

# 57 Top Scientists and Doctors: Stop All Vaccinations

Guest writer - 9 maj 2021 kl 14:24



Image: [Peter A. McCullough](#), MD, MPH is one of the experts. Press photo

**A group of 57 leading scientists, doctors, and policy experts has released a report calling for an immediate end to all Covid-19 vaccines and are now calling for an immediate end to all vaccine production.**

*This article was previously published on [En-volve.com](#) (feel free to share this report)*

**There are two certainties** regarding the global distribution of Covid-19 vaccines. The first is that the mainstream media are pushing with all their might to get these experimental drugs into as many people as possible, willing to face the scorn that comes with asking serious questions about vaccines are critical players in the global distribution of the various Covid-19 vaccines in circulation today.

You can read an advanced copy of this manuscript in preprint below. It has been prepared by nearly 50 leading scientists and public policy experts from across the globe to be urgently sent to world leaders as well as all who are involved in the distribution of the various Covid-19 vaccines in circulation today.

There are still far too many unanswered questions regarding the Covid-19 vaccines' safety, efficacy, and they should be heard by everyone, regardless of their views on vaccines. There aren't nearly enough citizens following the orders of world governments, as if they have earned our complete trust. They haven't done enough of accountability and the free flow of information on this crucial subject. Please take the time to read

## **SARS-CoV-2 mass vaccination: Urgent questions on demand answers from international health agencies, authorities, governments and vaccine developers**

Roxana Bruno<sup>1</sup>, Peter McCullough<sup>2</sup>, Teresa Forcades i Vila<sup>3</sup>, Alexandra Henrion-Caude<sup>4</sup>, Teresa García María J. Martínez Albarracín<sup>8</sup>, Alejandro Sousa-Escandon<sup>9</sup>, Fernando López Mirones<sup>10</sup>, Bartomeu P. Leopoldo M. Borini<sup>1</sup>, Mario Mas<sup>1</sup>, Ramiro Salazar<sup>1</sup>, Edgardo Schinder<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo A Yahbes<sup>1</sup>, Marcela Miriam M. Marchesini<sup>1</sup>, Alberto J. Kajihara<sup>1</sup>, Marisol V. de la Riva<sup>1</sup>, Patricia J. Chimeno<sup>1</sup>, Paola A. Gr J. Gatica Baudo<sup>12</sup>, Elisabeth Retamoza<sup>12</sup>, Oscar Botta<sup>13</sup>, Chinda C. Brandolino<sup>13</sup>, Javier Sciuto<sup>14</sup>, Mar Villarroel<sup>15</sup>, Emilia P. Poblete Rojas<sup>15</sup>, Bárbara Aguayo<sup>15</sup>, Dan I. Macías Flores<sup>15</sup>, Jose V. Rossell<sup>16</sup>, Ju Sotomayor<sup>17</sup>, Wilfredo R. Stokes Baltazar<sup>18</sup>, Virna Cedeño Escobar<sup>19</sup>, Ulises Arrúa<sup>20</sup>, Atilio Farina de Callispermis<sup>23</sup>, María Eugenia Barrientos<sup>24</sup>, Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse<sup>5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Epidemiólogos Argentinos Metadisciplinarios. República Argentina.

<sup>2</sup>Baylor University Medical Center. Dallas, Texas, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Monestir de Sant Benet de Montserrat, Montserrat, Spain

<sup>4</sup>INSERM U781 Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Université Paris Descartes-Sorbonne Cité, Institut

<sup>5</sup>School of Natural Sciences. Autonomous University of Querétaro, Querétaro, Mexico.

<sup>6</sup>Retired Professor of Medical Immunology. Universidad de Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico.

<sup>7</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Puerto Rico. Ashford Medical Center. San Juan, Puerto Rico.

<sup>8</sup>Retired Professor of Clinical Diagnostic Processes. University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

<sup>9</sup>Urologist Hospital Comarcal de Monforte, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

<sup>10</sup>Biólogos por la Verdad, Spain.

<sup>11</sup>Retired Biologist. University of Barcelona. Specialized in Microbiology. Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>12</sup>Center for Integrative Medicine MICAEL (Medicina Integrativa Centro Antroposófico Educando en

<sup>13</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Argentina. República Argentina.

<sup>14</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Uruguay. República Oriental del Uruguay.

