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| LCY | loose cubic yard | yr | year |
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#### Abstract

This Bureau of Mines publication presents a method for estimating capital and operating costs associated with the exploration, mining, and processing of placer deposits. To ensure representative cost estimates, operational parameters for placering equipment and basic principles of placer mining techniques are detailed.
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## INTRODUCTION

In 1974, the Bureau of Mines began a systematic assessment of U.S. mineral supplies under its Minerals Availability Program (MAP). To aid in this program, a technique was developed to estimate capital and operating costs associated with various mining methods. This technique, developed under a Bureau contract by STRAAM Engineers, Inc., was completed in 1975, then updated in 1983. During the course of the update, it was noted that few provisions were made for estimating the costs of small-scale mining and milling methods typically associated with placer mining. The popularity and widespread use of placer mining methods indicated that a cost estimating system for placer mining would be of value to prospectors, miners, investors, and government evaluators.

This report has been written to aid those involved with placer mining in the estimation of costs to recover valuable minerals from placer deposits. It relies on the principle that cost estimates will be representative only if calculated for technically feasible mining operations. Because the design of such an operation can be difficult, provisions have been made to assist the user in achieving this goal.

Section 1 of the report describes the processes involved in placering, and may be used to aid in designing a viable mine. Operational parameters for equipment commonly used in placer exploration, mining, and processing are discussed, as well as basic principles of successful placer
mining techniques. If the reader is unfamiliar with this form of mining, section 1 should be thoroughly understood prior to estimating costs.

Section 2 contains cost equations that enable the user to estimate capital and operating costs of specific placer techniques. Cost equations are designed to handle the wide variety of conditions commonly found in placer deposits. This allows the reader to tailor estimates to the characteristics of a particular deposit, which ensures representative costs. Although based primarily on gold placer operations, cost equations are valid for any other commodity found in deposits of unconsolidated material. Equations are geared to operations handling between 20 and 500 LCY/h of material (pay gravel plus overburden). Estimated costs are representative of operations in the western United States and Alaska, and are based on a cost date of January 1985.

The appendix provides an example of placer mine design and cost estimation using the information contained in this report.

This report is not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of placer mining. Many detailed texts have been written on this process, any one of which will assist the reader in method design. A number of these are listed in the bibliographies accompanying sections 1 and 2 .
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## SECTION 1.-PLACER MINE DESIGN

The complete design of a placer mine involves the integration of exploration, mining, processing, and supplemental systems for the efficient recovery of valuable minerals from an alluvial deposit. This design is the first step in accurate cost estimation.

In this section, individual systems are categorized as follows:

1. Exploration.-The phase of the operation in which resources are delineated. Because the amount of time and effort spent on discovery is difficult to tie to any one specific deposit, only the processes of delineation and definition are costed. Field reconnaissance, drilling, and panning are representative of items in this category.
2. Mining.-Deposit development, material excavation and transportation, and feeding of the mill are all included in this category. Items such as clearing and overburden removal are also included.
3. Processing.-Processing is defined as all tasks required to separate the desired mineral products from valueless material.
4. Supplemental.-Any items not directly related to mineral recovery, but necessary for the operation of the mine. These might include buildings, employee housing, and settling pond construction.

Before designing a placer mining operation, the evaluator will need information concerning the deposit under evaluation. Preliminary information helpful in exploration program, mine, mill, and supplemental function design includes

1. Description of deposit access.
2. Anticipated exploration and deposit definition requirements.
3. An estimate of deposit geometry and volume.
4. Distribution and location of valuable minerals within the deposit.
5. Geologic characteristics, volume and depth of overburden.
6. Depth, profile, and geologic characteristics of bedrock.
7. Local topography.
8. Physical characteristics and geologic nature of valuable minerals.
9. Availability of water.
10. Availability of power.
11. Environmental considerations.
12. Labor availability and local wage scales.
13. Housing or camp requirements.

Information should be as detailed as possible. By providing such items as exact haul distances and gradients, accurate estimates of overburden thickness and deposit area, the evaluator will increase the precision of cost calculations.

With the preceding information in hand and the help of the material contained in the following pages, the user will be able to design a technically feasible operation. The following sections will assist the evaluator in planning each phase of the mine. When designing systems for individual areas of operation, the evaluator must keep in mind that these systems will interact and must be compatible. For instance, hourly capacity of pay gravel excavation should equal mill feed rate, and the mill must be set up to easily accept gravel from the equipment used for material transportation.

Most of the information contained in the following pages is based on average operating parameters and performance data for the various types of equipment used in placer mining. Costs and conclusions derived from this manual must be considered estimates only. Because of the many variables peculiar to individual deposits, the stated levels of equipment performance and costs may not be realized on any given job.

## EXPLORATION

It can be safely stated that far more people seek placer deposits than actually mine them. Exploration for placer gold can be enjoyable work and has achieved a recreational status in the western United States. For the serious miner, however, exploration is only the initial phase of a complete mining operation. Consequently, it incurs a cost that must be repaid by the recovery of valuable minerals.

For the purposes of this report, exploration is divided into two phases. The first phase involves locating the deposit, and the second consists of defining enough of a resource to either justify development or to eliminate the deposit from further consideration.

Costs for the first phase of exploration are difficult to attribute to any one deposit. This type of exploration is typically regional in nature and deposit specifics are rarely considered. For cost estimation purposes, expenses associated with a specific deposit are the main concern. Only costs directly related to the definition of that particular deposit will be calculated. Accordingly, this discussion deals mainly with the deposit definition phase of exploration.

Time, effort, and money spent on resource definition vary greatly from one deposit to the next. Some miners are satisfied with the degree of certainty obtainable with shovel, pan, and physical labor. Others, wishing more security, systematically trench or drill the deposit and process samples using some sort of mechanical concentrator. Still others, hoping for greater assurance, follow up drilling or trenching by bulk sampling using machinery intended for mining. These samples are then processed in a scaled-down version of the proposed mill. The extent of effort spent on deposit definition is related to

1. Degree of certainty desired.
2. Availability of capital.
3. Experience of the operator.
4. Historical continuity of similar or local deposits.

It is intuitively obvious that the degree of certainty of success is related to the extent of exploration undertaken, and it is desirable to delineate the deposit as extensively as is practical prior to production. In many cases, however, lack of exploration capital and the need for cash-flow limit
the exploration phase, and mining commences on the limited information at hand. Goals of a thorough exploration program include determination of

1. Deposit volume.
2. Deposit and overburden geometry.
3. Deposit grade.
4. Distribution of valuable minerals within the deposit.
5. Geological and physical characteristics of the valuable minerals.
6. Geological and physical characteristics of waste material.
7. Location, geology, and physical nature of the bedrock.
8. Water availability.
9. Environmental concerns.

Much of the information needed to estimate costs of developing and operating a placer mine is gathered during deposit exploration. Consequently, costs estimated after exploration are much more precise than estimates made prior to exploration.

In section 2 of this report, two methods are presented for estimating exploration costs. With the first, a cost can be calculated by simply estimating the total resource of the deposit. This method is based on total exploration expenditures for several active placer operations, but is not considered as precise as the second method.

The second method requires that the evaluator design an exploration plan. This plan should include the type and extent of each exploration method required, for example

1. General reconnaissance, 5 days with a two-person crew.
2. Seismic surveying, 10,000 linear ft .
3. Churn drilling, $4,000 \mathrm{ft}$.
4. Trenching, $1,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.
5. Samples panned, 2,000 .
6. Camp facilities, four people for 20 days.

To aid in developing this plan, some techniques commonly employed for sampling and subsurface testing of placer deposits are discussed in the following paragraphs. These include panning, churn drilling, bucket drilling, rotary drilling, trenching, and seismic surveying.

## PANNING

One of the most versatile and common sampling devices in placer mining is the gold pan. It is used as a reconnaissance tool, a sampling tool, and a concentrate refining tool. With a gold pan, the prospector has the ability to, in effect, conduct his or her assay work on-site with immediate results. Although accuracy may be poor, the prospector can determine in the field if gold is present and in roughly what amounts.

