## Chapter 4 - Old English grammar

## Noun and demonstrative pronoun inflections for gender, number and case

Nouns in OE were marked for number - singular (sg) or plural ( $p l$ ) and case - nominative ( $n$ ), accusative (a), genitive (g), dative (d) or instrumental (i), and each noun had a grammatical gender masculine, (masc), feminine (fem) or neuter (neut). In theory, we might expect ten different inflections for each combination of number and case categories - $n s g, n p l, a s g, a p l, g s g, g p l, d s g, d p l, i s g, i p l$. And because pronouns and adjectives agreed with nouns for gender as well as number and case, then they would need thirty inflections in all, if each set of categories were to have its own distinct inflection nom sg masc, nom sg fem, nom sg neut, acc sg masc etc.
In fact, this was not so. Some inflections served for more than one category, and not all nouns used the same inflections to mark the categories.
There is no need to learn all the different inflections of the nouns before beginning to translate OE, provided that you know how to refer to an OE dictionary and grammar. OE nouns divide into sets, or declensions. Each declension contains those nouns which have the same inflections for the same functions. There are two important sets which grammarians called the strong and weak declensions, or alternatively the general and -AN declensions. Each divides into sub-categories according to gender, with some differences of inflection. There also nouns which do not easily fit into either the regular strong or weak declensions, so these are called irregular.
One example of each declension is set out below in full to show all its inflections. The set of strong nouns has the demonstrative pronoun which in MnE is translated as either the definite article the or the demonstrative pronoun that/those, whichever is appropriate. The weak nouns have the demonstrative pronoun from which MnE this/these has derived.

## 1 - General, or strong masculine nouns

Example: se cyning, the king/kings or that king/those kings

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | se | cyning | pa | cyningas |
| a | pone | cyning | pa | cyningas |
| g | prs | cyninges | para | cyninga |
| d | pæm | cyninge | prm | cyningum |
| i | py | cyninge |  |  |

You will see that the nominative and accusative forms are the same for both singular <cyning> and plural <cyningas> in this declension, so you cannot distinguish subject from object by inflection for case on the noun.

The word cyning occurs several time in the OE texts in a variety of spellings:

| se | cing |  | pam | cyninge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| breobtric | cining |  | beora | cyng |
| norphymbra | cyning |  | biera | kyning |
| ðеs | ciniges | tune | buna | cining |
| apelstan | cyning |  | eaduuard | king |
| Elfred | cyning |  |  |  |
| Elfred | kyning |  |  |  |

Cyning had been reduced to the one-syllable cing/king (for some speakers at least) by the time the Chronicle was written down. The spelling <cyning> was conservative and probably no longer reflected pronunciation. Once spelling begins to become standardised, it does not change with pronunciation, which is always slowly changing.
Other strong masculine nouns in the OE texts:

| Text word | base form | MnE | gislas | gisel | = hostage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| apas | ap | oath | here | here | $=$ army, host |
| æpeling | æpeling | $=$ prince, noble | hrofe | hrof | roof |
| æpelingas | æpeling |  | munucum | munuc | monk |
| dæl | dæl | deal, part | scild | scild | shield |
| eorl | eorl | earl | smipas | smib | smith |
| eorla | eorl |  | tune | tun | yard, building |
| eorlas | eorl |  | wudum | wudu | wood |
| fultomes | fultum | $=$ help |  |  |  |
| garum | gar | $=$ spear |  |  |  |

## 2 - General/strong feminine nouns

Example: seo ecg, the edge, that edge/those edges

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | seo | ecg | pa | ecga |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | pa | ecge | pa | ecga |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | pære | ecge | para | ecga |
| $\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{i}$ | pære | ecge | pæm | ecgum |

Other strong feminine nouns in the OE texts:

| Text word | base form | MnE | hergung | hergung | $=$ devastatioo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ar | ar | = bonour | mihte | miht | might |
| cistum | cist | = company | nahtscipe | nahtscip | = cowardice |
| cysta | cyst | = goodness | sæcce | sæcc | $=$ conflict |
| ecgum | ecg | edge | stowe | stow | = place |
| ee | ig | $=$ island | beodum | peod | = people |

