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Summary of the project and its objectives

The UK is in a critical juncture with regard to the process of negotiations to leave the European Union. Important discussions are taking place during 2018 which will shape the future relation between Britain and the EU. The economic analyses published on the issue have, so far, largely failed to grasp the attention of the general public. Most of the discussions about Brexit take place in what could be described as elite circles and tend to be London based; there has been very little evidence-based discussion at local levels. Moreover, one of the obstacles to local level evidence based discussions is a distrust of expert evidence.

Understanding Brexit impacts at a local level
London Borough of Barnet case study

This report contributes to the broader research project co-ordinated by the Conflict and Civil Society Research Unit at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE).

This study focuses on the perceived impact of Brexit on British local authorities. Five local authority case studies have been selected: Mansfield, Pendle, Ceredigion, Southampton and Barnet. As the aim of the broader study is understand the impact of Brexit at the local level, this report is informed chiefly by the qualitative interviews, while the interviews themselves were conditioned by the quantitative impact assessments (see Appendix on page 14) that rely on nation-wide economic data.
Executive summary

Barnet is the highest populated London borough, with an economy reliant on retail, professional and health services, sectors which tend to employ high numbers of migrant workers. This report details two main local impact areas of Brexit identified for the London Borough of Barnet. The qualitative findings on these impacts broadly mirror the previous quantitative impact studies on these two aspects.

1. Impact on public services

As outlined by previous studies at the national level, Brexit may have a strong impact on the recruitment and retention of non-UK EU staff in public services. In Barnet, of particular concern was the NHS, but other services were also mentioned. The experts consulted concurred that Brexit is likely to exacerbate the existing staff shortages identified in Barnet and to create a less welcoming environment for migrants, making it more difficult to recruit EU staff in the future.

2. Impact on local businesses

In line with findings from previous studies at the national level, Brexit may have a significant impact on household earnings locally in Barnet, in particular on the disposable income which is spent on products and services provided by a variety of local businesses. This potential negative impact, seen at least for the short-term economic future, is believed to lead to some local Barnet businesses closing down or having significantly fewer clients after Brexit.

To minimise these potential impacts, the experts and public voices who contributed to this report underlined the importance of:

1. Increasing government spending on public services, in particular for health and social care, and improving work conditions for staff, to retain existing staff and encourage more British-born people to train for these needed jobs;
2. Securing close economic links with the EU, to minimise the economic impact on ordinary families in Barnet, consequently maintaining a similar level of local spending which underpins the success of local businesses;
3. Providing firm guarantees for non-UK EU citizens working across Barnet’s local economy sectors and preserving a welcoming environment for migrant workers in the area.

Introduction

This report presents evidence collected at the local level in the London Borough of Barnet on the perceived impact of Brexit on public services and local businesses.

This report is informed by desk research on a variety of local sources, the use of existing quantitative data, nine in-depth interviews with local experts and 20 ‘vox pops’ (short interviews with members of the public). Barnet was selected as an example of an area where a majority voted to remain in the EU, which is marginal in terms of Labour/Conservative support and with a higher EU migrant population than the national average (yet with skill shortages in key local economic sectors). Barnet represents an ideal case study to contextualise previous national studies at the intersections of migration, sectors which tend to employ high numbers of migrant workers. This report details two main local impact areas of Brexit identified for the London Borough of Barnet and why the two identified impacts are important for this borough. A second section offers a brief overview of the EU referendum vote in Barnet. The third and fourth sections focus on the two impacts identified at this local level: public services and local businesses, contextualising existing qualitative impact studies with the informants’ views and local examples.

For both experts and members of the public, the primary concern was the provision of healthcare in the borough after Brexit. With one in ten people in the London Borough of Barnet originally from another EU member state, the recruitment and retention of EU migrant staff in the NHS and social care were identified as key issues. There was a broadly shared assumption that some EU staff has already decided or will imminently decide to leave the UK due to the uncertainty regarding their family’s status after Brexit. Consequently, this is believed to exacerbate the already existing staff shortages, particularly in the local health services. Strict controls on migration after Brexit are seen as negative, especially for the health and social care sectors.

