Appendix C

Equine physical attributes according to species

The attributes listed here are those typical of each equid species. There is great variation, and individual equids may have features more like that of one of the other species. The attributes are therefore only indicative. For images, see figure 2.1.

Donkey (E. asinus)

Body less slender

Head large head compared to body, pronounced muzzle, pronounced forehead

Ears long

Forelock absent or very thing, standing Mane upright, thin and scruffy

Neck short, low set
Chest narrower
Back straight

Croup higher than withers

Legs short-legged

Hooves small and narrow, high

Tail tufted at end; typically mid-length, reaching the hock or a little lower

Chestnut fore limbs only

Coat same as horse, but more commonly shades of grey-brown with darker legs and

lighter belly

Markings dorsal and shoulder stripes (not always present)

Sound bray

Gaits smooth, short steps

The same characteristics apply to the wild donkey (*E. africanus*).

Horse (E. caballus)

Body less slender

Head small head compared to body, muzzle can be narrower

Ears short Forelock hanging

Mane hanging, long and thick, can also be upright

Neck long, high set Chest broader Back curved

Croup lower than or equal to withers

Legs long-legged

Hooves large and rounded, low

Tail full and long from the base; reaches hoof-length or even longer

Chestnut fore and hind limbs

Coat great variety (grey, black, bay, chestnut, roan, dappled etc)

Markings great variety in leg and face markings

Sound whinny

Gaits bouncy, long steps, fast

Hemione (E. hemionus)

Body overall slender

Head large compared to body, concave nose ridge, pronounced muzzle, large nostrils

Ears Syrian: short, Persian: long

Forelock absent or very thing

Mane upright, "clipped" appearance

Neck short Chest narrower

Back straight, shorter

Croup higher than withers or flat; Syrian: angular; Persian: broader and rounder

Legs long-legged

Hooves small and narrow, high

Tail tufted, but quite full from halfway down the length; typically mid-length, reaching

the hock or a little lower

Chestnut fore limbs only

Coat Varies from sandy yellow to reddish to pale buff, with white or lighter belly, legs,

muzzle and around eyes; Syrian perhaps more brownish

Markings Syrian: dorsal stripe, no shoulder or leg markings; Persian: dorsal, sometimes

shoulder and sometimes hint of leg stripes

Sound shrieking / short donkey bray / rasping call

Kunga (E. asinus x E. hemionus)

Specific physical characteristics are not known, but can be assumed to vary between those of the donkey and those of the hemione. If the same pattern occurs as with mules and hinnies, a kunga may take after its mother in its extremities and after its father in its body shape.

Mule/hinny (E. asinus x E. caballus)

Body variety as parents. Mule: body of horse with extremities of donkey; hinny: body of

donkey with extremities of horse;

Head mule: heavy, hinny: lighter Ears mule: long, hinny: short

Mane mule: short, thin and upright; hinny: longer and thicker, more like horse

Appendix C 3

Back mule: straight
Croup higher than withers
Legs mule: slender

Hooves mule: small, narrow and high; hinny: rounder and lower

Tail mule: donkey-like, hinny: fuller

Chestnut mule: fore limbs only

Markings same variation as horses and donkeys; mules can have shoulder stripes, and zebra

stripes on legs and shoulders

Sound mule: combination of whinny and bray

Gestation

Donkey 365-370 days
Horse 335-346 days
Hemione 365-368 days
Kunga infertile
Mule/hinny infertile

Chromosomes

Donkey 62 Horse 64 Hemione 56 Mule/hinny 63

References and additional selected literature concerning equine behaviour

Antonius, O. (1929), 'Beobachtungen an Einhufern in Schönbrunn, I: Der syrische Halbesel (*Equus hemionus hemippus J. Gehofft.*)', *Der Zoologische Garten*, 1: 19–25.

Antonius, O. (1932), 'Beobachtungen an Einhufern in Schönbrunn, VII: Halbesel', *Der Zoologische Garten*, 5: 261–75.

Antonius, O. (1944), 'Beobachtungen an Einhufern in Schönbrunn, XVII: Halbesel Bastarde', *Der Zoologische Garten*, 16: 1–15.

Burden, F. and A. Thiemann (2015), 'Donkeys Are Different', *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, *Proceedings of the 2015 Equine Science Society Symposium*, 35 (5): 376–82.

Clutton-Brock, J. (1992), Horse Power: A History of the Horse and the Donkey in Human Societies, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Evans, L. and M. Crane, eds (2018), *The Clinical Companion of the Donkey*, Sidmouth: The Donkey Sanctuary.

Gilbert, A. S. (1991), 'Equid Remains from Godin Tepe, Western Iran: An Interim Summary and Interpretation, with Notes on the Introduction of the Horse into Southwest Asia', in R. H. Meadow and H.-P. Uerpmann (eds), *Equids in the Ancient World 2*, 75–122, Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert.

Groves, C. P. and D. P. Willoughby (1981), 'Studies on the Taxonomy and Phylogeny of the Genus Equus 1: Subgeneric Classification of the Recent Species', *Mammalia*, 45 (3): 321–54.

- Herman, C. L. (2009), 'The Anatomical Differences between the Donkey and the Horse', in N. S. Matthews and T. S. Taylor (eds), *Veterinary Care of Donkeys*, Ithaca: International Veterinary Information Service (www.ivis.org. Document No. A2906.1109, accessed 10/6/2021).
- McGreevy, P. (2012), Equine Behavior: A Guide for Veterinarians and Equine Scientists, 2nd edn, Edinburgh: Elsevier.
- Meutchieye, F., N. N. Kwalar and A. F. Nyock (2016), 'Donkey Husbandry and Production Systems', in F. J. Navas González, J. V. Delgado Bermejo and J. C. Vargas Burgos (eds), *Current Donkey Production & Functionality*, 725–63, Córdoba: UCO Press.
- Moehlman, P. D. (1998), 'Behavioral Patterns and Communication in Feral Asses (*Equus Africanus*)', *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 60 (2): 125–69.
- Navas González, F. J., J. Jordana Vidal, J. M. León Jurado, A. Arando Arbulu, A. K. McLean and J. V. Delgado Bermejo (2018), 'Genetic Parameter and Breeding Value Estimation of Donkeys' Problem-Focused Coping Styles', *Behavioural Processes*, 153: 66–76.
- Navas González, F. J., M. Miró Arlas and A. F. Nyock (2016), 'Temperament, Behaviour, Movements and Body Language', in F. J. Navas González, J. V. Delgado Bermejo and J. C. Vargas Burgos (eds), *Current Donkey Production & Functionality*, 72–160, Córdoba: UCO Press.
- Proops, L., F. Burden and B. Osthaus (2009), 'Mule Cognition: A Case of Hybrid Vigour?', *Animal Cognition*, 12 (1): 75–84.
- Svendsen, E. D. (2009), The Complete Book of the Donkey, Shrewsbury: Kenilworth Press.
- Tegetmeier, W. B. and C. L. Sutherland (1895), *Horses, Asses, Zebras, Mules and Mule Breeding,* London: Horace Cox.
- The Donkey Sanctuary (2016), Donkey Care Book: Everything You Need to Know for the Lifelong Welfare of Your Donkeys and Mules, 5th edn, UK: The Donkey Sanctuary.
- Travis, L. (2004), The Mule, London: J.A. Allen.
- Waring, G. (2003), Horse Behavior, 2nd edn, Norwich, NY: Noyes Publishing.