The gold pan uses gravity separation to concentrate heavy minerals. Pans come in a variety of sizes, ranging in diameter from 12 to 16 in . An experienced panner can concentrate approximately $0.5 \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ gravel daily. Because of this limited capacity, panning can be costly when large volumes must be processed; however, low capital expense, ease of use, versatility, and portability make the gold pan invaluable.

Immediate feedback when exploring or mining is a prime advantage of the gold pan. This one feature is extremely important for eliminating areas of low potential during exploration, and for separating pay gravel from
waste during production. Skilled use of a gold pan during the mining sequence can make or break the small mining operation.

## CHURN DRILLING

Methods of drilling placer deposits are quite varied, but the most common technique is churn drilling. Typically, the churn drill uses percussion to drive casing down through the material being sampled (in some instances, casing is not used). After a length of casing is driven, the contents are recovered (bailed), another length of casing is added, and the process is repeated. Depths are usually restricted to less than 150 ft , and hole diameters range from 4 to 10 in .

One advantage of this method is that sample processing keeps pace with drilling, allowing good control of drillhole depth and instantaneous logging. A churn drill is generally operated by two people; the driller operates the drill, bails the sample, and keeps track of the depth of each run; the panner estimates the volume of the samples, pans them as they are recovered, and logs the hole.

Drilling rates average about $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{h}$ but can reach as much as $4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{h}$ in clay, soil, sand, pebbles and soft bedrock. The machine is suitable for drilling through cemented gravels and permafrost, although productivity will diminish. Penetration is drastically reduced in ground containing boulders and in competent or hard bedrock.

Samples recovered from churn drill casings are often subject to volume changes caused by compaction or expansion of material within the casing. Sample volume changes can also be caused by compaction around the bit forcing material out into the surrounding formation, and by material "run-in" due to high deposit water content. One or more of these conditions may be encountered in any one deposit, requiring the application of volume corrections. This task is often difficult and requires the experience of a qualified driller or engineer.

## BUCKET DRILLING

Bucket drilling, although not as popular as churn drilling, has important applications in placer deposit evaluation. Under ideal conditions, this technique is relatively fast and provides large samples. In this system, a standard rotary drill is equipped with a special "bucket" bit consisting of a 30 - to 48 -in-diam cylinder, 3 to 4 ft long. The bit is driven down through the deposit, using the rotational force of the drill, until the cylinder is full: As the bit is withdrawn, a mechanism closes off the bottom of the bit retaining the sample. The process is then repeated until the desired depth is reached.

Bucket drills perform best in sands, soils, pebbles, and clays. Progress is slow, and sometimes impossible, in ground containing boulders, cemented gravel layers, and bedrock. The size of the bit tends to disperse drilling force over a large area, thereby reducing the effective penetration rate. For this reason the bucket drill quickly becomes inefficient in hard or compact material. Problems are also encountered in saturated ground, where water often washes away a portion of the sample as the bit is withdrawn.

Bucket drilling extracts a much larger sample than other drilling methods. Consequently, the influence of the bit on compaction and expansion of material is reduced.

## ROTARY DRILLING

This type of drill, commonly used for drilling largediameter blastholes in surface mining, has found limited use in placer exploration. The only way to obtain a sample with this machine is to analyze drill cuttings. Because the method does not provide a core, it is difficult to associate a volume with the recovered material, and it is hard to estimate the depth horizon of the sample.

Rotary drills are useful in that they provide a fast, inexpensive way to determine the depth of bedrock. Holes provided by rotary drills range from 6 to 15 in . in diameter and reach any depth required for placer mining. Virtually any material can be drilled, and penetration rates are far superior to any other placer drilling method. Regardless of the steps taken, however, it is difficult to accurately estimate deposit grade with samples obtained from rotary drilling.

## TRENCHING

In fairly shallow, dry deposits, trenching with a backhoe is an extremely effective sampling technique. The procedure involves digging a trench to bedrock, then obtaining material from a channel taken down one side of the trench. This material is then measured and analyzed, providing a grade estimate. Another method relates an assay analysis of all the material extracted by the backhoe to the volume
of the trench. The disadvantage of this method is the inability to determine the horizon of valuable mineral concentration. With either method, large-volume samples are available at a low cost.

In sampling situations, backhoes can excavate from 20 to $45 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$. Sample control is typically good with little volume distortion or material dilution under properly controlled circumstances. Backhoes are relatively inexpensive, easy to operate, versatile, and readily available. The machine can dig a variety of formations, and digging depths as much 30 ft below the machine platforms are possible. In saturated ground, keeping the trench open for sampling is normally a major problem.

## SEISMIC SURVEYS

In placer mining, bedrock depth plays a key role. Although not always the case, gold tends to concentrate near, on, or even in bedrock in a majority of placer deposits. Consequently, it is imperative to understand the nature of the bedrock and to design a mining method and select equipment based on its depth.

One method of determining bedrock depth is seismic refraction or reflection. In simple terms, the technique involves bouncing sound energy off the relatively resistant bedrock to determine its depth. The method is much cheaper than drilling a series of holes and, if bedrock proves to be too deep for practical mining, may prevent unnecessary drilling.

## MINING

Next, a method for excavation and and transportation of material contained in the deposit is needed. Mining methods are typically dictated by several basic factors. Deposit depth, size, and topography are of primary importance. The geologic nature of the deposit and accompanying overburden both play key roles. Types of equipment obtainable locally, sources of power, and the availability of water are all important factors. In some cases, operators may simply feel more confident using one method of extraction as opposed to another, even if local conditions are unfavorable.

In any event, the mining method should be designed with one fundamental goal in mind: To extract pay gravel from the deposit and move it to the mill at the lowest possible overall cost. Several basic concepts should be designed into the mining method to keep costs low. These include

1. Haul only pay gravel to the mill. Eliminate hauling and processing unprofitable material.
2. Handle both overburden and pay gravel as few times as possible. Do not pile overburden or tails on ground that is scheduled for excavation.
3. Locate the mill at a site that minimizes average pay gravel haul distance. In most instances, it is cheaper to pump water than to haul gravel.
4. Do not mine gravel that is not profitable even if it contains gold. Money is lost for every yard of gravel mined if that gravel does not contain enough value to pay for the cost of mining and processing.

As can be seen, common sense plays a large role in the proper design of a placer mine. The same holds true for mine
equipment selection. Countless combinations of equipment have been tried in attempts to effectively mine placer deposits. Equipment typically used in the western United States includes

1. Backhoes (hydraulic excavators).
2. Bulldozers.
3. Draglines.
4. Dredges.
5. Front-end loaders.
6. Rear-dump trucks.
7. Scrapers.

Each type of equipment is suited to a particular task. In some instances, only one piece of equipment may be used to remove overburden, excavate and haul pay gravel, and place mill tailings and oversize (i.e., bulldozers). More often, several different types of equipment are utilized to take advantage of their specific attributes.

When selecting placer mining equipment, the evaluator must consider two important concepts. First, the volume of earth in place is less than the volume of the same earth after excavation. This point is critical in cost estimation and must be remembered. Because placer gravel is relatively light, placer mining equipment is typically limited by volume capacity, not weight capacity. For this reason, mine equipment capacities and associated cost equations in this report are based on volume after accounting for material swell-in loose cubic yards. Resource estimates are typically stated in bank cubic yards-the volume before accounting for material swell. This has a significant meaning to the design of a placer mining system. To mine a $500,000-\mathrm{BCY}$
deposit, equipment will have to move 570,000 LCY of gravel if the material swells $14 \%$ (typical for gravel deposits). Although the total weight of material moved is constant, equipment will have to move a larger volume of gravel than the in-place estimate indicates. As a result, the mining system should be designed around the total loose cubic yards of gravel to be moved, not the total bank cubic yards.

Second, mine equipment equations in section 2 of this report are based on the maximum amount of overburden, pay gravel, and mill tails moved daily. Although average volume handled might be less, equipment must be selected to handle the maximum load.

To aid in mine planning, and to obtain reasonable capital and operating mine costs, the following information will typically be required:

1. Total length and average width of haul and access roads.
2. Total surface area of deposit.
3. Nature of ground cover.
4. Topography of deposit area.
5. Total loose cubic yards of overburden, and maximum amount of overburden handled daily.
6. Total loose cubic yards of pay gravel, and maximum amount of pay gravel handled daily.
7. Total cubic yards of mill tails handled daily.
8. Type of equipment desired.
9. Average haul distances and gradients for overburden, pay gravel, and tailings.