In regard to local businesses, Brexit is expected to have a negative impact on the local economy, at least in the short term. There are concerns that Barnet residents will reduce their spending in the local area (in particular on ‘luxury’ services, such as employing a gardener or eating out), which will, in turn, affect local businesses. Barnet’s two largest industry sectors by employment are wholesale and retail and health and social care. Isolated examples of both impact areas are already seen in Barnet, although there is a consensus that a clear picture cannot be drawn at this stage, as Brexit has not yet been implemented in full. The last section of this report reflects on the challenges ahead for Barnet in the Brexit process, summarising some recommendations from those consulted for this report.

Although broadly there was scepticism in Barnet regarding the benefits of Brexit, some identified the potential of restrictions on freedom of movement resulting in an increase in wages for lower-paid occupations, and new trade deals to result in a more dynamic local business environment. What all interviewees agreed on is the need for more clarity on the Brexit process and how it may impact businesses and communities at the local level in Barnet.

---

1 The initial desk research involved reading local newspaper articles, notably the Barnet Times, Barnet Council documents (such as the Strategic Needs Assessment) and other local Barnet websites and sources.
2 Demographic and economic data provided by the LSE’s Centre for Economic Performance (CEP).
3 A variety of political parties and organisations were contacted. The final sample included representatives from three local political parties (Labour, Green, Liberal Democrats), the active campaign organisation Chipping Barnet for EU, Barnet Hospital and the local UNISON branch. An academic expert in migration in the area and a local business owner were also interviewed. A few interviews asked to be kept anonymous, hence in the report, some interviewees will not be quoted by their name.
4 Although experts from a variety of organisations were contacted, due to the timing of this initial report, meetings could not be arranged on time, or no replies were received, despite follow-up. Some initial contacts decided they did not have sufficient expertise on Brexit and refused to be interviewed or recommended someone else to be contacted. Thus, to add more balance to the report, 20 members of the public, with a variety of political opinions, both Leave and Remain supporters, expressed shorter views about the impact of Brexit on Barnet. These ‘vox pops’ were collected in front of The Spires, one of Barnet’s shopping centres.
5 The data informing this report was collected between mid-May and 2 June 2018, with a couple of interviews taking place in July 2018.
6 EU28, excluding Ireland, according to the 2011 Census.
Background of Barnet

Local residents frequently describe the London Borough of Barnet as a ‘Leafy London suburb’. A diverse area both demographically and economically, Barnet represents a case study of a local economy reliant on migrant work which still struggles to meet demands from an ever-increasing population, currently at over 350,000.

Barnet made the national news after the 2018 local elections, when the Conservatives regained control of the council (previously, it had no overall control for a short period), despite the targeted campaigning visits of well-known Labour politicians such as Sadiq Khan, the Mayor of London, which were widely reported in the Barnet local media. Out of the 63 seats in Barnet, 38 are now Conservative, with Labour holding on to only 25 Council seats. Conservatives also have control at the national level: the local authority of Barnet comprises three UK Parliamentary constituencies, each represented by a Conservative MP (Theresa Villers MP, Mike Freer MP and Matthew Offord MP). Only the MP for Finchley and Golders Green, Mike Freer, sided with the Remain camp during the 2016 EU referendum campaign. Despite Conservative control on the national stage, Labour witnessed an increase in their vote share from 38.4% in the 2015 General Election to 45.2% in the 2017 snap election. UKIP support in general elections is almost non-existent nowadays, with only 0.6% in 2017, significantly decreasing from 5.5% in 2015.

Demographically, Barnet stands out as a diverse area. The borough is known to have the highest Jewish population in England, 15.5% as of 2011, which mainly lives in south Barnet, in areas such as Golders Green. There are also other sizeable ethnic minority groups, and various migrant groups both from inside and outside the EU living in Barnet. According to data from June 2016, only 45.4% of Barnet’s population was white UK born. 19% of the population describes itself as white non-UK born, a category that fits the majority of migrants coming from another EU country. Indeed, according to the 2011 census, 10.4% of Barnet’s population was from an EU country other than the UK and Ireland. The ethnic minority and migrant populations are not evenly distributed in the borough: according to Barnet Council’s report in 2015, more than 50% of residents in some areas in Barnet, such as Colindale, Burnt Oak and West Hendon, come from an ethnic minority background. Moreover, according to 2015 Council data, a majority of small children aged 0–4 years old in Barnet come from ethnic minority backgrounds. Local authority-level data from 2016 also shows that 58.7% of live births in Barnet were to mothers not born in the UK, thus predicting an even more mixed Barnet resident population in the near future.

