

# Global Commons Survey 2024

**Sweden**

**G20+ Global Report: planetary stewardship**

**Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance**

Partners: The Policy Institute Kings College, ISWE, Wellbeing Economy Alliance


# Planetary stewardship

# 01


# How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

## The state of nature today



## The state in which we will leave nature for future generations



# How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
<b>The state of nature today</b>	76%	71%	82%	74%	79%	79%	76%	77%	83%	73%	74%
	B		AB						AJK		
<b>The state in which we will leave nature for future generations</b>	77%	72%	83%	74%	80%	81%	78%	81%	79%	73%	75%
	B		AB			D					

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.


4 © Ipsos | Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance | Sweden Deck | September 2024

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).




# Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental ‘tipping points’ where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# Nature can meet the needs of humans right now.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



# Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in [COUNTRY].


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



▲▼ Statistically significant difference vs G20 average (NET: strongly/tend to agree)


# The [COUNTRY]'s government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




# The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



# It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



# To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed	71% D	68%	74% A	66%	74%	74% D	65%	69%	71%	70%	78% AGH
Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature	71% BD	68%	74% AB	66%	72%	76% AD	66%	69%	71%	69%	76%
Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in Sweden	61% D	59%	63%	56%	63%	65% AD	61%	60%	66%	58%	61%
Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future	60% BD	55%	66% AB	54%	63% D	68% AD	55%	60%	63%	59%	64%
The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition	49%	51%	47%	48%	51%	54% A	54%	50%	52%	45%	46%

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Nature can meet the needs of humans right now	43% C	47% AC	40%	42%	44%	46%	40%	41%	38%	49% AI	46%
Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term	36% B	31%	41% AB	38%	36%	35%	45% AJK	37%	39%	30%	31%
New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives	35% CD	43% AC	26%	29%	39% D	40% AD	34%	34%	30%	37%	38%
Sweden's government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage	27% C	33% AC	21%	23%	32% D	28%	30%	26%	28%	27%	25%
Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated	24% C	30% AC	18%	26%	26%	21%	30%	21%	21%	27%	25%


Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?



# Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?

Q6.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
<b>Act immediately, within the next decade</b>	64% BG	59%	70% AB	61%	66%	69% AD	54%	63%	70% G	64%	66% G
<b>Act within the next 20 to 30 years</b>	16% K	18% AC	13%	18%	13%	15%	29% AHIJK	18% K	14%	13%	10%
<b>Act, but we have more time than 30 years</b>	7% C	9% AC	5%	7%	9%	7%	8%	8%	5%	8%	7%
<b>Never – no action required</b>	4% C	7% AC	1%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	8% AH
<b>Don't know</b>	9% F	7%	11% A	10%	7%	4%	6%	8%	8%	11%	9%

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# In your opinion, which of the following should be held most responsible for reversing damage to environment (e.g. climate, water and air pollution, forests)? Please select up to three.

Q7.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Business and industry	60%	56%	64%	58%	60%	64%	50%	60%	57%	67%	61%
	BG		AB			AI				AGI	
National governments of wealthy countries	50%	52%	49%	44%	52%	57%	49%	45%	50%	53%	55%
	D					AD					H
International organisations (e.g. the UN or the World Bank)	42%	37%	46%	41%	40%	46%	50%	49%	41%	37%	34%
	BK		AB			A	AJK	AJK			
National governments of developing countries	30%	32%	28%	26%	31%	33%	19%	22%	32%	33%	41%
	GH				H	D			GH	GH	AGHI
The global super rich	22%	25%	19%	24%	25%	20%	28%	24%	27%	17%	16%
	CK	AC					JK		JK		
High-income and middle-income earners in Sweden	9%	11%	7%	9%	11%	9%	17%	11%	9%	7%	5%
	CK	AC					AJK	K			
Environmental groups	9%	9%	8%	9%	12%	6%	17%	11%	7%	7%	5%
	K				F		AJK	K			
Not applicable – no action required	3%	5%	1%	3%	1%	3%		2%	2%	4%	5%
	C	AC								G	AG
Don't know	10%	7%	12%	11%	9%	5%	5%	11%	9%	10%	11%
	BF		AB	F				F	F	F	F

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).

# Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important?