## 3 - General/strong neuter nouns

Example: pæt word, the word, that word/those words

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | pxt | word | pa | word |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | pxt | word | pa | word |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | pxs | wordes | para | worda |
| $\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{i}$ | pxm/py | worde | pæm | wordum |

Example: pret scip, the ship, that ship/those ships

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| n | pæt | scip | pa | scipu |
| a | pæt | scip | pa | scipu |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | pæs | scipes | para | scipa |
| $\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{i}$ | pæm/by | scipe | pæm | scipum |

(nb some neuter nouns had no inflection for $\mathrm{n} \&$ a plural)
Other strong neuter nouns in the OE texts:

| Text word | base form | MnE | rice | rice | = kingdom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bearnum | bearn | bairn, child | ping | ping | thing |
| folces | folc | folk, people | wife | wif | wife, woman |
| fulwihte | fulwiht | = baptism | wiges | wig | = battle |
| geares | gear | year | word | word | word |
| londa | lond/land | land |  |  |  |
| reaflac | reaflac | $=$ plunder |  |  |  |

The set of weak nouns is sometimes called the -AN declension because the suffix <-an> functions as a marker for several cases. Most of the masculines have <-a>, and feminines <- e>, to mark the n sg case. There are only two neuter weak declension nouns. The following examples show the other demonstrative pronoun, meaning this, as determiner in the NP.

## 4 - -AN/weak masculine nouns

Example: pes guma, this man/these men

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | bes | guma | pas | guman |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | bisne | guman | pas | guman |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | bisses | guman | bissa | gumena |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | bissum | guman | pissum | gumum |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | bys | guman | pissum | gumum |

Six out of the ten inflected forms have <-an>, and the case and number can only be identified by the form of the determiner pronoun. Some of the nouns of this declension in the OE texts include:

| eastan $d s g$ | from the east |
| :--- | :--- |
| fleman a $p l$ | fugitives |
| on fruman $d s g$ | in the beginning |
| se gerefa $n s g$ | the reeve |
| mænig guma norberna $n s g$ | many a Northern man |
| pæs nama $n s g$ | that man's name |

## 5 - -AN/weak feminine nouns

Example: peos cyrice, this church/these churches (eg godes cyrican

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | peos | cyrice | pas | cyrican |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | pas | cyrican | pas | cyrican |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | pisse | cyrican | pissa | cyricena |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | bisse | cyrican | pissum | cyricum |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | pisse | cyrican | pissum | cyricum |

There are relatively few feminine nouns of this declension in the OE texts, which include:

| ofer eorðan d.sg | over, on the earth |
| :--- | :--- |
| foldan a.sg | the earth |
| seo næddre n.sg | the serpent |
| on pære seofoðan wiecan | in the seventh week |
| d.sg |  |

Notice that in the PrepP on pare seofopan wiecan, the fact that the noun wiecan is in the dative case is made clear by the form of the demonstrative pronoun, pere, which agrees with the noun in gender and case. The accusative form would be pa wiecan. It is the form seo in seo neaddre which tells us that naddre is feminine in gender.

## 6 - -AN/weak neuter nouns

Neither of the only two neuter weak nouns eage (eye) and eare (ear) occurs in the texts.

|  | sg |  | pl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | bis | eare | pas | earan |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | pis | eare | pas | earan |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | bisses | earan | para | earena |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | bissum | earan | bissum | earum |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | bys | earan | pissum | earum |

You can see that the inflections of the neuter declension are the same as the masculine, except for the neuter accusative singular. All neuter nouns, in fact, have identical nominative and accusative singular forms.

There are many nouns which are "irregular" in their patterns of inflection, and you would have to refer to an OE grammar to check in detail. Here are some common irregular nouns which occur in the OE texts:

## 7 - Irregular masculine nouns

These examples occur in the OE texts:
his bropor Can mean bis brother or bis brothers, because the n.pl and a.pl forms are not inflected. The d.sg form for to bis brother was to his breber. The change of vowel is called mutation. The nouns for mother and daughter, modor and dobtor are similar.
pa menn The n.pl and a.pl of mann was menn, which is still the plural form in MnE.
his sunu The n.pl and a.pl of sunu, (son) is suna.