The local presence of EU migrants can be seen through the numerous grocery shops selling products imported from other European countries. According to National Insurance data from 2015/2016, used in the Barnet Council Joint Strategic Needs Assessment report, Romanians accounted for 35% of overseas migrations (4,564 nationals), followed by Polish workers who accounted for 7% in Barnet. Although the NHS data does not show the exact number of EU migrants in the area, particularly those who are not in work, they indicate that the largest EU migrant groups are likely to be Romanian and Polish. The presence of EU migrants in Barnet attracted media attention as well. For instance, the area of Burnt Oak in Barnet is often referred to as ‘Little Romania’ in the tabloid press.

Historically, long before the 1980s, Chipping Barnet had been a market town, while the rest of Barnet had focussed on agriculture. Over the past decades, Barnet’s local economy has been gradually dominated by professional-type occupations, which is characteristic of London. The diverse population of Barnet is present across the local economy. Although there is no available data of EU migrant workers by industry, the sectors most reliant on ethnic minority labour are: human health and social work, wholesale and retail trade, education and other professional areas. It is also noteworthy that Barnet’s manufacturing sector has been replaced by a growing professional services sector since the 1990s. Nevertheless, the finance and insurance sectors, generally employing highly skilled workers, have also declined over time.

---

9 Ibid. B.
11 2011 Census data.
12 Occupations in the tertiary sector which require training in the arts and sciences, such as engineers, doctors, lawyers, architects, consultants, etc.
In the 2016 referendum, Barnet voted to remain in the EU with a majority of 62.2%. Entering the historic market town of Chipping Barnet, now a constituency represented by Theresa Villers MP, visitors are welcomed by a sign with a round blue-and-yellow anti-Brexit sticker.

These stickers are not uncommon in the area – several can be easily spotted on traffic lights, walls or in other public places, showing public dissatisfaction with the Brexit vote. Regaining the decision-making capacity (sovereignty) is believed to be the primary reason for those who voted Brexit in Barnet. Most interviewees tended to differentiate Barnet from the areas where immigration constituted the dominating referendum issue. Leave supporters, who represented 37.9% of the population in Barnet, are generally seen as Conservative voters who campaigned for a sovereign UK, detached from EU regulations. The consulted experts describe Barnet Leave voters as concerned with the international standing of the UK, its ability to sign trade deals around the world and the sovereignty of Parliament, rather than with immigration. However, when reflecting on the migration-related arguments heard during the referendum campaign in Barnet, campaigners observed that, when immigration was mentioned, ‘it was about all migration’, rather than ending the EU freedom of movement. This relates to the findings presented in a recent LSE Brexit blog post showing how Leave supporters are more opposed to non-EU rather than EU migration. Although existing literature has underlined the importance of identity behind the Leave vote, this picture is perhaps not as straightforward in the case of Barnet. One possible explanation is the history of Barnet as a diverse area, whereas the literature emphasises that it is the rapid change of ethnic diversity, rather than established levels of migration, that creates the context for negative attitudes towards migration. The Brexit vote in Barnet was an ‘active rebellion’ against the status quo and a belief that the UK was ‘better off outside’. The only ward in Barnet which voted a majority to leave the EU was Labour-held, one of the most ‘white and working class’, but, generally speaking, the Leave voters that campaigners spoke to during the EU referendum campaign in Barnet were not predominantly Labour supporters. At the same time, the interviewees stressed that there are Conservative voters in Barnet who are vocally opposed to Brexit.

In addition to sovereignty, those consulted tended to agree that the Leave vote was a mix of contextual factors alongside individual reasons: the rejection of facts and experts (partly due to fact fatigue, i.e. the Remain campaign focused on economic data rather than appealing to voters’ emotions) and the overarching context of Euroscepticism, promoted in particular by the popular press. These observations are in line with the findings of an academic study at the LSE Centre for Economic Performance (CEP), showing how the public tends to believe that EU membership solely benefits the elites. The rejection of expertise by the general public has been documented in other academic studies as well. A number of the ‘local experts’ interviewed in Barnet, including the academic consulted for this report, rejected the term ‘expert’ and questioned the usefulness of this concept, particularly in fostering knowledge dissemination to the wider public.