Q1.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Tolerance and respect for other people	69% B	64%	75% AB	69%	69%	69%	64%	70%	70%	69%	72%
Respect for animals, nature, and the environment	59% BG	52%	67% AB	59%	59%	61%	45%	63% G	55%	63% G	62% G
Independence	56% D	54%	59%	49%	59% D	64% AD	55%	53%	52%	61%	62% I
Good manners	53% D	54%	53%	49%	53%	54%	54%	52%	53%	53%	55%
Feeling of responsibility	51% DGH	51%	51%	46%	54%	56% AD	41%	43%	55% GH	54% GH	61% AGH
Thrift, saving money and things	41%	38%	43%	38%	43%	40%	34%	43%	40%	43%	40%
Imagination	35% G	34%	35%	32%	38%	36%	24%	39% G	35% G	36% G	33%
Not being selfish (unselfishness)	34%	34%	34%	31%	37%	37%	33%	37%	34%	32%	36%
Determination, perseverance	19% C	22% AC	15%	16%	17%	23% AD	23%	20%	19%	17%	18%
Hard work	13% CK	15% AC	10%	13%	16%	13%	17% K	13%	17% AK	11%	8%
Obedience	11% C	15% AC	7%	14%	9%	12%	20% AHIJ	9%	10%	9%	13%
Religious faith	6%	7%	6%	9% AF	6%	4%	14% AHIJK	6%	6%	5%	4%
None of these	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	2% F	2%	1%	4% AEF	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# Values and demographics

# 02

# To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following... (Very / somewhat optimistic).

Q2.

	Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
		Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
<b>Your future</b>	54%	57%	52%	44%	57%	63%	67%	60%	53%	46%	50%
	DJ				D	AD	AIJK	JK			
<b>The future of your country</b>	28%	31%	26%	26%	27%	33%	29%	32%	25%	26%	30%
						AD					
<b>The future of the world</b>	19%	20%	18%	18%	19%	20%	22%	23%	19%	18%	15%
								K			

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

22 © Ipsos | Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance | Sweden Deck | September 2024


Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# Please now give us your views on the following issues. We will show you two statements – please tell us which of these statements is closer to your beliefs.

Q3. 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 7 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

■ Net: 1-2 ■ Net: 3-5 ■ Net: 6-7



Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.



# How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household?

# How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

QD4, QD5.

		Total (A)	Gender		Household income			Age				
			Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household?	NET: Very/fairly satisfied	45%	49%	41%	31%	51%	56%	53%	42%	47%	40%	46%
		CD	AC		D	AD		HJ				
How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household?	NET: Very/fairly dissatisfied	30%	25%	35%	42%	31%	18%	21%	29%	33%	35%	27%
		BFG		AB	AEF	F				G	G	
How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?	NET: Very/somewhat exposed	16%	16%	15%	18%	17%	15%	25%	16%	19%	14%	9%
		K						AHJK	K	K		
How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?	NET: Not very/not at all exposed	78%	80%	76%	75%	80%	82%	71%	75%	76%	77%	88%
		DG					AD					AGHIJ

Base: Sweden, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.

For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



# Methodology

# 03


# Objectives and methodology.

- Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance, in partnership with The Policy Institute Kings College, Wellbeing Alliance, ISWE, and Wellbeing Economy Alliance, Ipsos conducted research to understand **attitudes to societal transformations, political / economic systems and planetary stewardship**. Questions focused on: values and worldviews, attitudes towards nature and planetary stewardship, attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, levels of support for Earth4All policy recommendations. **This report focuses on attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, and levels of support for Earth4All policy proposals.**
- Ipsos surveyed **22,000 participants aged 18 to 55-75 in total across 22 countries**. This included 18 G20 countries (Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indonesia (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Turkey (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000)), plus four countries outside the G20 (Austria (1,000), Denmark (1,000), Kenya (1,000) and Sweden (1,000)). Not all questions were asked in China. All interviews were conducted online and took place between **5th March and 8th April 2024**.
- **Quota sampling** was used, and in each country data are **weighted to be representative of the national population on age, gender, region and working status**. Where results do not sum to 100, this may be due to rounding, multiple responses, or the exclusion of 'don't know' categories.
- **This report presents a 'G20 country average'** and compares individual countries against this. Overall 'G20 country average' percentage is an arithmetic average of national results across the 18 G20 countries surveyed (or 17 G20 countries in the case of questions that were not asked in China), where the results from each country have the same weight and are not pro-rata to the true population proportions for this audience.
- This report presents the findings from **Sweden**, comparing responses with the other nations surveyed. This study also compares responses from different demographic groups in Sweden. In **Sweden, 1,000 participants aged 18-65 were interviewed** in Swedish between 5th March and 8th April 2024.

