandating Covid-19 injections that do not confer immunity.

The traditional public health justification for mandating a vaccine was set forth in *Jacobson*, *supra*. There the Court stated:

[I]n every well-ordered society charged with the duty of conserving the safety of its members the rights of the individual in respect of his liberty may at times, *under the pressure of great dangers*, be subjected to such restraint, to be enforced by reasonable regulations, *as the safety of the general public may demand*.

197 U.S. at 30 (emphasis added).

Thus, it is the safety of the general public that *Jacobson* cited to justify a vaccine mandate. The *Jacobson* Court also stated it in another manner, but again emphasized the public safety underpinning of the policy: "There are manifold restraints to which every person is necessarily subject for the *common good*. On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> FDA. "Coronavirus (COVID-19) |CBER-Regulated Biologics." (2021). https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/industry-biologics/coronavirus-covid-19-cber-regulated-biologic

FDA: "Coronavirus Treatment Acceleration Program (CTAP)." (2021). https://www.fda.gov/drugs/coronavirus-covid-19-drugs/coronavirus-treatment-acceleration-program-ctap

any other basis, organized society could not exist with *safety to its members*." 197 U.S. at 29 (emphasis added).

Jacobson, to the extent that it is still good law (a point neither contested nor conceded by *amicus* at this time), established that only in the protection of the public from harm does any possible legitimate state interest in compelling vaccines arise. However, since the injections at issue here do not confer immunity upon recipients, they in no way protect the public from acquiring the infection. Unlike in Jacobson, where the prevailing and long-held common belief was that the smallpox vaccine would confer immunity with an approximately 98% success rate, and prevent the public from being infected with a deadly disease from which approximately 30% of the infected would die, the Covid-19 injections do nothing of the sort. As noted above, it is universally accepted that the Covid-19 injections do not stop the transmission or acquisition of the virus between persons <sup>21</sup> and for those under 80 years of age - those generally in the workplace, the percent of infected persons who may die is readily acknowledged as far less than one percent. Accordingly, requiring Covid-19 "vaccination" serves no compelling

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Subramanian SV, et. al. Increases in COVID-19 are unrelated to levels of vaccination across 68 countries and 2947 counties in the United States. Eur J Epidemiol. 2021;1-4. (Sept. 30, 2021). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8481107/

governmental interest at all, and fails the fundamental prong of the strict scrutiny test.

C. Similar vaccine, testing and mask mandates are being invalidated nationwide by recent jurisprudence, emerging data, changing circumstances and milder variants.

The United States Supreme Court recently decided *Nat'l Fed'n of Indep. Bus. v. OSHA*, 595 U.S., 142 S. Ct. 661 (2022), striking down the OSHA's ETS vaccine mandate due to lack of legislative authorization. Similarly, the federal worker mandate also lacks all legislative authorization, and therefore must fail under *Nat'l Fed'n of Indep. Bus. v. OSHA*.

In a consolidated case, *Biden v. Missouri*, 142 S. Ct. 647 (2022), the United States Supreme Court allowed the CMS vaccine mandate for healthcare workers to stand, citing the urgency of addressing the Delta variant. However, the original fourteen states, led by Louisiana and joined by two new states, Tennessee and Virginia, are now relitigating *Biden v Missouri* in the related case of *The State of Louisiana*, *by and through its AG Jeff Landry, etc, et al v Becerra, etc, et al*, 21-cv-03970, WDLA.

Sixteen Attorney Generals in The State of Louisiana, by and through its AG

Jeff Landry, etc, et al v Becerra, etc, et al, 21-cv-03970, WDLA, are relitigating the CMS mandate for healthcare workers in Biden v Missouri due to the waning of the "Delta variant" and the rise of the more harmless "Omicron variant" by their filing on February 4, 2022, of their Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint.

The President's scheme to federalize vaccination policy has hit the skids. The OSHA vaccine mandate was struck down for lack of authorization, Nat'l Fed'n of Indep. Bus. v. OSHA, 595 U.S.\_\_, 142 S. Ct. 661 (2022), and the remnants shelved. See Stephen Dinan, OSHA cancels business vaccine mandate after Supreme Court loss, Wash. Times (Jan. 25, 2022 https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2022/jan/25/. The federal contractor vaccine mandate is enjoined. see Georgia v. Biden, No. 1:21-cv-163 (S.D. Ga. Dec. 7, 2021); as is the federal employee vaccine mandate. see Feds for Med. Freedom v. Biden, No. 3:21-CV-356, F. Supp. 3d\_\_, 2022 WL 188329 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 21, 2022). All that remains is the Interim Final Rule ("IFR") establishing the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") mandate ("Vaccine Mandate"), which survived certain challenges on appeal and was remanded.