<sup>15</sup>Médicos por la Libertad Chile. República de Chile.

<sup>16</sup>Physician, orthopedic specialist. República de Chile.

<sup>17</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Perú. República del Perú.

<sup>18</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Guatemala. República de Guatemala.

<sup>19</sup>Concepto Azul S.A. Ecuador.

<sup>20</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Brasil. Brasil.

<sup>21</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Paraguay.

<sup>22</sup>Médicos por la Costa Rica.

<sup>23</sup>Médicos por la Verdad Bolivia.

<sup>24</sup>Médicos por la Verdad El Salvador.

\* Correspondence: Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse, [karina.acevedo.whitehouse@uaq.mx](mailto:karina.acevedo.whitehouse@uaq.mx)

## **Abstract**

Since the start of the COVID-19 outbreak, the race for testing new platforms designed to confer immunity and unprecedented, leading to emergency authorization of various vaccines. Despite progress on early current mandate is to immunize the world population as quickly as possible. The lack of thorough testing authorization based on safety data generated during trials that lasted less than 3.5 months, raise questions about the recently identified role of SARS-CoV-2 glycoprotein Spike for inducing endothelial damage characteristic is extremely relevant given that most of the authorized vaccines induce the production of Spike glycoprotein. The occurrence of adverse effects, and the wide range of types of adverse effects that have been reported to date, driven disease enhancement, Th2-immunopathology, autoimmunity, and immune evasion, there is a need to assess the risks of mass vaccination, particularly in the groups that were excluded in the clinical trials. Despite the risks, mass vaccination have been minimized or ignored by health organizations and government authorities. We need to re-examine the context of health policies, emphasizing critical questions that require urgent answers if we wish to protect science and public health.

## Introduction

Since COVID-19 was declared a pandemic in March 2020, over 150 million cases and 3 million deaths have been reported. Progress on early ambulatory, multidrug-therapy for high-risk patients, resulting in 85% reductions in hospitalizations. The current paradigm for control is mass-vaccination. While we recognize the effort involved in developing SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, we are concerned that risks have been minimized or ignored by health organizations for caution [2-8].

Vaccines for other coronaviruses have never been approved for humans, and data generated in the development of COVID-19 vaccines show that they may worsen COVID-19 disease via antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) regardless of the vaccine platform and delivery method [9-11]. Vaccine-driven disease enhancement in MERS-CoV is known to occur following viral challenge, and has been attributed to immune complex formation and macrophages, which augment T-cell activation and inflammation [11-13].

In March 2020, vaccine immunologists and coronavirus experts assessed SARS-CoV-2 vaccine risks using mathematical models. The expert group concluded that ADE and immunopathology were a real concern, but stated that more data from clinical trials, although continued monitoring would be necessary [14]. While there is no clear evidence of ADE or immunopathology in volunteers immunized with SARS-CoV-2 vaccines [15], safety trials to date have not reported any serious adverse effects (SAE). Given that the follow-up of volunteers did not exceed 2-3.5 months after the second dose, ADE has not been observed. Despite errors in reporting, it cannot be ignored that even accounting for the number of deaths reported in the US Vaccine Adverse Effect Reporting System (VAERS), the number of deaths per million vaccine doses is 1.5 fold. We believe there is an urgent need for open scientific dialogue on vaccine safety in the context of mass vaccination. We describe some of the risks of mass vaccination in the context of phase 3 trial exclusion criteria and discuss adverse effect registration systems. We highlight unanswered questions and draw attention to the need for open dialogue on mass vaccination.

## SARS-CoV-2 phase 3 trial exclusion criteria

With few exceptions, SARS-CoV-2 vaccine trials excluded the elderly [16-19], making it impossible to study the effects of the vaccine on eosinophilia and enhanced inflammation in elderly people. Studies of SARS-CoV vaccines showed that the elderly have a high risk of life-threatening Th2 immunopathology [9,20]. Despite this evidence and the extremely high risk of life-threatening Th2 immunopathology in the elderly, mass-vaccination campaigns have focused on this age group from the start. In phase 3 trials, volunteers, as well as those with chronic and serious conditions such as tuberculosis, hepatitis C, autoimmune diseases, and immunosuppression [16-29], although these recipients are now being offered the vaccine under the premise of

Another criterion for exclusion from nearly all trials was prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This is unfortunately extremely relevant information concerning post-vaccination ADE in people that already have anti-SARS-CoV-2 knowledge, ADE is not being monitored systematically for any age or medical condition group currently, despite a substantial proportion of the population already having antibodies [21], tests to determine if administration of the vaccine are not conducted routinely.

