# Accessibility survey

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Negative evaluation | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | +3 | Positive evaluation |
| No clear distinction between the kerb and pavements. No dropped curbs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kerbs should be of contrasting colour and clearly indicate the boundary of the pavement. |
| Pavement is uneven with multiple trip hazards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pavement is even with no trip hazards. Clear pathways. |
| No tactile pavement, no distinction between path and road. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tactile pavement is used at traffic crossings |
| Steps do not have handrails and steps are uneven heights. No ramps. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Steps have handrails and all steps are an even height. Ramps to aid accessibility. |
| Signs are small and difficult to read from a distance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Signs are clear to read with a consistent font style. |
| Signs are on reflective or shiny surfaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Signs are not on reflective or shiny surfaces |
| Shops have steps to access or heavy closed doors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Shops can easily be accessed |

**Notes on accessibility of this location**

|  |
| --- |
|  |