## 8 - Irregular feminine nouns

bec The plural of boc (book) n.pl or a.pl, another mutated plural which has not survived into MnE , unlike gos/ges, (goose/geese) or mus/mys, (mouse/mice).
offan dohter Offa's daughter. Like the masculine bropor, the d.sg for Offa's daughter would have been offan debter.

Here are the complete sets of the two demonstrative pronouns:

|  | the / that |  |  | this |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m | f | n | m | f | n |
| n sg | se | seo | prt | pes | peos | pis |
| a sg | pone | pa | pæt | pisne | pas | pis |
| g sg | prs | pære | pæs | pisses | pisse | pisses |
| d sg | prm | prre | prm | pissum | pisse | pissum |
| i sg | by | pære | py | bys | pisse | pys |
|  |  | common |  |  | common |  |
| n pl |  | pa |  |  | pas |  |
| a pl |  | pa |  |  | pas |  |
| g pl |  | para |  |  | pissa |  |
| d/i pl |  | pæm |  |  | pissum |  |

## Personal pronouns

Most of the noun inflections of OE have been levelled or regularised in MnE, but we retain some of the inflections from OE in our use of the personal pronouns. They enable us to refer to ourselves as speaker or writer (1st person), our listener or reader (2nd person), or others (3rd person).

1st person

|  | singular |  | plural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | ic | $(I)$ | we | $(w e)$ |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | me | $(m e)$ | us | $(u s)$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | min | $(m y)$ | ure | $(o u r)$ |
| $\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{i}$ | me | $(t o m e)$ | us | $(t o u s)$ |


| 2nd person |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | pu | (thou) | ge | (ye) |
| a | pe | (thee) | eow | (you) |
| g | pin | (thy) | eowe | (your) |
|  |  |  | r |  |
| d/i | pe | (to thee) | eow | (to you) |
| 3rd person masculine |  |  |  |  |
| n | he | (be) | hi/hie | (they) |
| a | hine | (bim) | hi/hie | (them) |
| g | his | (bis) | hira | (their) |
| d/i | him | (to bim) | him | (to them) |
| feminine |  |  |  |  |
| n | heo | (she) | hi/hie | (they) |
| a | hi/hie | (her) | hi/hie | (they) |
| g | hire | (ber) | hira | (their) |
| d/i | hire | (to her) | him | (ti them) |
| neuter |  |  |  |  |
| n | hit | (it) | hi/hie | (they) |
| a | hit | (it) | hi/hie | (they) |
| g | his | (its) | hira | (their) |
| d/i | him | (to it) | him | (to them) |

There were also dual 1st and 2nd person OE pronouns, meaning we two and you two, but they were not commonly used by the late OE period:

|  | 1st person dual | 2nd person dul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | wit | git |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | unc | inc |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | uncer | incer |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | unc | inc |

The spelling of some of the pronouns varied. You will find biene (acc masc sg) and byne for bine, biere (gen fem sg) for hire, and beom (dat pl ) for him:
(he) pxr gefeaht wip alne pone here 7 hiene gefliemde
be there fought against all the host \& it put to flight
ealle ping wæron geworhte purh hyne
all things were made by him
se dæl se hiere behinon wæs
the part that of it (ie of the shire) behind was
heom fultomes bædon
(they) from them troops asked for
There were changes in the forms of personal pronouns between the OE and MnE periods. Some OE pronouns were replaced by others, and some changed in form and pronunciation. The OE 3rd person plural pronouns beginning with <h>-bi, bira, him - were replaced by the pronouns they, their, them, derived from the ON pronouns peir, peirra, beim during the ME period.

You can see something of the process by which the MnE personal pronoun system has evolved from the OE system in the texts in later chapters of from Old English to Standard English.