The following is a discussion of the principal types of equipment used in excavating and hauling overburden, placer gravel, and mill oversize and tails, and may be used to aid in mine design and equipment selection.

## BACKHOES (HYDRAULIC EXCAVATORS)

The backhoe is one of the most efficient types of equipment for bedrock cleanup. It is most often used for the extraction of pay gravel, but can also be used for excavation of overburden. The machine has almost no capacity for transportation of material and for that reason is used in conjunction with either front-end loaders, trucks, or in some cases, bulldozers. Depending on bucket selection, the machine can handle a variety of ground conditions including clays, poorly sorted gravels, tree roots, and vegetation. Digging depths of over 30 ft are obtainable with certain backhoes, but production capability decreases rapidly as maximum digging depth is approached.

Backhoes typically used in the western United States are capable of excavating from 95 to $475 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$. Sizes range from 105 -hp machines with $0.5-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ buckets to 325 -hp units with $3.75-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ buckets. Capacity is contingent upon digging difficulty, operator ability, swing angle, digging depth, and obstructions.

The backhoe is ideal for situations where bedrock cleanup is critical, obstructions exist in the mining area, and other means of transporting gravel are available.

## BULLDOZERS

The bulldozer represents an extremely versatile tool in placer deposit extraction, and is the most popular. It can be used for overburden removal, pay gravel excavation,
bedrock cleanup, overburden and pay gravel transportation, road construction, tailings placement, and a variety of minor functions. The bulldozer is the only device capable of handling all tasks required for placer mining in a practical manner and must be considered if capital is scarce.

Although bulldozers can handle all placer mining functions, they are not necessarily the most efficient machine for any one task. With its ripping capacity, the bulldozer is capable of cleaning up bedrock; however, the backhoe is much more selective and efficient. The bulldozer can, and often is, used to transport gravel, but in most cases trucks, scrapers, and front-end loaders can each do the job cheaper if haul distances are more than a few hundred feet. In addition, bulldozers are not well suited to more large volumes of gravel or to dig to excessive depths. In both instances, draglines exhibit superior performance.

A major advantage of the bulldozer is its ability to excavate, transport, and load the mill all in one cycle, eliminating the need for expensive rehandling. Dozer capacities for excavating and hauling range from $19 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a $65-\mathrm{hp}$ machine up to $497.5 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a 700 hp dozer (based on a 300 -ft haul distance). Capacity is dependent upon ripping requirements, operator ability, cutting distance, haul distance, digging difficulty, and haul gradient.

Dozers are best suited for situations where deposit and overburden thicknesses are not excessive, few large obstructions are present, and haul distances average less than 500 ft .

## DRAGLINES

Draglines are well suited for excavating large quantities of overburden, gravel, and waste. Although their material transporting ability is limited, draglines with booms up to 70 ft long are capable of acting as the sole piece of mining equipment. As with the bulldozer, draglines can excavate overburden and pay gravel, load the mill, and remove tailings; however, draglines are relatively inefficient at bedrock cleanup, and do not handle difficult digging as well as backhoes or dozers.

Depths of over 200 ft are obtainable with this type of machine, and when used in conjunction with front-end loaders or rear-dump trucks, large-capacity operations are possible. Draglines handle from 28 LCY/1 for a 84 -hp unit to $264 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a $540-\mathrm{hp}$ machine. Capacity is dependent upon bucket efficiency, swing angle, and operator ability.

Draglines are ideal for overburden removal and for large, deep deposits where bedrock cleanup is not critical. They must, however, be matched with the right equipment (i.e., portable mills or gravel transportation machinery).

## DREDGES

Cost estimation equations for dredging are not included in this report. Dredges, except for recreational units and small machines used in active channels, are designed for high-capacity excavation of specific placer environments. The machines are best utilized in large volume, relatively flat-lying deposits that occur below water level. Because of large capital investment requirements and a scarcity of ground suitable for large-scale dredging, they are uncommon in the western United States.

Operating costs for large-capacity dredges average approximately $\$ 0.70 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$. Purchase and refurbishing costs are often more than $\$ 3$ million, and can run over $\$ 10$ million. In large-volume situations, dredges must be considered. Because suitable applications are rare, however, they have not been included in this report.

## FRONT-END LOADERS

This versatile machine is capable of many functions. In the western United States, its primary use is hauling previously excavated gravels, and the subsequent loading of the mill. Although front-end loaders are not the most efficient hauling unit, their self-loading ability provides many advantages. One is the elimination of the need to match the excavation machine with the haul unit. With a frontend loader, the excavator can operate at its own pace and simply stockpile material. The loader then feeds from the stockpile and transports gravel to the mill feed hopper. This removes the problem of matching excavator output with truck cycles or mill feed rates.

The machine is also capable of removing and transporting mill oversize and tailings; however, front-end loaders are not particularly adept at excavating consolidated material. If overburden or gravel are at all compacted, a backhoe or bulldozer should be used for a primary excavation.

Front-end loaders are capable of hauling from $24 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a $65-\mathrm{hp}, 1-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ machine to $348 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a $690-\mathrm{hp}, 12$ - $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ machine (based on a $500-\mathrm{ft}$ haul distance). Capacity varies with haul length, haul gradient, operator ability, bucket efficiency, and type of loader.

Front-end loaders are best utilized as haul units over distances of less than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$. Their versatility makes them useful for pay gravel and overburden transportation, mill oversize and tailings removal, and general site cleanup.

## REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Trucks represent the least expensive method of material movement over long distances; however, since other machinery is required for loading, total gravel transportation expenses over short distances may be higher than for front-end loaders or scrapers. Trucks generally serve two
purposes: Material movement and mill feed. They have relatively low capital costs and require little maintenance compared to other placer equipment. Trucks do need fairly good road surfaces and require careful matching with loading equipment to achieve acceptable efficiency.

Capacities for units at small placer operations range from 3 to $47.5 \mathrm{yd}^{3}$. Trucks are most productive over haul distances of 1,000 to $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ and can travel faster than equivalent-sized scrapers or front-end loaders. Production capacities range from $32.3 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a 3 - $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ truck to 444.8 LCY/h for a $47.5-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ truck (based on a $2,500-\mathrm{ft}$ haul distance). Capacity is contingent upon loader capacity, haul distance, and haul gradient.

Trucks are suited to operations where a fixed mill is situated more than 0.5 mile from the minesite. They are equally effective hauling pay gravel, overburden, or mill tailings and oversize, but must be accompanied by a method of material loading.

## SCRAPERS

These machines are noted for their high productivity when used to transport overburden, pay gravel, and tailings. As with front-end loaders, scrapers are self-loading, although bulldozers or other scrapers often assist. They are capable of much higher speeds and greater capacity than front-end loaders, and exhibit haulage characteristics similar to rear-dump trucks. Scrapers, however, are more costly to purchase and maintain.

Scrapers are limited in their ability to excavate consolidated or unsorted material. A bulldozer equipped with a ripper must precede them in overburden or gravel that is not easily drifted. If boulders are present, they must either be blasted or removed by other means. The nature of the scraper-dumping mechanism renders them unsuitable for direct mill feed. When used to haul pay gravel, scrapers will typically unload near the mill, and bulldozers will then be used to feed material.

Capacities range from $201 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a 330 -hp machine to $420 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ for a $550-\mathrm{hp}$ machine (based on a $1,000-\mathrm{ft}$ haul distance). Capacity is contingent upon haul distance and gradient, and loading procedure.

In placer mining, scrapers are best utilized for transportation of unconsolidated overburden or mill tailings over distances ranging from 500 to $5,000 \mathrm{ft}$.

## PROCESSING

Often the most difficult part of placer mining is achieving the desired recovery of valuable minerals from minerun gravel. The design of a successful mill is a specialized science and often proves difficult even for those actively involved in placer mining. Great care must be taken to ensure the recovery of a high percentage of contained valuable minerals. Obviously, the profitability of an operation is directly related to the percentage of contained valuable minerals recovered by the mill.