# Methodology: Study Coverage.

22 countries were included in the study, a total of **22,000 participants** from age 18 to 55-75.

Some questions that were asked were also run in the [Global Commons Survey in 2021](#). Where this is the case, trend data has been shown for those countries included in both the 2021 and 2024 studies.



■ Countries new to survey in 2024   ■ Countries surveyed in 2021 & 2024   ■ Countries surveyed in 2021 only

# Sample overview by country.

	Country	Abbreviation	Sample Size	Ages covered	Language
	United Kingdom	<b>UK</b>	1000	18-75	English
	Italy	<b>IT</b>	1000	18-65	Italian
	Turkey	<b>TR</b>	1000	18-60	Turkish
	United States	<b>US</b>	1000	18-75	English
	Argentina	<b>AR</b>	1000	18-55	Spanish
	Australia	<b>AU</b>	1000	18-65	English
	Brazil	<b>BR</b>	1000	18-55	Portuguese
	China	<b>CN</b>	1000	18-55	Chinese (Simplified)
	India	<b>IN</b>	1000	18-65	English
	Indonesia	<b>ID</b>	1000	21-65	Bahasa Indonesia
	Japan	<b>JP</b>	1000	18-65	Japanese
	South Korea	<b>SK</b>	1000	18-55	Korean
	Mexico	<b>MX</b>	1000	18-55	Spanish
	Saudi Arabia	<b>SA</b>	1000	18-65	Arabic
	South Africa	<b>ZA</b>	1000	18-65	English
	Canada	<b>CA</b>	1000	18-65	English, French
	France	<b>FR</b>	1000	18-65	French
	Germany	<b>DE</b>	1000	18-65	German
	Austria	<b>AT</b>	1000	18-75	German
	Denmark	<b>DK</b>	1000	18-75	Danish
	Kenya	<b>KE</b>	1000	18-65	Swahili
	Sweden	<b>SW</b>	1000	18-65	Swedish

# Methodology: demographics/subgroups bases.

Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000	
<b>Gender</b>	
Male	501 / 510
	50% / 51%
Female	495 / 486
	50% / 49%
<b>Employment Status</b>	
Working	786 / 786
	79% / 79%
Not working	214 / 214
	21% / 21%
<b>Education</b>	
Secondary or below	558 / 560
	56% / 56%
Degree or above	442 / 440
	44% / 44%
<b>Household Income (per annum, pre-tax)</b>	
Low (0-399,999 kr)	349 / 351
	35% / 35%
Middle (400,000-599,999 kr)	233 / 233
	23% / 23%
High (600,000+ kr)	344 / 342
	34% / 34%
<b>Children in Household</b>	
Yes	344 / 343
	34% / 34%
No	647 / 648
	65% / 65%

Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000	
<b>Age</b>	
18-24	119 / 130
	12% / 13%
25-34	236 / 233
	24% / 23%
35-44	215 / 210
	22% / 21%
45-54	215 / 212
	21% / 21%
55-65	215 / 215
	22% / 22%
<b>Region</b>	
Norrland	84 / 85
	8% / 8%
Mellansverige	246 / 244
	25% / 24%
Stockholm	248 / 244
	25% / 24%
Västsverige	189 / 200
	19% / 20%
Södra Sverige	232 / 229
	23% / 23%

# Ipsos Standards & Accreditations

Ipsos's standards & accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Moreover, our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a 'right first time' approach throughout our organisation.



**ISO 20252** – is the international specific standard for market, opinion and social research, including insights and data analytics. Ipsos in the UK was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.



**MRS Company Partnership** – By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos UK endorse and support the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commit to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation & we were the first company to sign our organisation up to the requirements & self-regulation of the MRS Code; more than 350 companies have followed our lead.



**ISO 9001** – International general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994 we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.



**ISO 27001** – International standard for information security designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos UK was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.



**The UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) & the UK Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA)** – Ipsos UK is required to comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act; it covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.



**HMG Cyber Essentials** – A government backed and key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos UK was assessment validated for certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.



**Fair Data** – Ipsos UK is signed up as a 'Fair Data' Company by agreeing to adhere to twelve core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.