## Will serious adverse effects from the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines go undetected?

COVID-19 encompasses a wide clinical spectrum, ranging from very mild to severe pulmonary pathology, inflammatory, cardiovascular, and blood coagulation dysregulation [22-24]. In this sense, cases of very mild COVID-19 could be clinically-indistinguishable from severe COVID-19 [25]. Furthermore, even in the absence of SARS-CoV-2-induced endothelial damage and hypertension in vitro and in vivo in Syrian hamsters by down-regulating and impairing mitochondrial function [26]. Although these findings need to be confirmed in humans, the fact that all vaccines authorized for emergency use are based on the delivery or induction of Spike glycoprotein, whether by adenovirus-vectorized vaccines, not a single study has examined the duration of Spike production in humans. On the precautionary principle, it is parsimonious to consider vaccine-induced Spike synthesis could cause clinical cases that could be counted as new cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections. If so, the true adverse effects of the current global vaccination strategy will be underestimated unless studies specifically examine this question. There is already non-causal evidence of temporary increases in deaths following vaccination in some countries (Fig. 1) and in light of Spike's pathogenicity, these deaths must be considered as they are related to vaccination.

## Unanticipated adverse reactions to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines

Another critical issue to consider given the global scale of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination is autoimmunity. SARS-CoV-2 proteins, and all but one of its immunogenic epitopes have similarities to human proteins [27]. These similarities could lead to autoimmunity [28]. While it is true that the same effects could be observed during natural infection, the scale of vaccination is much larger than most of the world population, while it is estimated that only 10% of the world population has been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Dr. Ryan, head of emergencies at the World Health Organization. We have been unable to find evidence that the WHO has screened and excluded homologous immunogenic epitopes to avoid potential autoimmunity due to protein similarity.

Some adverse reactions, including blood-clotting disorders, have already been reported in healthy individuals. The suspension or cancellation of the use of adenoviral vectorized ChAdOx1-nCov-19 and Janssen vaccine has been proposed that vaccination with ChAdOx1-nCov-19 can result in immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) and antibodies against Platelet factor-4, which clinically mimics autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This was overlooked when authorizing these vaccines, although adenovirus-induced thrombocytopenia has been reported as a consistent event with adenoviral vectors [30]. The risk of VITT would presumably be higher in those who use oral contraceptives [31], making it imperative for clinicians to advise their patients accordingly.

At the population level, there could also be vaccine-related impacts. SARS-CoV-2 is a fast-evolving RNA virus with over 40,000 variants [32,33] some of which affect the antigenic domain of Spike glycoprotein [34,35]. Given the rapid synthesis of high levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2-Spike antibodies could theoretically lead to suboptimal responses to new variants in vaccinated individuals [36], a phenomenon known as "original antigenic sin" [37] or antigenic drift. Mutations that affect SARS-CoV-2 antigenicity will become fixed during viral evolution [39], but vaccine-induced immunity may drive variants with higher infectivity or transmissibility. Considering the high similarity between known variants, it is unlikely [32,34] but if future variants were to differ more in key epitopes, the global vaccination strategy could be dangerous. This risk has recently been brought to the attention of the WHO as an open letter [40].

## Discussion

The risks outlined here are a major obstacle to continuing global SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Evidence is needed before exposing more people to the risk of these experiments, since releasing a candidate vaccine without knowing the resulting impact on health could lead to an exacerbation of the current global crisis [41]. Risk-stratification is needed. According to the UK government, people below 60 years of age have an extremely low risk of dying from COVID-19. Under surveillance, most of the serious adverse effects following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination occur in people outside the planned vaccination schedule for children aged 6 years and older in the United States and the UK. Dr. David Gorski reports that teenagers across the country will be vaccinated in the autumn and younger children in early 2022, and that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that they have a 99.997% survival rate for COVID-19 irrelevant as a threat to this age group, but there is no reliable evidence to support vaccine manufacturers' claim to rule out harmful side effects of these experimental vaccines. In this sense, when physicians advise patients about COVID-19 vaccination, there is a great need to better understand the benefits and risk of administration, particularly for children.