Although mill design can be difficult, the basic premise used in heavy mineral recovery is quite logical. In placer deposits, high-density minerals have been concentrated by
combinations of natural phenomenon such as gravity, turbulent fluid flow, and differences in mineral density. Consequently, it would seem practical to utilize these conditions to further concentrate heavy minerals. This form of mineral recovery is referred to as gravity separation and is the basis for most placer mills.

Gravity processes must consider both particle specific gravity and size for effective separation. Differences in specific gravity alone will not distinguish various materials. It is the differences in weights in a common medium that creates efficient separation. Consequently, a particle of high specific gravity and small size may react the same as a large
particle with low specific gravity in a given fluid. If. gravity separation is to be effective, size control must be implemented to take advantage of differences in particle specific gravity.

Equipment used for gravity separation ranges from gold pans to prebuilt self-contained placer plants. In general, the most widely employed devices in the western United States are

1. Jig concentrators.
2. Sluices.
3. Spiral concentrators.
4. Table concentrators.
5. Trommels.
6. Vibrating screens.

Of these devices, trommels and vibrating screens are used for particle size classification, and the remainder are forms of gravity concentrators. In addition, feed hoppers and conveyors are needed for surge capacity and material transportation. These items, which are commonly neglected in plant costing, must be carefully selected to ensure proper plant operation.

Although the complete design of a placer recovery plant cannot be thoroughly covered in the space available here, three sample flowsheets illustrating basic placer mill design are included at the end of this section on processing. Along with a flow sheet detailing equipment type, size, and capacity required for the mill, the following will be needed to obtain an accurate cost estimate using this report:

1. Maximum feed capacity of the mill.
2. A material balance illustrating feed, concentrate, and tailings rates.
3. The purpose of each gravity separation device (rougher, cleaner, scavenger, etc.).
4. Method of removal and transportation of mill tails and oversize.

The following discussion details equipment used in gravity separation and may prove useful in mill design.

## CONVEYORS

As material travels through a mill circuit, it can be moved by conveyor, pumped in a slurry, or transferred by gravity. In placer processing mills, material is most often transported in a slurry or by gravity. In some cases, however, conveyors are necessary. Conveyors are typically used for situations of extended transport where material need not be kept in a slurry, such as the removal of oversize or tailings. They provide an inexpensive method of transporting large quantities of material over fixed distances. In the case of placer processing plants, this distance typically ranges between 10 and 120 ft . Conveyors used in these plants are typically portable, and consequently come complete with framework and support system ready to operate.

Conveyor capacity is related to belt width, belt speed, and material density. For most placer gravels, capacities range between $96 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ for an 18 -in-wide belt to $480 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ for a 36 -in-wide belt.

## FEED HOPPERS

The initial piece of equipment in most mill circuits is a feed hopper. The hopper is used in conjunction with a
feeder to smooth out material flow surges introduced by loading devices with fixed bucket sizes (front-end loaders, rear-dump trucks, etc.). Hoppers often contain a grizzly in order to reject large oversize material. The feeder, typically a vibrating tray located under the hopper, transfers gravel at an even rate to the circuit. Although the hopper-feeder combination may appear to be a minor piece of equipment, a steady flow of material through the mill is very important for effective gravity separation.

Hopper capacity and feeder capacity are two separate items. Generally, hoppers are designed to hold enough material to provide a steady flow of gravel despite surges inherent in mining cycles. Feeders are set to provide the appropriate flow rate to the mill. So even though a hopper may have a $100-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ capacity, the feeder might provide material at $20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.

Feeders are not always used in placer mills. When they are not used, feed rate is regulated by the size of the opening in the bottom of the hopper. The cost estimation curves in this report calculate hopper-feeder costs based on feeder capacity, which typically equals mill capacity. Factors are provided for situations where feeders are not used.

## JIG CONCENTRATORS

Jigs are gravity separation devices that use hindered settling to extract heavy minerals from feed material. They typically consist of shallow, perforated trays through which water pulsates in a vertical motion. In most instances, a bed made up of sized shot, steel punchings, or other "ragging" material is placed over the perforations to promote directional currents required for separation. Slurried feed flowing over the bed is subjected to the vertical pulsations of water, which tend to keep lighter particles in suspension while drawing down heavier constituents. These heavy minerals are either drawn through the bed and discharged from spigots under the jig or, if too large to pass through the perforations, are drawn off near the end of the machine. Lighter particles continue across and over the end of the jig as tailings.

Jigs are sensitive to feed sizing. They are generally utilized for feeds ranging from $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to a maximum of 1 in, but recoveries improve if feed is well sized and kept to minus 0.25 in . Efficiency is maximized when feed materials have been deslimed and sized into a number of separate fractions for individual treatment. Optimum solids content for jig plant feed ranges from $35 \%$ to $50 \%$-the object being to avoid excessive dilation of the material. Capacities for jigs range from 0.1 to $400 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ and are dependent upon desired product as well as equipment size.

## SLUICES

The most common gravity separation device used in placer mills, sluices are simple to construct, yet effective heavy mineral recovery tools. Sluice design is quite diverse and opinions differ widely with respect to capacity, riffle design, and recovery. In general, capacities and performances vary with box width and slope, gold particle size, nature of feed, and availability of water.

Sluices are primarily used for rough concentration and are capable of processing poorly sorted feeds. As with other methods, however, recovery is related to the degree of previous sizing.

Sluice design can be quite complex but usually is a matter of trial and error. Several basic principles typically apply. Width is determined by the maximum and minimum volume of water available, the size and quantity of oversize feed that must be transported, and the slope. Length depends principally on the character of the gold. Coarse gold and granular gold settle quickly and are easily held in the riffles, while fine gold and porous gold may be carried some distance by the current. Velocity of the water is controlled primarily by the slope. In general, the sluice should be constructed and installed so that water flowing through the box will transport oversized material and prevent sand from packing the riffles.

If the surface of the water flowing through the sluice is smooth, the bottom of the sluice is probably packed with sand, allowing little gold to be saved. The desired condition occurs when waves form on the surface of the water flowing through the sluice, and these waves, along with the wave-forming ridges of material on the bottom of the sluice, migrate upstream. This indicates an eddying or boiling activity on the lee side of the ridges, which maximizes gold recovery and tailings transport. Consequently, the sluice attains maximum efficiency when riffle overloading is incipient.

Sluices are generally considered to be high-capacity units, with a 12 -in-wide sluice box capable of handling 15 $\mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ if sufficient water is available. A 24 -in-wide sluice can handle up to $40 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$, and 48 -in-wide sluices have reportedly processed up to $200 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$. Of course, a sluice will handle as much gravel as the operator wants to push through it. However, to ensure reasonable recovery, capacity is limited by box width and slope, water availability, and feed characteristics.

Feed slurry densities are highly variable and range from $1 \%$ to $35 \%$ solids by weight, averaging $10 \%$. Water use can be reduced significantly if the larger of the oversize is eliminated from the feed. Sluices require no power to operate unless a pump is needed to transport water or slurry. One disadvantage of the sluice is the necessity to halt operations in order to recover concentrates.

## SPIRAL CONCENTRATORS

Spirals are used infrequently in the western United States but may be applicable for certain types of feed. These gravity separation devices exhibit several desirable features. They accept sized slurry directly, and require no energy to operate other than perhaps pumps for material feed. Pumps can be excluded if gravity feed is used. Selectivity is high because of adjustable splitters within the slurry flow. Spirals can be used to produce a bulk concentrate, scavenge valuable minerals from tailings, or in some instances, recover a finished concentrate. The ability to produce a finished concentrate will be limited to feeds that contain a higher concentration of desired product than typically found in most gold placer feeds.

To save space, two or three spiral starts are constructed around a common vertical pipe. This arrangement takes litthe floor space, allowing banks of multiple units to be set up for large-capacity requirements. In this situation, slurry distributors are required to sectionalize feed for individual spirals.

Maximum feed rates vary according to feed particle density, size, and shape. Rates generally range from 1.0 to 1.4
$\mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ roughing down to 0.3 to $0.5 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ cleaning per start. Feed slurry density is typically less than $25 \%$ solids by weight, necessitating the use of larger pumps than needed for jigs or tables.