**Socio-economic impacts of Brexit on Barnet**

**Public services**

Brexit is expected to have a negative impact on Barnet’s public services, at least in the short term. The impact of Brexit on public services is closely linked with the impact on migration. This seems to be part of a wider trend. Several recent studies have drawn attention to the pressures the NHS and social care face nationally. ‘Safe and effective staffing’ is a key priority and the 37,000 EU nurses working in the NHS are essential. But the health sector is likely to seriously struggle if the UK chooses to impose restrictions on EU migration. By way of illustration, in 2017, the top three non-UK EU countries of origin for registered and licensed doctors in the UK were Ireland (241), Greece (169) and Romania (164). Experts have been arguing...
is reflected by the number of applications to join the UK’s nursing register, which dropped by 92% between May 2016 and May 2017. This means that applications from nurses decreased from over 1000 per month to fewer than 100 at a time when some sources estimate that the NHS has 40,000 registered nurse vacancies in England alone. It was precisely these ‘health service recruitment pressures’ that prompted the recent decision of the Home Secretary Sajid Javid to lift the immigration cap for Tier 2 visas for non-EU doctors and nurses. If this trend continues and an adequate number of local staff is not timely trained, the impact of Brexit on public services in Barnet is expected to be negative.

The experts consulted agreed that Brexit would affect local services, in particular the hospitals and care homes in Barnet. Recruitment and retention of staff are issues which have been known locally since before the referendum, according to Barnet Council’s Strategic Needs Assessment Report published in 2015. The recruitment and retention of staff in various sectors, notably social care, have been difficult in Barnet.

Voices from the general public also agreed that Brexit would have a negative impact on recruitment and retention of healthcare staff in Barnet. In June 2018, a public ‘Brexitometer’ situated in Barnet High Street showed the concerns of the local population. The vast majority disagreed with the statement ‘Brexit will be good for the NHS’.

The potential negative impact of Brexit is felt across all NHS jobs. In the Barnet Hospital, EU migrants work in a variety of roles, from consultants to cleaning staff. The NHS needs migrant workers because there are not enough doctors trained in the UK. In addition, a career as a doctor is seen as less attractive nowadays due to changes in working patterns and the cost of university/training as a health professional. Brexit is also negatively affecting the perceptions and expectations of prospective NHS workers and thus reducing the demand for positions in certain areas of the UK. As one EU doctor working in Barnet Hospital underlined, while she and her EU colleagues feel comfortable and do not experience discrimination, she would expect a different situation in areas with a high Brexit vote, situated outside of the ‘London bubble’. Moreover, uncertainty over migration policy after Brexit may aggravate the problem: ‘no one would come to work if it’s not clear what will happen in one year’s time’.

While 573 EU27 nurses and doctors have left the Barnet NHS Trust since the EU referendum in 2016, only 65 of these people went abroad either to an EU or non-EU country for work. While those interviewed had anecdotal evidence of EU27 friends and neighbours leaving their jobs in Barnet after the referendum, it is still unclear how much of the current recruitment and retention problem can be explained in terms of Brexit impact. Nevertheless, the local NHS, due to its staffing shortage, cannot afford to lose any workers at all, particularly if they are not going to be replaced. The doctor interviewed for this report, having worked in London for more than a decade, stated that she has ‘never seen the NHS in such a bad state’ and any staff loss would be detrimental to running essential services. The experts consulted thought there are far fewer EU migrants coming to work in Barnet, mirroring the official national statistics showing the drop of net EU migration. If migrants feel ‘undervalued and unwanted’, they would be more likely to leave the NHS.

Although the main concern was the NHS, other public services were also pointed out as potentially affected by Brexit. Social care was often discussed alongside the NHS as facing similar issues with overstretched staff and shortages of workers. Social care was thought to be even more relevant on EU workers than the NHS, with social care jobs more challenging to fill because of their difficult, low-paid and less desirable status. For example, au pair work was also seen as being at risk. Two French au pair workers based in Barnet stated that their host families spent a long time finding an au pair before they arrived, because fewer people apply, as the UK is not such an attractive destination as it was before 2016. Although they feel ‘at home’ and welcome in Barnet, they understand why some people...

