Activity 7.1 - The Fox and the Wolf

Impersonal verbs

There are three examples of an **impersonal** syntactic construction which is now archaic. The verb has no subject, and in the examples in the text the initial pronoun is in the dative case.

him were leuere to him (it) were more pleasing = he would rather to him (it) was loth = he was loth, unwilling him wes wo to him was woe = he was woeful

Spelling of voiced fricative consonants

 $\begin{array}{ccc}
OE & & \textit{The Fox \mathfrak{C} the $Wolf} \\
\text{fox} & \Rightarrow & & \text{vox/wox} \\
\text{fus} & \Rightarrow & & \text{wous $(=$ vous)$}
\end{array}$

The spellings *vox/wox* = [vɒks] and *wous* = [vuːs] illustrate the voiced pronunciation of the consonants <f>, <s> and at the beginning of a word in southern dialects of OE and ME. This is still a feature of SW dialectal accents today.

In the three OE words *næfre*, *leofra*, *ofer eall*, the <f> represented a voiced [v] because it was conditioned by its neighbouring voiced sounds (compare MnE spelling of [s] and [z] as <s> in *cats* and *dogs*). In Text 39 letter <u> is written for the [v] consonant in *neuere*, *leuere*, *oueral*.

Vowels

OE <α> ⇒ <e>

 $n\bar{x}$ newere \bar{x} newe

Generally, OE long [æ:] became ME [ɛ:], and short [æ] became [a], or [ɛ].

• OE <u> ⇒ <ou>

 ut
 ⇒
 out

 hundred
 ⇒
 oundred

 hus
 ⇒
 hous

 fus
 ⇒
 wous (= vous)

 hungor
 ⇒
 hounger

The spelling <ou> for [uː] was adopted from the French, but the pronunciation was unchanged.

• shift of OE [ax] to [ax]

gan
$$\Rightarrow$$
gowa \Rightarrow wonan \Rightarrow noneswa \Rightarrow sonawher \Rightarrow nouherlah \Rightarrow lohan \Rightarrow onestrac \Rightarrow strok

This is evidence that the poem could not have been written in a northern dialect.

• smoothing of <ea> to <a>

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{healf} & \Rightarrow & \text{half} \\ \text{ofer eall} & \Rightarrow & \text{oueral} \\ \text{weall} & \Rightarrow & \text{wal/walle} \end{array}$$

• smoothing of <eo> to <e> or <o>

```
leofra ⇒ leuere
heold ⇒ hoeld
```

• [e] + [j] or $[y] \Rightarrow /ei/$ wey fr weg of sei fr of seh

The final consonant has been lost, and the vowel has become a new ME diphthong, like MnE RP way.

• elision of medial consonant wimmen fr wifmenn

reduction of suffix mete/meten fr metan leuere fr leofra wibinne fr wibinnan aquenche fr acwencan

The reduction and eventual loss of inflections is most important because it changes the grammar of the language.

The pair of rhymes strete/mete [stre:tə] [me:tə] (fr OE stræt/mete), is evidence of,

- (i) the **shift** of one vowel $\frac{\pi}{2}$ / $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and
- (ii) the **lengthening** of the other $\langle \varepsilon \rangle > \langle \varepsilon \rangle$ open syllable in disyllabic word, so that both OE vowels fell together in ME.

Spelling

• <qu-> for OE <cw-> & <ch> for OE <c> = [ʧ]

acwencan ⇒ aquenche

Both these spellings are also from French writing.

• <y> for <i>, but rhymed with aquenche

 $\begin{array}{ccc} drync & \Longrightarrow & drunche \\ \\ ofhyngred & \Longrightarrow & afingret \end{array}$

We would expect afhungred.

The spelling *boeld* probably represents [ho:ld]. Elsewhere in the poem *god* (MnE *good*) is spelt *goed*, and rhymes with *blod* (MnE *blood*).

• <o> for <u>

wude \Rightarrow wode

Other features of The Fox & the Wolf

So far we have discussed some of the evidence of changes in pronunciation which can be deduced from the patterns of spelling in the manuscripts. One important development, the reduction of many unstressed suffixes to <e>, pronounced /ə/, was not simply a sound change. The loss of inflections leads to, and is a part of, a change in the grammar. Other features of ME grammar can be seen in these two texts.

Grammatical changes

The following three examples of a construction which is found in OE also, but which is no longer in MnE, occur in *The Fox and the Wolf.* A literal translation is also given:

him wes wo to-him was woe to-him was hateful

him were leuere to-him were more pleasing

There is no subject to the verb. In MnE we have to supply one, the "dummy subzect" it,

it was hateful/pleasing to him.

The ME *him* is the old dative case, so in MnE we have to add the preposition *to* to give the same meaning. This is called an **impersonal** construction.

As in OE and most MnE dialects still, the double or multiple negative was used:

He nes neuere in none wise ...

He ne hoeld nouper wey ne strete ...

This text also shows the development of the indefinite article *alan* from the OE numeral *an*, which at first meant *one* only. Examples of both uses occur, with variant spellings:

Him were leuere meten one hen ... = one hen

half an oundred wimmen ... = half a hundred women

he ofsei ane wal ... = he saw a wall

Wibinne be walle wes on hous ... = a house

Vocabulary

All the vocabulary of *The Fox and the Wolf* is derived from OE. There are changes of meaning in apparently familiar words which sometimes cause difficulty in reading if we are unaware of the change. For example *mete* in both OE and ME meant *food* in general. This meaning survives in the MnE collocation *meat and drink*.

(The vocabulary is listed in the Word Book.)