## TABLE CONCENTRATORS

Concentrating tables (shaking tables) are one of the oldest methods of mechanical gravity concentration. Although capable of handling a variety of feed types and sizes, their optimum use is wet gravity cleaning of fine concentrates ranging from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $1 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. The unit consists of a large, flat, smooth table, slightly tilted, with riffles attached to the surface. A longitudinal reciprocating motion is introduced to the deck by means of a vibrating mechanism or an eccentric head action.

Although limited in capacity, tables have the advantage of being easily adjustable by regulating the quantity of wash water and altering the tilt angle of the deck. The results of these changes are immediately observable on the table. With the addition of splitters, efficient control of high-grade concentrate recovery, middling recovery, and tailings production is possible.

Solids content for table feeds averages approximately $25 \%$ by weight. Stroke length and speed are adjusted according to feed. Long strokes at slow speeds are used for coarse feeds; fine material responds better to short strokes at higher speeds. A reciprocating speed of 280 to 380 strokes/min will handle most feeds. Table capacities range from 0.05 to $8 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ and depend on desired product as well as equipment size.

## TROMMELS

This machine is the most common size classification device used in gold placer mills and is well suited for this task if properly designed. Trommels consist of a long rotating cylinder that is typically divided into two sections.

In the first section, lengths of angle iron or similar material are fastened to the inside of the rotating drum. These act as lifters to carry feed up the side of the rotating cylinder. As material reaches the top of the rotation, it falls back to the bottom of the cylinder and breaks upon impact. This action, along with water introduced under pressure, serves to break up compacted soils and clays, and liberate valuable minerals.

The second section consists of perforations in the cylinder walls positioned along the length of the drum. Typically, perforation size will graduate from $1 / 8 \mathrm{in}$, to $3 / 16$ in, to $1 / 4$ in as the feed progresses down the trommel.

Sized fractions are drawn directly below the section of the trommel in which they are separated. They generally flow to either a vibrating screen to be sized further or to a gravity separation device. Oversize material is discharged out the end of the trommel as waste.

Trommels are particularly well adapted to placer feeds because of their ability to handle a diversity of feed sizes and to break up material in the scrubber section. Capacity ranges from 10 to $500 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ and is dependent on feed characteristics, screen perforation sizes, and machine size. Water requirements are contingent upon the amount of washing desired.

## VIBRATING SCREENS

Vibrating screens are often used for secondary size classification in circuits treating alluvial ores and, in some cases, may provide primary sizing. The machines consist of a deck, or decks, containing inclined screening surfaces that are vibrated in either a rectilinear or elliptical motion. Screening medium can be woven wire cloth, parallel bars, or punched sheet metal.

High capacity, ease of installation, and reasonable operating costs have all contributed to the popularity of vibrating screens. The practical minimum size limitation for production screens is about 100 mesh, although 325 -mesh separations have been achieved. Capacity is, of course, dependent on many factors. These include type of material, amount of oversize, amount of undersize, moisture content, particle shape, screen opening size, and screen medium. In general, from 0.40 to $0.75 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of screen surface area will be needed for every cubic yard of feed handled per hour.

## SAMPLE MILL DESIGN

It is not possible to provide complete instruction on mill design within the constraints of this manual. Mills must be planned with the intention of treating the size, shape, and grade characteristics of a specific feed. Sample gold mill flowsheets shown in figures 1,2 , and 3 are included to aid the evaluator in cost estimation only. They are provided to demonstrate that, in most instances, material will have to be fed, washed, sized, and separated for proper recovery.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 provide sample material balances for these mills.

Table 1.-Sample material balance, sluice mill
(Specific gravity: Gold, 17.50; waste, 2.81)

|  | Feed | Concentrate | Tails |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate ............... $\mathrm{yd}^{3 / \mathrm{d}}$. | 120 | 0.1 | 119.9 |
| Composition . . . . . . . wt \% . | 100 | 0.08 | 99.92 |
| Specific gravity....... | 2.81 | 2.82 | 2.81 |
| Grade . . . . .tr oz Au/yd ${ }^{3}$ | 0.040 | 42.24 | 0.005 |
| Gold distribution: |  |  |  |
| tr oz/d | 4.8 | 4.224 | 0.576 |
| \% | 100 | 88 | 12 |

Table 2.-Sample material balance, jig mill

|  | Feed | Concentrate | Tails |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate .............. $\mathrm{yd}^{3 / \mathrm{d}}$. | 700 | 0.1 | 699.9 |
| Composition . . . . . . . . wt \% | 100 | 0.01 | 99.99 |
| Specific gravity........... | 2.65 | 2.71 | 2.65 |
| Grade .......tr oz Au/yd3. | 0.030 | 199.50 | 0.002 |
| Gold distribution: | 210 | 19.95 | 1.05 |
| \%. | 100 | 95 | 5 |

Table 3.-Sample material balance, table mill

|  | Feed | Concentrate | Tails |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate . . . . . . . . . . . . . yd³/d | 250 | 0.2 | 249.8 |
| Composition . . . . . . . wt \% | 100 | 0.08 | 99.92 |
| Specific gravity........ | 2.73 | 2.75 | 2.73 |
| Grade .......tr oz Au/yd ${ }^{\text {3 }}$. | 0.045 | 53.44 | 0.002 |
| Gold distribution: |  |  |  |
| tr oz/d | 11.25 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.688 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}0.562 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |



Figure 1.-Sample flow sheet, sluice mill.


Figure 2.-Sample flow sheet, jig mill.


Figure 3.-Sample flow sheet, table mill.

## SUPPLEMENTAL SYSTEMS

Commonly neglected in costing and design work, supplemental systems gain importance in placer operations. Because of the relative low cost of placer mining and milling equipment and systems, the expenses associated with supplemental items represent a larger percentage of the total cost than with other types of mining. For costing purposes, any system, structure, or equipment not directly related to production but necessary for continued operation is categorized as supplemental. These include

1. Buildings.
2. Camp facilities.
3. General services and lost time.
4. Generators.
5. Pumps.
6. Settling ponds.

Each item included in the supplemental section should be examined to determine if it is needed at a particular operation. To aid in this determination and to assist in cost estimation of supplemental items, the following information will prove helpful:

1. Location and elevation of available water in reference to the millsite.
2. Ecological sensitivity of the area.
3. An estimate of the number and capacity of pumps needed.
4. Maximum hourly capacity of mill.
5. Building requirements.
6. An estimate of workforce size.

## BUILDINGS

Many placer operators consider any building to be a luxury; however, if weather is a factor or if operators desire to safely store equipment, some buildings will be needed. Typically, a small placer mine will have one structure that serves as a shop, a concentrate cleanup area, and a storage room. More elaborate operations, or those in areas of bad weather, will cover the mill and often construct several small storage sheds. These buildings are usually temporary structures of minimal dimensions constructed of wood or metal.

The size of each building must be estimated for costing purposes. For the typical operation, the main structure will be capable of housing the largest piece of mobile equipment at the mine with enough additional room for maintenance work. Shops often have concrete floors, and power and water facilities are typically provided. Storage sheds are usually of minimum quality, have a wood floor if any at all, and often contain power for lighting. Factors for all these variables are provided in the building cost estimation curve.

## CAMP FACILITIES

The provision of facilities for workers is an important part of placer operations. In most situations, workers will stay at the site during the mining season to take advantage of good weather. The needs of these workers must be met, and that typically involves providing living quarters and food. In almost all cases, employee housing at placer mines consists of mobile homes or trailers with a minimum amount of support equipment. Cooking is generally done
by the workers in their trailers with an allowance provided for the cost of food.

To calculate the expense of camp facilities, it is necessary to estimate the number of people staying at the mine. Guidelines for this estimate are provided with the cost equations in section 2 of this report. It must be remembered that the number of people working at any one operation can be quite variable, and if the number of intended or actual employees is available, this figure must be used.

## general services and lost time

Compared with other methods of mineral recovery, placer mining is relatively inefficient. Because of limits in workforce size, delays and tasks not directly related to mining have a noticeable effect on productivity. This inefficiency strongly influences costs associated with placer mining, and must be taken into account.