In conclusion, in the context of the rushed emergency-use-authorization of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, and the need for safety, the following questions must be raised:

- Is it known whether cross-reactive antibodies from previous coronavirus infections or vaccine-induced immunity could lead to unintended pathogenesis following vaccination with COVID-19?
- Has the specific risk of ADE, immunopathology, autoimmunity, and serious adverse reactions been weighed against the medical ethics standard of patient understanding for informed consent? If not, what are the risks?
- What is the rationale for administering the vaccine to every individual when the risk of dying from COVID-19 is low in clinical conditions and when the phase 3 trials excluded the elderly, children and frequent specific comorbidities?
- What are the legal rights of patients if they are harmed by a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine? Who will cover the costs? Will it be settled with public money, has the public been made aware that the vaccine manufacturers have no liability, and responsibility to compensate those harmed by the vaccine has been transferred to the tax-payers?

In the context of these concerns, we propose halting mass-vaccination and opening an urgent pluralistic dialogue on the risks of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among scientists, medical doctors, international health agencies, regulatory bodies, and vaccine developers. This is the only way to bridge the current gap between scientific evidence and public health. We are convinced that humanity deserves a deeper understanding of the risks than what is currently being offered. This dialogue is urgent and indispensable to avoid erosion of public confidence in science and public health authorities protect the interests of humanity during the current pandemic. Returning public health decisions to a careful evaluation of the relevant scientific research, is urgent. It is imperative to follow the scientific method.

1 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-reported-sars-cov-2-deaths-in-england/cc>

## Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

1. McCullough PA, Alexander PE, Armstrong R, et al. Multifaceted highly targeted sequential multidrug therapy for SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19). *Rev Cardiovasc Med* (2020) 21:517–530. doi:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.05.017

2. Arvin AM, Fink K, Schmid MA, et al. A perspective on potential antibody- dependent enhancement doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2538-8
3. Coish JM, MacNeil AJ. Out of the frying pan and into the fire? Due diligence warranted for ADE in 406. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2020.06.006
4. Eroshenko N, Gill T, Keaveney ML, et al. Implications of antibody-dependent enhancement of infe Biotechnol (2020) 38:788–797. doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0577-1
5. Poland GA. Tortoises, hares, and vaccines: A cautionary note for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine developmer doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.04.073
5. Shibo J. Don't rush to deploy COVID-19 vaccines and drugs without sufficient safety guarantees. N 020-00751-9
7. Munoz FA, Cramer JP, Dekker CL, et al. Vaccine-associated enhanced disease: Case definition and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.04.006>
3. Cardozo T, Veazey R. Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vac Pract (2020) 28:e13795. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13795
9. Bolles D, Long K, Adnihothram S, et al. A double-inactivated severe acute respiratory syndrome co protection in mice and induces increased eosinophilic proinflammatory pulmonary response upon doi:10.1128/JVI.06048-11
0. Weingartl H, Czub M, Czub S, et al. Immunization with modified vaccinia virus Ankarabased recomb syndrome is associated with enhanced hepatitis in ferrets. J Virol (2004) 78:12672–12676. doi:10.1128/JVI.78.12.12672-12676.2004
1. Tseng CT, Sbrana E, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, et al. Immunization with SARS coronavirus vaccines lea with the SARS virus. PLoS One (2012) 7(4):e35421. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035421
2. Iwasaki A, Yang Y. The potential danger of suboptimal antibody responses in COVID-19. Nat Rev I doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0321-6
3. Vennema H, de Groot RJ, Harbour DA, et al. Early death after feline infectious peritonitis virus ch immunization. J Virol (1990) 64:1407-1409
4. Lambert PH, Ambrosino DM, Andersen SR, et al. Consensus summary report for CEPI/BC March disease enhancement with COVID-19 vaccines. Vaccine (2020) 38(31):4783-4791. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.05.041
5. de Alwis R, Chen S, Gan S, et al. Impact of immune enhancement on Covid-19 polyclonal hyperim development. EbioMedicine (2020) 55:102768. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102768
5. Folegatti PM, Ewer KJ, Aley PK, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV287 19 vac of a phase 1/2, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet (2020) 396:467–783. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30865-4
7. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. N doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
3. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 v in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. Lancet 6736(20)32466-1
9. Chu L, McPhee R, Huang W, et al. mRNA-1273 Study Group. A preliminary report of a randomized immunogenicity of mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Vaccine (2021) S0264-410X(21)00153-5. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.015
0. Liu L, Wei Q, Lin Q, et al. Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage r Insight (2019) 4(4):e123158. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.123158.