25. Ibid, 16.
27. Ibid, 8.
28. Based on 17 vote pops collected in front of The Spires, Barnet, 2 June 2018.
29. Interview with Barnet Hospital doctor, 27 June 2018.

would think twice before migrating in the current context, particularly when seeing the reports of the rise in hate crime recorded since the Brexit vote.36 The experiences of the French au pair workers interviewed in Barnet are in agreement with recent reports from the British Au Pair Agencies Association (BAPA) showing a 75% dump in the number of young Europeans willing to work as au pairs. Brexit, alongside the recent terrorist attacks, are stated as factors detering au pair applications.37

Other jobs at risk were related to Council services. For instance, the difficulty in recruiting administrative staff was pointed out by those interviewed who worked or currently work with the Council. Moreover, a UNISON representative for Barnet, who also identified staff shortage as a key concern after Brexit, stressed that the waste and recycling services could further widen the gap between labour demand and supply. The perceived unwelcoming environment for migrants, could impact Barnet in a negative way.38

Brexit vote costing the average household £7.74 per week through higher prices
LSE study by CEP

Local businesses

Brexit is expected to have a negative impact on different kinds of local businesses in Barnet, particularly in the short term. Restaurants, retail and businesses providing ‘luxury’ services are amongst the most likely to be affected, due to an expected decrease in the amount of income Barnet residents will be able to spend after Brexit. An LSE study by CEP calculated that the ‘Brexit vote was costing the average household £7.74 per week through higher prices’ by June 2017, or £404 per year, due to the 1.7 percentage points increase in inflation.39 Another study by the LSE showed how the living standard of every income group would be lower after Brexit due to these higher prices.40 In addition, areas in the South of England and urban environments, such as Barnet, were predicted to be impacted more negatively by Brexit than other areas, under both ‘soft’ and ‘hard’ Brexit scenarios. The LSE study making this prediction justifies the impact based on the fact that those areas are specialised in sectors which tend to be impacted more by Brexit. For example, a potential increase in tariffs may reduce trade, directly impacting the jobs in the wholesale and retail trade industry, the largest industry by employment in Barnet.41 The jobs most at risk nationally were identified in a policy briefing as administration and support services, closely followed by retail and wholesale trade.42 Jobs which are widespread in areas such as Barnet, especially on the high street. Considering these impact studies, the experts consulted thought that Brexit would negatively impact Barnet’s economy, at least in the short term. Nevertheless, some considered that the impacts may be overestimated, and others commented that those economic impacts are impossible to be established with precision at this stage. Although there are several programmes supported by EU funds in Barnet,43 those interviewed chose to focus on the broader business environment in relation to the economy and prices, rather than being specific on the impact of EU funding in Barnet.

Council services

Several programmes supported by EU funds in Barnet44 are amongst the most likely to be affected, due to an expected decrease in the amount of income Barnet residents will be able to spend after Brexit due to these higher prices.45 The Brexit vote was costing the average household £7.74 per week through higher prices. Brexit vote costing the average household £7.74 per week through higher prices. LSE study by CEP


In addition, areas in the South of England and urban environments, such as Barnet, were predicted to be impacted more negatively by Brexit than other areas, under both ‘soft’ and ‘hard’ Brexit scenarios. The LSE study making this prediction justifies the impact based on the fact that those areas are specialised in sectors which tend to be impacted more by Brexit. For example, a potential increase in tariffs may reduce trade, directly impacting the jobs in the wholesale and retail trade industry, the largest industry by employment in Barnet.41 The jobs most at risk nationally were identified in a policy briefing as administration and support services, closely followed by retail and wholesale trade.42 Jobs which are widespread in areas such as Barnet, especially on the high street. Considering these impact studies, the experts consulted thought that Brexit would negatively impact Barnet’s economy, at least in the short term. Nevertheless, some considered that the impacts may be overestimated, and others commented that those economic impacts are impossible to be established with precision at this stage. Although there are several programmes supported by EU funds in Barnet,43 those interviewed chose to focus on the broader business environment in relation to the economy and prices, rather than being specific on the impact of EU funding in Barnet.

The expected decrease in spending power after Brexit is likely to negatively affect small businesses. By way of illustration, a gardening business owner thought that his business will suffer because the clients will have less money to spend due to increased prices on essential goods and services after Brexit. Paying for gardening services is an illustrative example of one type of expenditure that people would cut from their budget, if faced with a more difficult personal economic situation. The gardener, in a similar way as the restaurant manager or the beauty technician, will then be unable to increase the prices for their services, for fear of losing regular clients, even though the costs of the tools and materials they use may increase.