In placer mining, most costs associated with inefficiency can be attributed to three distinct areas:

1. Equipment downtime.
2. Site maintenance.
3. Concentrate refinement.

Specific expenses can be further delineated.

1. Equipment downtime.
A. Productivity lost by the entire crew because of breakdown of key pieces of equipment.
B. Productivity lost by individual operators because of breakdown of single pieces of equipment.
C. Labor charges of outside maintenance personnel.
2. Site maintenance.
A. Road maintenance.
B. Stream diversion.
C. Drainage ditch construction and maintenance.
D. Site cleanup.
E. Reclamation grading and recontouring.
F. Settling pond maintenance.
G. Mill relocation.
3. Concentrate refinement.
A. Concentrate panning.
B. Mechanical separation.
C. Amalgamation.

Estimates indicate that in placer mining up to $37 \%$ of the total labor effort is spent on the above tasks. The lost time and general services cost curve must be used in all placer mine cost estimates.

## GENERATORS

In all but the most simple gravity separation mills, power will be needed to operate equipment. A minor amount of power will also be required for camp functions. Typically, power is provided by one of three sources:

1. Individual diesel engines driving each piece of equipment.
2. Diesel generators.
3. Electrical power brought in through transmission lines.

The third source generally requires excessive initial capital expenditures. Transmission lines are considered only
when the mill capacity is well over $200 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$, existing transmission lines are located near the site, or the mine life is expected to be 15 yr or more. Power source selection should be based on lowest overall cost and minimum environmental impact. For most small- to medium-sized gravity separation mills in remote locations, diesel generators are selected to provide power.

Cost estimation curves in this report are based on diesel generators providing all power to mill equipment. Electric power costs contained in individual processing equipment operating cost curves account for diesel generator operating costs.

## PUMPS

Water, used to wash gravel and to initiate slurrying of the feed, is typically introduced as gravel enters the trommel or screen. More water is added as needed throughout the circuit to dilute the slurry or assist in washing. To provide adequate washing, this water must be introduced under pressure which, in many cases, necessitates the use of pumps. Pumps will also be needed if mill water is to be recycled through settling ponds. Under certain circumstances, one pump can handle all tasks required in a placer processing plant utilizing recycled water. It is preferable to minimize the use of pumps by taking advantage of gravity.

Water use is dependent on several factors, including

1. Washing required to properly slurry feed.
2. Type of separation equipment used.
3. Availability of water.
4. Size and nature of valuable mineral constituents.

For costing purposes, the evaluator must estimate the volume of water pumped per minute and the pumping head.

A separate estimate must be made for each pump. Water requirements can either be calculated using parameters given in the processing portion of section 1, or roughly estimated using the following equation:

$$
\text { Water consumption }(\mathrm{gpm})=94.089(\mathrm{X})^{0.546}
$$

where $X=$ maximum cubic yards of mill feed handled per hour.
This equation provides the total gallons of water per minute consumed by the mill. Although not technically accurate, for the purposes of this report, head may be estimated as the elevation difference between the pipe outlet at the mill or upper settling pond, and the pump intake.

## SETTLING PONDS

With the current level of environmental awareness, it is almost assured that mill water will have to be treated prior to discharge. Placer mines typically recycle mill effluent through one or more settling ponds to control environmental impact.

To calculate the cost of settling pond construction using this report, only the maximum mill feed rate is required. Cost curves provide the construction expense of unlined ponds sized to comply with most regulations. In some instances, the pond will have to be lined with an impervious material. This is often required in ecologically sensitive areas, or in situations where underlying soils do not properly filter mill effluent, thereby increasing the turbidity of nearby streams. A factor is provided in the settling pond cost curve for impervious linings.

## ENVIRONMENT

Enviromental costs are often decisive in placer mine economic feasibility. Costs associated with water quality control and aesthetics are inescapable and can represent a significant percentage of total mining expenses. Methods to minimize ecological disturbance are now considered an integral function of the mining sequence and are treated as such in cost estimation.

Stream siltation from mill effluent and land disturbance from excavation are the main environmental problems facing placer miners. Reduction of water quality is often the biggest problem, and many techniques have been devised to lessen the impact caused by mill operation. One methed involves limiting mill operation to short periods of time, thus allowing effluent to disperse before additional mill discharge is introduced. Often the mill is designed with the intent of using as little water as possible for valuable mineral separation. The most common solution is mill water recirculation facilitated by the construction of settling ponds. These ponds are used to hold mill effluent until particulate matter has settled; water from the ponds is then reused in the mill circuit.

Mining of alluvial deposits necessitates disturbance of large areas of land. Typically all trees, brush, grasses, and ground cover will be cleared. This task alone may present
a major stumbling block, because some States restrict open burning. Next, a layer of overburden is removed to expose the deposit. Finally, the valuable mineral-bearing gravel can be excavated.

Current technology suggests that control of land disturbance be incorporated into the mining sequence. Mill tailings and oversize are typically dumped back into worked-out areas. Soil cover and overburden are removed just prior to pay gravel excavation, then hauled to minedout areas to be graded and contoured over replaced tails. Often the surface is revegetated. In most instances, the operator will have no choice but to implement ecological control and reclamation procedures. Operators are typically required to post a bond to cover the cost of reclaiming mined lands, and if the surface is left disturbed, these bonds will be forfeited

Regulations vary from State to State, and may appear difficult and confusing at first; however, by contacting information services at State capitals, operators will be directed to the agencies concerned. These agencies will detail regulations concerning placer operation and will also point out which Federal agencies might be involved (U.S. Forest Service or U.S. Bureau of Land Management). In most instances, contact will have to be made with both State
and Federal agencies. Typically, meeting environmental requirements for the State will satisfy Federal regulations.

As stated earlier, environmental control is an integral part of mine and mill design, and costs are treated accordingly. Equations are provided for calculating the cost of mill tails and oversize placement. Expenses associated with grading and contouring are contained in the lost time and
general services curve. An equation is also provided for the construction of settling ponds, if water is to be recycled.

Bond costs are not included since requirements are highly variable. One other cost may arise that is not covered in section 2 . This is the expense of replanting, and usually ranges from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 200$ per acre.

## COST ESTIMATION

After selecting exploration, mining, milling, and supplemental techniques, the next step in cost estimation is the choice of appropriate cost curves. If the evaluator has completed the mine design prior to attempting cost estimation, this task consists of simply going through section 2 of this report and selecting the proper equations. The list of capital and operating categories at the beginning of section 2 will aid in choosing individual curves.

Costs used in deriving the estimation equations were collected from several sources. These include

1. Placer mine operators.
2. Mine equipment suppliers.
3. Published cost information services.

In all cases, cost figures quoted in the text and points used in cost equation derivations are averages of all data available. A bibliography of cost information publications follows section 2. Many of these sources contain both cost and capacity information and can be used to supplement this manual.

Cost estimation methodology in this handbook is based on the Bureau's Cost Estimation System (CES), first published in 1977 as "Capital and Operating Cost Estimation System Handbook," by STRAAM Engineers, Inc. Procedures for cost estimation using this report closely follow that publication. The cost estimation portion of this report is divided into operating and capital costs. Cost equations are similar for both with the only difference appearing in the units of the final answer. Capital costs are given in total dollars expended and operating costs in dollars per year.

Using the appropriate curves, a separate cost is calculated for each capital and operating cost item. Only costs directly associated with the operation under evaluation need be calculated. All other cost items should be ignored. After calculation, item costs should be entered on the respective capital and operating cost summary forms (see figures 5 and 6 in section 2).

Upon summation of individual expenses, a contingency may be added to both capital and operating costs. It is difficult to anticipate every condition that may arise at a particular operation, and the purpose of the contingency is to account for unforeseen expenditures. This figure is typically based on the degree of certainty of the evaluation in relation to available information, and ranges from $10 \%$ to $20 \%$.

Cost per cubic yard of pay gravel processed is determined by dividing the sum of all annual operating costs by the total amount of pay gravel processed per year. Summation of individual capital expenditures produces the total capital cost.

Use of the individual curves is described in the following paragraphs.