---

**This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos UK Terms and Conditions.**

# Appendix: Trending vs Global Commons research

# 04

# How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

	The state of nature today			The state in which we will leave nature for future generations		
	2021	2023		2021	2023	
<b>G20*</b>	58%	59%		61%	62%	
<b>Argentina</b>	68%	65%		68%	71%	
<b>Australia</b>	46%	46%		51%	53%	
<b>Brazil</b>	74%	78%	▲	77%	80%	
<b>Canada</b>	52%	52%		55%	56%	
<b>China</b>	46%	53%	▲	47%	57%	▲
<b>France</b>	57%	66%	▲	58%	69%	▲
<b>United Kingdom</b>	46%	53%	▲	47%	56%	▲
<b>Germany</b>	57%	56%		59%	60%	
<b>India</b>	70%	69%		70%	63%	▼
<b>Indonesia</b>	68%	67%		70%	68%	
<b>Italy</b>	69%	53%	▼	72%	58%	▼
<b>Japan</b>	44%	48%		47%	52%	▲
<b>Saudi Arabia</b>	26%	30%	▲	31%	28%	
<b>South Korea</b>	64%	56%	▼	66%	64%	
<b>Mexico</b>	77%	77%		81%	81%	
<b>South Africa</b>	66%	74%	▲	69%	76%	▲
<b>Turkey</b>	79%	72%	▼	83%	77%	▼
<b>United States</b>	45%	43%		49%	48%	
<b>Sweden</b>	40%	39%		45%	46%	

Base= 2021= 18,655; 2023=19,000. \*Please note that G20 average in 2021 included Russia.



Statistically significant difference vs Global Commons research 2021. Please note that due to survey differences, these trends should be read with caution.

Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental ‘tipping points’ where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.  
(Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	73%	69%	▼
Argentina	77%	76%	
Australia	66%	64%	
Brazil	83%	78%	▼
Canada	66%	64%	
China	74%	70%	▼
France	72%	64%	▼
United Kingdom	65%	67%	
Germany	67%	66%	
India	77%	73%	▼
Indonesia	86%	85%	
Italy	79%	62%	▼
Japan	63%	54%	▼
Saudi Arabia	72%	56%	▼
South Korea	73%	66%	▼
Mexico	78%	82%	▲
South Africa	76%	77%	
Turkey	85%	71%	▼
United States	60%	61%	
Sweden	63%	60%	

Base= 2021= 18,655; 2023=19,000. \*Please note that G20 average in 2021 included Russia.

▲▼ Statistically significant difference vs Global Commons research 2021. Please note that due to survey differences, these trends should be read with caution.

# Nature can meet the needs of humans right now. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	50%	49%	
Argentina	64%	64%	
Australia	42%	42%	
Brazil	57%	62%	▲
Canada	47%	43%	▼
China	47%	50%	
France	37%	39%	
United Kingdom	35%	39%	▲
Germany	38%	39%	
India	61%	67%	▲
Indonesia	76%	74%	
Italy	40%	26%	▼
Japan	33%	27%	▼
Saudi Arabia	65%	57%	▼
South Korea	35%	31%	▼
Mexico	55%	60%	▲
South Africa	56%	61%	▲
Turkey	62%	58%	▼
United States	53%	47%	
Sweden	41%	43%	▼

Base= 2021= 18,655; 2023=19,000. \*Please note that G20 average in 2021 included Russia.



Statistically significant difference vs Global Commons research 2021. Please note that due to survey differences, these trends should be read with caution.

# Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	59%	59%	
Argentina	66%	68%	
Australia	43%	45%	
Brazil	75%	72%	
Canada	35%	42%	▲
China	57%	59%	
France	59%	57%	
United Kingdom	42%	46%	
Germany	55%	55%	
India	75%	77%	
Indonesia	64%	72%	▲
Italy	60%	52%	▼
Japan	72%	65%	▼
Saudi Arabia	66%	52%	▼
South Korea	62%	53%	▼
Mexico	75%	78%	
South Africa	47%	54%	▲
Turkey	81%	76%	▼
United States	34%	44%	▲
Sweden	31%	36%	▲

Base= 2021= 18,655; 2023=19,000. \*Please note that G20 average in 2021 included Russia.



Statistically significant difference vs Global Commons research 2021. Please note that due to survey differences, these trends should be read with caution.