For similar reasons, several interviewees pointed at the likelihood that Barnet High Street will be affected after Brexit. The impact on retail and restaurants is usually also intersected with the provision of migrant workers, and concerns about recruitment and retention of staff arise in this respect. For instance, the restaurant Pizzaro closed down almost 100 branches in the UK. Brexit was linked to the closures of various restaurants, because of the fall in the value of the pound since


37. Interview with Hugh Jordan, UNISON representative, 2 July 2018.


43. One viable advertised example in Barnet to the EU-funded Campaign Against Living Miserably (CALM) programme, aiming to support 16-24 year olds into education, traineeships, apprenticeships and employment.

44. Data from the Survey of Personal Incomes 2015-2016, HMRC. Dataset available at: https://www.telegraph.co.uk/money/consumer-affairs/do-earn-less-average-british-pakhz-4970780/

45. Interview with local gardening/business owner, 14 June 2018, and other experts voicing similar concerns.
the EU referendum vote and the rising costs of imports. One of the closed Prezzo branches was situated in High Barnet, becoming a real example of how the local area is impacted by business decisions that are linked to Brexit. Concerns such as the uncertain business environment, potential issues with staff supply and decreased spending in the area were cited by the experts interviewed to argue why Brexit could be negative for Barnet’s high streets. This echoes recent reports indicating that high street sales are falling nationally.48 Brexit is seen in this context as an aggravating factor, although it may not always be the trigger of such negative economic impacts.

Finally, it is noteworthy that, unlike with public services, where even Brexit-supporting informants did not assess the current situation as positive, in the case of local businesses, some benefits were associated with an EU exit. First, with lower numbers of migrant workers in usually low-paid jobs (such as in shops and restaurants), the potential of an increase in wages for the low-paid British workers in Barnet was envisaged.49 The idea that ‘the EU keeps wages low’ was echoed by some members of the public who sided with Leave in the referendum. The ‘endless supply’ of labour from EU migrant workers, in their view, results in lower wages for the most vulnerable in the Barnet community. What Brexit would achieve in this sense is higher wages for low earners, due to decreased competition from EU migrants, and employers consequently being forced to increase wages to attract British workers. Second, some members of the public underlined that if advantageous trade deals with the rest of the world are put in place, the local businesses will be booming, even though that would mean replacing some EU businesses operating in the area with new ‘global businesses’. Nevertheless, even those vocally supporting Leave mentioned that ‘it’s too late’ for the expected positive aspects of Brexit to be materialised in full in Barnet because negotiations are seen to be delayed and counterproductive.

Brexit is considered likely to exacerbate already existing skills shortages in key areas in Barnet, notably in the NHS and social care, impacting local communities in a negative way. In addition, the expected inflation after Brexit, partly associated with increased tariffs in imports, is assumed to translate into less spending on local businesses, both on essential and non-essential goods and services, but particularly on the high street. Both local services and businesses employ a high proportion of EU migrant workers, more than in other parts of the UK, whose status is uncertain, with the proposed ‘settled status’ application system for EU migrants to secure their rights after Brexit not having been implemented and translated into primary legislation yet.

To minimise the perceived impacts on both local services and businesses, the experts agreed that a ‘good Brexit’ would deliver a new immigration policy that prioritises economic need at the local level, rather than the full negotiation of rigid quotas nationally. As suggested in previous studies on the impact of Brexit, offering certainty on the rights of EU citizens is a crucial consideration to mitigate against the shortage of staff in the NHS and elsewhere.50 Securing the mutual recognition of qualifications after Brexit is also crucial, so that EU staff trained elsewhere could continue working in the key sectors. On local services, and particularly the NHS, increasing government spending in these sectors and improving work conditions for staff were recommended in order to retain existing staff and encourage a new generation of British workers to train for these essential jobs. With regards to business, all those consulted agreed that prioritising local business interest is paramount in maintaining a diverse local economy in Barnet. The solutions given ranged from securing access to the EU’s Single Market, to a free trade agreement with the EU, a ‘Norway style’ model and a ‘Common Market’ like the one preceding the EU.51 However, the idea uniting all solutions offered by those interviewed was rooted in minimising the perceived negative economic impact on ordinary families in Barnet, which will then maintain the current levels of spending at the local level, protecting local businesses.