## COST EQUATIONS

Capital and operating costs are divided into labor, equipment, and supply categories. One, two, or all three of these categories will be present in each cost equation. The sum of costs from each of these categories provides the total cost for any single cost item. To facilitate cost adjustments respective to specific dates, the labor, equipment, and supply classifications are further broken down into subcategories.

Typically, each cost item will have a number of site adjustment factors. These are provided to account for characteristics specific to a particular deposit. These factors determine the precision of the final cost, so they must be selected and used carefully. Assistance in determining the correct use of a factor, or in understanding the parameters involved in a cost item, may be found in the preceding pages.

To further improve cost estimates, labor rates are also adjustable. Rates can vary greatly for small placer operations. For this reason, adjustments can be made to the fixed rates used in this report for specific known rates at individual operations.

## COST DATE ADJUSTMENTS

All cost equations were calculated in January 1985 dollars. Costs calculated for any particular cost item are broken down into specific categories and subcategories to facilitate adjustment to specific dates. These include

Labor.

1. Mine labor.
2. Processing labor.
3. Repair labor.

Equipment.

1. Equipment and equipment parts.
2. Fuel and lubrication.
3. Electricity.
4. Tires.

Supplies.

1. Steel items.
2. Explosives.
3. Timber.
4. Construction materials.
5. Industrial materials.

For placer mining, most general maintenance and nonoverhaul repairs are accomplished by the equipment operator, so repair labor rates are assumed to be equal to those of the operator. If information available to the evaluator indicates that this is not the case, repair labor
portions of the total labor cost are stated to facilitate adjustment.

Equipment operating costs are broken down into respective percentages contributed by parts, fuel and lubrication, electricity, and tires. These percentages, listed immediately following the cost equations, are used to calculate specific costs for each subcategory so that they may be updated. Supply costs are broken down and handled in a similar manner.

Cost date indexes for the preceding subcategories are provided in table 4. These and other cost indexes are updated every 6 months and are available from the Bureau of Mines, Western Field Operations Center, East 360 Third Avenue, Spokane, WA 99202. To adjust a cost to a specific date, divide the index for that date by the index for January 1985, and multiply the resulting quotient by the cost calculated for the respective subcategory. An example of such an update follows.

## Example Cost Update

Calculate the cost in July 1985 dollars of extracting and moving pay gravel 300 ft over level terrain using bulldozers. Assume a $200-\mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ operation, and use the operating cost equations provided in the operating costs-miningbulldozers portion of section 2 .

| Operating costs per LCY (from section 2): |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment operating cost | st . . . . . $0.993(200)^{-0.430}=$ | \$0.102 |
| Labor operating cost | $14.01(200)^{-0.945}=$ | . 094 |
| January 1985 total |  | 196 |
| Subcategory costs per LCY (from section 2): |  |  |
| Equipment parts | $0.47 \times \$ 0.102=$ | \$0.048 |
| Fuel and lubrication | $0.53 \times \$ 0.102=$ | \$0.054 |
| Operator labor | $0.86 \times \$ 0.094=$ | \$0.081 |
| Repair labor | $0.14 \times \$ 0.094=$ | \$0.013 |
| Update indexes Subcategor | Subcategory July 85/Jan. 85 | Quotient |
| (from table 4): |  |  |
| Equipment parts .... Equipment | Equipment . 362.3/360.4 | 1.005 |
| Fuel and lubrication . . Fuel | Fuel ....... 630.7/636.2 | 0.991 |
| Operator labor . . . . . . Mine labor | Mine labor . . \$11.98/\$11.69 | 1.025 |
| Repair labor . . . . . . . Mine labor | Mine labor . . \$11.98/\$11.69 | 1.025 |
| Updated costs per LCY: |  |  |
| Equipment parts | $1.005 \times \$ 0.048=$ | \$0.048 |
| Fuel and lubrication | $0.991 \times \$ 0.054=$ | . 054 |
| Operator labor | $1.025 \times \$ 0.081=$ | . 083 |
| Repair labor | $1.025 \times \$ 0.013=$ | 013 |
| July 1985 total cost per LCY | per LCY | 198 |

## SITE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

As stated earlier, adjustment factors determine the precision for cost estimates and must be used carefully. Several factors are provided for each curve, and their use
will significantly alter the calculated cost. The following example illustrates factor use.

## Example Adjustment Factor Application

Calculate the cost of extracting pay gravel in a hard digging situation and moving it 800 ft up an $8 \%$ gradient using bulldozers. Assume a $200-\mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ operation (January 1985 dollars), and use the operating cost and adjustment factor equations provided in the operating costs-mining. bulldozers portion of section 2 .

| Operating costs per LCY (from section 2): |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment operating cost | $0.993(200)^{-0.430}$ |  | \$0.102 |
| Labor operating cost | 14.01(200) ${ }^{-0.945}$ |  | . 094 |
| January 1985 total |  |  | . 196 |
| Factors (from section 2): |  |  |  |
| Distance . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{F}_{D}=$ | $\mathrm{F}_{D}=0.00581(800)^{0.904}$ | $=$ | 2.447 |
| Gradient . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{F}_{G}$ | $F_{G}=1.041 \mathrm{e}^{[0.015(8) 0)]}$ |  | 1.174 |
| Digging difficulty |  |  | 1.670 |
| Used equipment: |  |  |  |
| Equipment . . . . . . . . . . U $\mathrm{U}_{\text {c }}$ | $U_{c}=1.206(200)^{-0.013}$ |  | 1.126 |
| Labor . . . . . . . . . . . . . U $\mathrm{U}_{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{1}=0.967(200)^{0.015}$ |  | 1.047 |
| Factored cost per LCY: |  |  |  |
| From total cost equation |  |  |  |
| $[\$ 0.102(1.126)+\$ 0.094(1.047)] \times 2.447 \times 1.174 \times 1.670=$ |  |  |  |
| January 1985 total cost per LCY | LCY |  | \$1.023 |

The $500 \%$ increase in operating cost, from $\$ 0.196$ to $\$ 1.023$ per loose cubic yard, demonstrates the dramatic effect of using the proper factors. If a cost category contains a factor not applicable to the deposit in question, then simply leave that factor out of the total cost equation.

The variables inserted in the factor equations are generally self-evident. An exception to this is the digging difficulty factor. Parameters for this factor are based on the following:

1. Easy digging.-Unpacked earth, sand, and gravel.
2. Medium digging.-Packed earth, sand, and gravel, dry clay, and soil with less than $25 \%$ rock content.
3. Medium to hard digging.-Hard packed soil, soil with up to $50 \%$ rock content, and gravel with cobbles.
4. Hard digging.-Soil with up to $75 \%$ rock content, gravel with boulders, and cemented gravels.

It can be seen from these parameters that many deposits will fall into one of the last two categories. Digging difficulty has a dramatic effect on the cost of extraction, so these factors must be chosen carefully.

Bulldozer and backhoe curves both contain a digging difficulty factor. Other excavation equipment, such as draglines, scrapers, and iront-end loaders, are generally suited for special digging conditions and are not used in harder ground. Consequently, no digging difficulty factor is provided for these.