## Adjectives

In OE adjectives were also inflected for number, gender and case to agree with the nouns they modified. But there were two different declensions, which depended upon how the adjective was used. The same words strong and weak, or alternatively indefinite and definite, are used to label the two kinds.
If the adjective stood alone, either in a clause or NP, then the strong or indefinite declension was used,

> wes se mann eald
> the man was old
> eald inwidda
> old deceitful (man)
ge geonge ge ealde
both young and old (men)
Godes hus syndan to clæne berypte ealdra gerihta
God's houses are completely robbed of old rights
eald enta geweorc
old work of giants
Hi willap eow to gafole garas syllan, ættrynne ord and ealde swurd
They intend to give you spears as tribute, deadly points and old swords
But if the adjective followed a determiner pronoun in a NP, the weak or definite declension was used,
pær wearb Sidroc eorl ofslægen se ealda, ond Sidroc eorl se gioncga
there Sidroc the old earl was slain, and Sidroc the young earl
pa ealdan fæderas
the old fathers (= the patriarchs)
seo ealde $x$
the old law
Because the adjective came between pronoun and noun, and the pronoun was inflected, there was less need for the adjective to mark agreement with its noun as clearly as when it stood alone.

These OE examples from prose and poetry only illustrate some of the adjective forms. The complete set of strong and weak adjective inflections can be illustrated using the word eald:

## Strong, or indefinite adjective forms

Singular

|  | masculine | feminine | neuter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | eald | eald | eald |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | ealdne | ealde | eald |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | ealdes | ealdre | ealdes |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | ealdum | ealdre | ealdum |

Plural

| $\mathbf{n}$ | ealde | ealda/ealde | eald |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | ealde | ealda/ealde | eald |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | ealdra | ealdra | ealdra |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | ealdum | ealdum | ealdum |

## Weak, or definite adjective forms

## Singular

|  | masculine | feminine | neuter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| n | ealda | ealde | ealde |
| a | ealdan | ealde | ealdan |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | ealdan | ealdan | ealdan |
| d | ealdan | ealdan | ealdan |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{n}$ | ealdan | ealdan | ealdan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | ealdan | ealdan | ealdan |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | ealdra or | ealdra or | ealdra or |
|  | ealdena | ealdena | ealdena |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | ealdum | ealdum | ealdum |

Notice how the inflections of strong adjectives are similar to those of the demonstrative pronoun se/seo/bat, and the inflections of weak adjectives to those of weak nouns (but not exactly the same).

Use the lists of adjective, noun and pronoun inflections to identify the gender, number and case of the nouns in the OE phrases and clauses quoted in this section.

Why is it sometimes not possible to do this with certainty?
Repeat your attempt with the following phrases taken from the OE texts:
pær læg secg mænig ... werig wiges sæd
there lay warrior many ... weary (of) war sated
on midne winter
in mid winter
ofer brad brimu
over broad sea
welmonige godcunde lareowas
many religious teachers

## Verbs in Old English

Just as most of the OE inflections for nouns have gone, so we now have fewer forms of verb than in OE.

## Regular verbs in Modern English

MnE regular verbs, for example the verb walk, have four forms:
(i) the base form walk,
(ii) the 3rd person singular, present tense form walks, ie base form + -s: he/shelit walks,
(iii) the past tense: I/welyoulthey walked, and the past participle form she has walked, ie base form + -ed,
(iv) the present participle form walking.

## Irregular verbs in MnE

Most verbs are regular, but a sizeable set of common verbs are irregular in a number of different ways. For example:

| base form | 3 p sg |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | present tense | past tense | past participle | present participle |  |
| hit | hits | hit | hit | hitting | (3 forms) |
| come | comes | came | come | coming | (4 forms) |
| write | writes | wrote | written | writing | (5 forms) |

Notice that we form the past tense of come and write by changing the vowel, not by adding the <-ed>suffix, and the past participle of write takes <-en> as suffix, not <-ed>. The present participle is always marked with <-ing>

## Strong and weak verbs in OE

These different ways of marking verbs derive from OE. Our irregular verbs resemble a much larger set of $O E$ strong verbs, and our regular verbs are like OE weak verbs.