In recent weeks, federal authorities have begun to walk back prior claims about the efficacy of the three domestically available vaccines against the now dominant Omicron variant. CDC, *Omicron Variant: What You Need to Know* (Dec. 20, 2021), https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/omicron-variant.html. <u>And that comes amid increasing warnings about the risks and side effects posed by the vaccines. *E.g.*, CDC, *Selected Adverse Events Reported after COVID-19 Vaccination* (Jan. 24, 2022), https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/adverse-events.html</u>

("CDC has also identified nine deaths that have been caused by or were

directly attributed to [thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome] following J&J/Janssen COVID-19 vaccination."); Matthew E. Oster et al., Myocarditis Cases Reported After mRNA-Based COVID-19 Vaccination in the US From December 2020 to August 2021, 327(4) J. Am. Med. Ass'n 331 (Jan. 25, 2022), https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2788346; Jennifer Couzin-Frankel & Gretchen Vogel, In rare cases, coronavirus vaccines may cause Long Covid-like symptoms, 375 Science 6579 (Jan. 20 2022),

https://www.science.org/content/article/rare-cases-coronavirus-vaccines-may-cause-long-covid-symptoms. The IFR purports to address an emergency situation in emergency (and unprecedently heavy-handed) ways. But its rigid prescription—the Vaccine Mandate—utterly fails to account for the fact this emergency is continually evolving. And this structural defect renders the IFR arbitrary and capricious and otherwise unlawful under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 551–559, in multiple ways.

The CDC, under HHS, has recognized staffing shortages by issuing new guidance that permits Covid positive employees to return to work, even if they are still testing positive, while the IFR prohibits COVID-negative unvaccinated individuals from working in covered facilities at all, unless they obtain an exemption.

Despite these changed circumstances, CMS is even now pushing the Vaccine Mandate further, revealing---that the burden to implement this labyrinth of irrational rules falls upon the States."

The State of Louisiana, by and through its AG Jeff Landry, etc, et al v Becerra, etc, et al, 21-cv-03970, Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint, Paras 1-6, WDLA

The mandates are falling around the country. In addition to the federal mandates blocked above, as one example, the mandate affecting the city of

Gainesville, Florida was ruled illegal in Friend, et al v City of Gainesville, 2021-CA-2412-8th-JC-Alachua-FL. Other mandates have been dropped voluntarily, such as Mayor Muriel Bowser dropping the vaccine mandate affecting restaurants and venues in the District of Columbia effective February 15, 2022.<sup>22</sup>

D. It is not good public policy to mandate experimental injections in the face of rising adverse event reports, including mounting fatalities, by segregating injected from un-injected people based on private medical histories.

The plaintiffs herein note that there is an alarming and rising number of adverse events associated with these experimental mRNA injections, including over 10,000 fatalities clinically attributable to the mandated mRNA injections. Plaintiffs draw their data from the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS). In the past, a vaccine trial would have been halted, rather than mandated, after only a few deaths.

For example, in 1976, after only 32 deaths were attributable to the swine flu vaccine, the U.S. government halted the mass vaccination campaign. <sup>23</sup> The New York Times reported on October 13, 1976, that the swine flu program was halted

<sup>22</sup> D.C. Mayor to Drop Indoor Vaccine Mandate for Businesses https://news.yahoo.com/d-c-mayor-drop-indoor-171618749.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CDC data signaling vaccine catastrophe It took only 32 deaths to halt 1976 shot campaign. Free Republic, 2/15/2022 https://freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/4038460/posts

in nine states after only 3 deaths attributed to vaccine shots. <sup>24</sup>

Likewise, in *The State of Louisiana, by and through its AG Jeff Landry, etc, et al v Becerra, etc, et al*, 21-cv-03970, Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint, Paragraph 4, WDLA, above, the increased warnings about the risks, side effects, and deaths associated with the mRNA injections are identified as a significant factor.

The website openvaers.com compiles statistics directly from the CDC's VAERS reporting system. As of the date of this writing, openvaers.com is reporting 28,141 deaths in America alone associated with these mRNA injections, along with thousands of other serious, non-fatal reactions such as 40,553 cases of myocarditis/pericarditis, 52,299 permanently disabled people, and 157,125 hospitalizations, among many others. <sup>25</sup>

We must ask, how can anyone in good conscience mandate anything that might kill you?

## **CONCLUSION**

The plaintiffs' claims are well-founded. The challenged provisions of the federal worker mandate are unconstitutional, illegal, and irrational. These mandates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 'Swine Flu Program is Halted in Three States After Shots' https://www.nytimes.com/1976/10/13/archives/swine-flu-program-is-halted-in-9-states-as-3-die-after-shots.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Adverse event data summaries, openvaers.com, https://openvaers.com/covid-data/

Case: 22-40043 Page: 18 Date Filed: 06/01/2022 Document: 00516340349

do not accomplish their intended purpose in light of the now-accepted facts that these

mRNA injections do not confer immunity and do not prevent transmissibility of the

virus. Therefore, there is no point in segregating injected and non-injected persons.

Mandates are inherently coercive, and true informed consent to medical treatments

can never be coerced. These mandates are ill-advised and bad public policy, in light

of new data emerging about milder variants such as Omicron, as well as emerging

data documenting the rising toll of tens of thousands of deaths and injuries

attributable to these experimental mRNA injections. Plaintiffs are on the right side

of history and are entitled to the relief they seek.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s David A. Dalia

DAVID A. DALIA, BAR NO. 1320

ATTORNEY AT LAW

E-MAIL: davidadalia@gmail.com

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE

AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS

# **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that I have mailed an emailed a copy of the foregoing to all counsel of record, on this 31st day of May, 2022.

<u>s/David A. Dalia</u> David A. Dalia