Reading for criticism and evaluation

In the second and third readings our processing is a lot more active. In the second we analysed the passage so we could take out the structure, while in the third we're maintaining a dialogue with the author, through which we're able to criticise and evaluate his arguments. If you read Chapters 8 and 9 again you will see the issues to focus on, but the following checklist will help as a convenient guide.

Criticism and evaluation – checklist		
Evaluating arguments	I What are the key claims made by the author?	
	2 Does she develop them consistently?	
	3 Does she leave some parts undeveloped which could lead to alternative conclusions?	
	4 Have any assumptions been made without acknowledging them?	
Evaluating evidence	I Does she use enough evidence to back up her arguments?	
	 2 What kind of evidence is it and does she describe it accurately? From primary or secondary sources? Statistical – how is it described? Is it accurate? Anecdotal – how reliable/ representative is this? 	
	 3 Does she draw reasonable inferences from it to develop her arguments? Does she draw conclusions that are too strong? Is the evidence relevant to her arguments? 	
	4 What alternative inferences can be drawn from the evidence?	
	5 What do other authors have to say about this?	
Evaluating language	I ls she consistent in the way she uses words or do they mean different things at different times?	
	2 Is the meaning of her arguments obscured by the use of jargon and abstractions?	
	3 Do you need to analyse concepts to reveal the hidden implications of her arguments?	

For more information, see How to Write Your Literature Review, Chapter 15.