Strong verbs were marked by up to three changes of vowel in the stem of the verb (its main stressed syllable), and also by an <-en> suffix for the past participle. The OE strong verb belpan, to belp is one example of a number which later became weak and are now regular MnE verbs:

| ic | healp | $I$ | helped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be heo bit | healp | he she it | helped |
| pu | hulpe | you (sg) | helped |
| we ge bie | hulpon | we you they | helped |

Weak verbs were marked for past tense and past participle by a dental suffix, that is, a suffix which contained the dental consonant [ $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ or [ $\mathrm{dh}^{\mathrm{h}}$, for example -de, -don, -ed, -te, -ton. $\mathrm{MnE}<-\mathrm{ed}>$ derives from the variety of OE dental suffixes.

Here are some of the verbs which occur in the OE texts. The infinitive of each verb is also given. The infinitive of almost every OE verb has the suffix <-an> or <-ian>.

Verbs marked with an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ are in a "corrected" form, so that their suffixes correspond to those you would find in an OE grammar. The original irregular spellings are evidence that the vowels of OE suffixes were no longer clearly differentiated in speech, and were probably pronounced [ $ə$ ].

Identify what you think are the strong and weak verbs, from the evidence just given.
nb:
(i) If the stem of a verb already ends with a dental consonant, eg bind-an (to bind), send-an (to send), then only the evidence of the stem vowel may mark it as strong or weak. The different vowels [a] and [ u ] in ic band (I bound) and we bundon (we bound) are evidence that bindan is a strong verb. The unchanged vowel $[\mathrm{e}]$ in ic sende (I sent) and we sendon (we sent) suggests that sendan is a weak verb.
(ii) Some weak verbs do have a change of vowel in the past tense, eg bycgan (to buy), ic bohte (I bought), but the suffix <-te> marks it as weak. The past tense vowel is the result of a different kind of sound change.

In MnE , the <-s> suffix for be/sbelit walks is the only inflection which marks agreement with the subject noun or pronoun. In OE, however, verbs were conjugated with different suffixes for person and number, and also for mood. In a statement of fact, the indicative mood was used. To express
something which was a wish, or a possibility, the subjunctive mood was used.
In MnE, the subjunctive has almost disappeared, except in uses like I wish I were there (not I was), or God save the Queen (not saves), and its meaning is often expressed by modal verbs like may and would.
(The uses of the subjunctive in OE were more complex than this, but all the simplified explanations in this book should be filled out by reference to more detailed grammars or textbooks on Old English.)

## Weak verb inflections

Compare the simple conjugation of MnE regular verbs with that of an OE weak verb, leran, to teach, with its variety of suffixes

| Present tense |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| indicative mood |  |  | subjunctive mood |  |
| 1 sg | ic | lære | ic | lære |
| 2 sg | pu | lærest/lærst | pu | lxre |
| 3 sg | be beo bit | lærep/lærb | be beo bit | lære |
| pl | we ge bie | lærap | we ge bie | læren |
| Past tense |  |  |  |  |
| 1 sg | ic | lærde | ic | lærde |
| 2 sg | $p u$ | lxrdest | pu | lærde |
| 3 sg | be beo bit | lærde | be beo bit | lærde |
| pl | we ge bie | lxrdon | we ge bie | lxrden |
| presen | participle | lxrende |  |  |
| past p | rticiple | gelærden |  |  |

OE weak verbs fall into three classes, depending upon small differences in their conjugation, but these are not described here.

## Strong verb inflections

The conjugation of strong verbs was similar, but not identical to that of weak verbs, for example the strong verb ridan, to ride.
nb:
(i) There are "irregularities" in this verb, eg pu ritst, not ridest. This is typical of any living language, which only exists in the forms which speakers use. Can you suggest why the form ritst should have evolved?
(ii) This verb demonstrates the meaningful difference between long and short vowels in OE. The vowels of Modern English ride [raid] and ridden [rIdən] differ because the OE present tense vowel spelled <i> was long - [risd] - and pronounced like MnE read, while the vowel spelt <i> in the past tense and past participle was short - [ridən]. Later sound changes in long vowels led to our present-day pronunciation.