Finally, the importance of local-level impact assessments and planning was underlined, with none of those interviewed considering that the Brexit negotiations are representing Barnet’s interests. For the communities living in Barnet, ‘it’s not about Britain and Europe’, but ‘about Barnet High Street and All Saints’ School, about local issues’. For ordinary citizens to understand the implications, both positive and negative, that Brexit could have for their local communities, ‘impact’ needs to be translated at the local level. This first report, and the local debates to follow, aim to fill in this gap in knowledge dissemination.

Challenges Ahead

Overall, the analysis on the impacts on public services and local businesses in Barnet shows that, at least in the short term, Brexit is not expected to improve Barnet economically or socially.

47 Interview with Nik Haidar, 18 May 2018.
49 Vite pops with Barnet residents in lower paid jobs, who voted to Leave, 2 June 2018.
50 Vite pops with Leave supporters on Barnet High Street, 2 June 2018.
51 Vite pop 4 with Leave voter, 2 June 2018.
53 This idea appealed particularly to the Leave-supporting members of the public interviewed.
54 Interview with Nik Haidar, 18 May 2018.
## Appendix 1: Sociodemographic/voting/economy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Authority</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Burnet</th>
<th>Census 2011</th>
<th>Manifold</th>
<th>Pendle</th>
<th>Southamptone</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Counties (included)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ONS</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>53400</td>
<td>77624</td>
<td>64534</td>
<td>19817</td>
<td>4650001</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electoral Commission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of valid votes in Brexit referendum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage voting remain in Brexit referendum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage voting leave in Brexit referendum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEP estimate for soft Brexit effect (% of GVA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage of live births to mothers not born in the UK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage born outside of Southampton local authority</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage with no qualifications, aged 16-64</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Agriculture, forestry &amp; fishing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Arts, entertainment, recreation &amp; other services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Business, administration &amp; support services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Motor trades</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Wholesale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Retail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Transport &amp; storage (inc post) &amp; warehousing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Accommodation &amp; food services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Information &amp; communication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Finance &amp; insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electoral Commission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electoral Comission data for the 2015 and 2017 general elections is available at:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment share: Professional, scientific &amp; technical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Notes

- Employment by ethnicity data is obtained from Nomis but is not included here due to space constraints.
- Electoral commission data for the EU referendum is available at: [https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/](https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/)
- The Centre for Economic Performance (CEP) paper is available at: [http://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/brexit10.pdf](http://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/brexit10.pdf)
- The Social Mobility Index is only available for England.
- Data from the Census, Annual Population Survey (APS), and Business Register and Employment Survey (BRES) are available at Noms: [localareamigrationindicatorsunitedkingdom](https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/)
- The ONS migration data is available at: [https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/migrationandmigrationpatterns/migrationinthemudlows/datasets/localareamigrationindicatorsunitedkingdom](https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/migrationandmigrationpatterns/migrationinthemudlows/datasets/localareamigrationindicatorsunitedkingdom)
- Employment by qualification data is available from the ONS and is not included here due to space constraints.
- Employment share data is available at: [https://www.hm-coe.org.uk/workourresearch/electionaldatalocalgovernmentdatafilesandreports](https://www.hm-coe.org.uk/workourresearch/electionaldatalocalgovernmentdatafilesandreports)
- Employment share data is available at: [https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/articles/relativeregionalconsumerpricelevelsuk/2016](https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/articles/relativeregionalconsumerpricelevelsuk/2016)
- Employment data for the 2015 and 2017 general elections is available at: [https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/workourresearch/electionaldatalocalgovernmentdatafilesandreports](https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/workourresearch/electionaldatalocalgovernmentdatafilesandreports)

### General Election 2015:
- **Percentage Conservative**
- **Percentage Labour**
- **Percentage UKIP**
- **Percentage turnout**

### General Election 2017:
- **Percentage Conservative**
- **Percentage Labour**
- **Percentage UKIP**
- **Percentage turnout**

### House price, 2017:
- **mean transaction price**
- **median transaction price**

### Price level, 2016:
- **mean transaction price**
- **median transaction price**

### Election results:
- **In the general election of 2015:**
- **In the general election of 2017:**

#### Employment share:
- Business, administration & support services
- Construction
- Motor trades
- Wholesale
Appendix 2: Post Brexit percentage decrease in local authority

Percentage decrease in local authority GVA: Hard Brexit (Dhingra et al. 2017)

Percentage decrease in local authority GVA: Soft Brexit (Dhingra et al. 2017)
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