1. Ioannidis PA. Infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data. Bull WHO (2020) 98:1023–1028. doi:10.2471/BLT.20.265892
2. Martines RB, Ritter JM, Matkovic E, et al. Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated Emerg Infect Dis (2020) 26:2005–2015. doi:10.3201/eid2609.202095
3. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA (2020) 323:1239–1242. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648
4. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med (2020) 8:420–422. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30076-X
5. Negro F. Is antibody-dependent enhancement playing a role in COVID-19 pathogenesis? Swiss Med Wkly (2020) 150:20249317. doi:10.4414/smww.2020.20249317
5. Lei Y, Zhang J, Schiavon CR et al., Spike Protein Impairs Endothelial Function via Downregulation of Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase. Circulation (2020) 142:1326–1335. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318902
7. Lyons-Weiler J. Pathogenic priming likely contributes to serious and critical illness and mortality in COVID-19. Autoimmunity (2020) 3:100051. doi:10.1016/j.jtauto.2020.100051
3. An H, Park J. Molecular Mimicry Map (3M) of SARS-CoV-2: Prediction of potentially immunopathogenic epitopes using an immunoinformatic approach. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 12 November 2020 [cited 2020 April 19] <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.12.368888>
9. Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia in Patients Treated with Direct Oral Anticoagulants. N Engl J Med (2021). doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104840
2. Othman M, Labelle A, Mazzetti I et al. Adenovirus-induced thrombocytopenia: the role of von Willebrand factor-mediated accelerated platelet clearance. Blood (2007) 109:2832–2839. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-06-032524
1. Ortel TL. Acquired thrombotic risk factors in the critical care setting. Crit Care Med (2010) 38(2 Suppl 2):S10–S15. doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181c9ccc8
2. Grubaugh ND, Petrone ME, Holmes EC. We shouldn't worry when a virus mutates during disease. Nat Rev Microbiol (2020) 18:100–101. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0690-4
3. Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Gilchuk P, et al. Complete Mapping of Mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein that Affect Antibody Recognition. Cell Host Microbe (2021) 29:44–57.e9. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.11.007.
4. Luring AS, Hodcroft EB. Genetic Variants of SARS-CoV-2—What Do They Mean? JAMA (2021) 325:100–101. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648
5. Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, et al. The D614G mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduces infectivity. Nature (2020) 583:820–823. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2341-7 [Preprint]. June 12 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 19] <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.148726>
5. Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S et al. Sheffield COVID-19 Genomics Group. Tracking changes in the SARS-CoV-2 genome reveals a new lineage with increased infectivity. Cell (2020) 182:812–827.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.048
7. Francis T. On the doctrine of original antigenic sin. Proc Am Philos Soc (1960) 104:572–578.
3. Viboud C, Epstein SL. First flu is forever. Science (2016) 354:706–707. doi:10.1126/science.aak9888
9. Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, et al. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Nature (2020) 583:830–833. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2341-7
2. Vanden Bossche G (March 6, 2021) [https://dryburgh.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Geert\\_Vanden\\_Bossche\\_Open\\_Letter\\_WHO\\_March\\_6\\_2021.pdf](https://dryburgh.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Geert_Vanden_Bossche_Open_Letter_WHO_March_6_2021.pdf)
1. Coish JM, MacNeil AJ. Out of the frying pan and into the fire? Due diligence warranted for ADE in COVID-19. J Clin Virol (2020) 100:104606. doi:10.1016/j.jcm.2020.06.006

## Figure legends

Figure 1. Number of new COVID-19 deaths in relation to number of people that have received at least shows data from the start of vaccination to May 3rd 365 , 2021. A) India (9.25% of population vaccinated), C) Colombia (6.79% of population vaccinated), D) Mongolia (31.65% of population vaccinated), F) Entire world (7.81% of population vaccinated). Graphs were built using data from Our <https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/tree/master/public/data/vaccinations>

---

---

---

**Guest writer**

---