## Present tense

|  | indicative mood |  | subjunctive mood |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 sg | ic | ride [risdə] | ic | ride [ri:də] |
| 2 sg | pu | ritst [ri:tst] | pu | ride [risd] |
| 3 sg | he heo hit | rit/ritt [rist] | he heo hit | ride [risdə] |
| pl | we ge hie | ridap [ri̇də $\theta$ ] | we ge hie | riden [risdən] |

## Past tense

| 1 sg | ic | rad [ra:d] | ic | ride [ridə] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 sg | pu | ride [ridə] | pu | ride [ridə] <br> 3 sg |
| he heo hit | rad [ra:d] | he heo hit | ride [ridə] |  |
| pl | we ge hie | ridon [ridən] | we ge hie | riden [rıdən] <br> present participle |
| ridende [risdəndə] <br> past participle | geriden [jəridən] |  |  |  |

Here is another clear illustration of one of the most noticeable differences between MnE and OE grammar - the loss of the complex OE system of inflections to mark meaning.

## Classes of OE strong verbs

OE strong verbs fall into seven "classes", each class marked by the same (or very similar) series of vowel changes. Such a series is called vowel gradation. Here is an example of each class, using verbs in the OE texts (except for Class 2, no examples of which occur):

|  | infinitive |  | past singular | past plural | past participle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class 1 | drifan $[\mathrm{i}:]$ | to drive | draf $[\mathrm{a}:]$ | drifon $[\mathrm{I}]$ | drifen $[\mathrm{I}]$ |

## Irregular verbs in OE

"Irregular" forms usually belong to common words. They are used so frequently that their irregularities seem not to be noticed.

## The verb be

The verb to be in MnE is the most irregular of all. It is the only verb with eight different forms - be, am, is, are, was, were, been, being, and non-standard uses of be are clear markers of regional dialects - $I$ were asking, they was running, we'm going etc. In OE, it was even more irregular, having two infinitives beon and wesan, which derived from two separate earlier verbs, and alternative forms in the present tenses of both moods.

## indicative mood

## Present tense

subjunctive mood

| 1 sg | ic | eom | beo | ic | sy | beo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 sg | pu | eart | bist | pu | sy | beo |
| 3 sg | he beo bit | is | bib | be heo hit | sy | beo |
| pl | we ge bie | sindon | beop | we ge hie | syn | beon |

## Past tense

| 1 sg | ic | wæs | ic | wære |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 . \mathrm{sg}$ | pu | wære | pu | wære |
| $3 \mathrm{sg} \quad$ be beo bit | wæs | be heo hit | wære |  |
| pl | we ge bie | wæron | we ge bie | wæren |
| present participle wesende or beonde  <br> past participle gebeon  |  |  |  |  |

## The origins of the modal verbs in MnE

The modal verbs in MnE, can/could, may/might, shall/should, will/would, must, ought to, are all used as auxiliary verbs in verb phrases, never as main verbs. They are used to express ideas of possibility, probability, necessity, permission and so on, rather than facts. We no longer think of could, might, should and would as the past tenses of can, may, shall and will, though this was their original function. They now differ in meaning rather than tense.
This modal function was developing in OE, but they were also used as main verbs. Sometimes you can translate them literally into their MnE modal equivalents, but not always.

The forms of these verbs can all be looked up in an OE grammar. Like MnE modal verbs, they had a wide variety of related meanings. Their infinitives and some of their meanings in OE were:
cunnan $=$ to know, to be able to
eg ic pa stowe ne can = I don't know the place
dydon swa hie cupon $=$ they acted as they were able
magan $=$ to be able to, can
eg hwxpere pu meaht me singan $=$ nevertheless you can sing to me
sculan $=$ to have to, to be obliged to, ought
eg hwæt sceal ic ma secgean fram sancte iohanne?
$=$ what more do I have to say about St John?
his scipu sceoldon cuman ongean, ac hi ne mihton
= his ships ought to bave come back again, but they were unable to
willan $=$ to wish, to will
eg hwænne pu wille to me (literally when you will to me)
= when you come to me
pa hi to scipan woldon (literally when they wished to their ships)
$=$ when they were making for their ships

There are a number of other irregular verbs in OE. Some of them are still part of the language: eg don, to do; gan, to go; habban, to bave; libban, to live; secgan, to say.

Others, however, have not survived into MnE:
eg hycgan, to think; purfan, to need; witan, to know