Table 4.-Cost date indexes ${ }^{1}$

|  | Mining labor ${ }^{2}$ | Equipment and repair parts | Fuel and lubrication | Electricity | Tires | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bits } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { steel } \end{aligned}$ | Explosives | Tim. ber | Construction material ${ }^{3}$ | Industrial material |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | \$2.61 | 85.8 | 95.5 | 100.1 | 113.1 | 97.1 | 94.5 | 92.1 | 99 | 95.3 |
| 1961 | 2.64 | 87.3 | 97.2 | 100.7 | 109.9 | 97.2 | 97.0 | 87.4 | 97 | 94.8 |
| 1962 | 2.70 | 87.5 | 96.1 | 101.9 | 94.7 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 89.0 | 96 | 94.8 |
| 1963 | 2.75 | 89.0 | 95.1 | 101.1 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 100.4 | 91.2 | 98 | 94.7 |
| 1964 | 2.81 | 91.2 | 90.7 | 100.3 | 97.6 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 98 | 95.2 |
| 1965 | 2.92 | 93.6 | 92.8 | 100.3 | 98.8 | 97.9 | 99.6 | 94.0 | 97 | 96.4 |
| 1966 | 3.05 | 96.5 | 97.4 | 99.8 | 101.3 | 98.7 | 98.1 | 100.1 | 99 | 98.5 |
| 1967 | 3.19 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 3.35 | 105.7 | 98.1 | 100.9 | 102.7 | 101.9 | 102.3 | 117.4 | 127 | 102.5 |
| 1969 | 3.61 | 110.4 | 99.6 | 102.2 | 98.3 | 107.0 | 104.7 | 131.6 | 108 | 106.0 |
| 1970 | 3.85 | 115.9 | 101.0 | 106.6 | 105.4 | 115.1 | 106.7 | 113.7 | 109 | 110.0 |
| 1971 | 4.06 | 121.4 | 106.8 | 115.5 | 110.3 | 121.8 | 113.3 | 135.5 | 133 | 114.0 |
| 1972 | 4.41 | 125.7 | 108.9 | 123.9 | 111.3 | 128.4 | 115.2 | 159.4 | 151 | 117.9 |
| 1973 | 4.73 | 130.7 | 128.6 | 132.6 | 115.7 | 136.2 | 120.1 | 205.2 | 154 | 125.9 |
| 1974 | 5.21 | 152.3 | 223.4 | 172.3 | 141.6 | 178.6 | 150.0 | 207.1 | 167 | 153.8 |
| 1975 | 5.90 | 185.2 | 257.5 | 209.7 | 155.4 | 200.9 | 178.0 | 192.5 | 186.3 | 171.5 |
| 1976 | 6.42 | 198.9 | 276.6 | 226.7 | 172.8 | 215.9 | 187.2 | 233.0 | 205.5 | 182.4 |
| 1977 | 6.88 | 213.7 | 308.1 | 257.0 | 181.5 | 230.3 | 193.1 | 276.5 | 237.7 | 195.1 |
| 1978 | 7.67 | 232.8 | 321.0 | 279.5 | 192.0 | 253.5 | 208.7 | 322.1 | 247.7 | 209.4 |
| 1979 | 8.50 | 256.2 | 444.8 | 305.3 | 219.6 | 283.5 | 225.6 | 354.3 | 269.28 | 236.5 |
| 19804 | 8.70 | 275.4 | 582.4 | 334.8 | 236.9 | 297.3 | 237.1 | 336.3 | 280.86 | 260.3 |
| 19805 | 9.08 | 290.9 | 693.3 | 376.0 | 250.4 | 300.4 | 254.4 | 327.3 | 289.05 | 275.6 |
| $1981^{4}$ | 9.78 | 304.9 | 736.0 | 393.9 | 256.2 | 322.8 | 268.5 | 331.6 | 298.25 | 289.9 |
| 19815 | 10.07 | 324.0 | 818.4 | 429.9 | 269.6 | 338.7 | 292.8 | 330.1 | 312.11 | 306.0 |
| 19824 | 10.58 | 337.0 | 802.9 | 454.0 | 271.6 | 343.1 | 293.2 | 310.6 | 324.74 | 311.7 |
| $1982{ }^{5}$ | 10.91 | 346.1 | 777.1 | 471.5 | 272.6 | 337.4 | 294.8 | 319.2 | 330.56 | 313.0 |
| $1983{ }^{4}$ | 11.10 | 348.6 | 727.1 | 482.6 | 285.4 | 333.2 | 300.4 | 324.2 | 342.01 | 314.0 |
| 19835 | 11.31 | 352.7 | 694.9 | 492.2 | 256.6 | 341.3 | 302.8 | 372.5 | 357.28 | 316.6 |
| $1984{ }^{4}$ | 11.56 | 354.3 | 669.7 | 492.0 | 258.0 | 354.1 | 301.3 | 353.2 | 355.52 | 319.2 |
| 19845 | 11.62 | 358.2 | 674.6 | 525.5 | 256.3 | 357.2 | 312.4 | 343.3 | 357.90 | 324.0 |
| 19856 | 11.69 | 360.4 | 636.2 | 524.9 | 262.0 | 357.4 | 313.4 | 343.2 | 358.32 | 323.2 |
| $1985{ }^{5}$ | 11.98 | 362.3 | 630.7 | 540.3 | 246.0 | 354.6 | 312.1 | 354.9 | 363.63 | 324.3 |


2 Based on BL.S "Employment and Earnings: Average Hourly Earnings, Mining."
3 Based on Engineering and News Record "Market Trends: Building Cost."
${ }_{5}$ January.
5 July.
${ }^{6}$ January (base cost year for this report).

## LABOR RATES

The cost of labor in placer mining is highly variable and cannot be precisely estimated in every case. For the purposes of this report, only two separate labor rates are used: $\$ 15.69 / \mathrm{h}$ for mining functions, and $\$ 15.60 / \mathrm{h}$ for milling. These rates apply to operation, maintenance, installation, and construction labor. The labor portions of each specific cost category are broken out and in this way can be adjusted to the estimator's particular labor rate. To accomplish this, multiply the labor cost for each category by the ratio of desired labor rate to mining or milling labor rate ( $\$ 15.69 / \mathrm{h}$ or $\$ 15.60 / \mathrm{h}$ ). The following example illustrates this adjustment.

## Example Labor Rate Adjustment

Calculate the cost of extracting and moving pay gravel 300 ft over level terrain using bulldozers with an operator labor cost of $\$ 18.00 / \mathrm{h}$. Assume a $200-\mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ operation (January 1985 dollars), and use the operating cost equations provided in the operating costs-mining-bulldozers portion of section 2 .

Operating costs per LCY
(from section 2):

| Equipment operating cost $\ldots \ldots$ | . .9 | $0.993(200)^{-0.430}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |$=\$ 0.102$

Labor adjustment: Labor operating cost per LCY ................... $(\$ 18.00 / \$ 15.69) \times \$ 0.094=.108$

Adjusted cost per LCY:
Equipment operating cost ............................. . . 102
Labor operating cost 108
January 1985 total cost per LCY
Labor rates are based on wage scales for the western United States (including Alaska) and include a $24 \%$ burden. This burden consists of $9.8 \%$ workers compensation insurance, $7.0 \%$ Social Security tax, 3.7\% State unemployment insurance, and $3.5 \%$ Federal unemployment tax. If other costs such as health and retirement benefits are to be included, they must be added to an estimated labor rate.

To familiarize the reader with the use of this cost estimating system, an example of a complete cost estimate is included in the appendix.

## FINANCIAL ANALYSiS

The purpose of this report is to provide an estimate of capital and operating costs for small placer mines. A distinction must be made between a cost estimate and an economic feasibility analysis. Capital and operating costs are simply two separate variables in a complete economic analysis. To determine the economic feasibility of an operation, the evaluator must consider each of the following:

1. Recoverable value of commodity.
2. Local, State, and Federal taxes.
3. Capital depreciation.
4. Depletion allowances.
5. Desired return on investment.
6. Costs and methods of project financing.
7. Inflation.
8. Escalation.
9. Environmental intangibles.

Economic feasibility analysis is a subject in itself, and will not be covered here. The preceding list is included to emphasize the following: A prospect is not economically feasible simply because the apparent commodity value exceeds the total capital and accrued operating costs calculated from this manual.

The costs associated with the preceding list are real and must be considered when determining the feasibility of a prospect. Any attempt to provide guidelines for determination of feasibility based solely on estimates of capital and
operating costs would be highly misleading. There is no quick and easy way to account for the wide variety of situations encountered in economic analysis. Each one of the preceding items must be examined individually to provide accurate economic feasibility estimates, and a complete cash-flow analysis is the only way to ensure that proper results are obtained. To accomplish this, all yearly income and expenses must be tabulated. Then the rate of return over time must be calculated from the resultant profits or losses. The evaluator must consider all factors influencing income and include all expenses as well as account for the value of money over time and choose an acceptable rate of return.

In brief, the operator will have to receive adequate revenues from commodities recovered to

1. Cover all operating expenses.
2. Recover initial equipment expenditures.
3. Provide for equipment replacement.
4. Cover all exploration and development costs.
5. Pay taxes.
6. Compensate for inflation and cost escalation.
7. Supply a reasonable profit.

Only when enough revenue is produced to cover all of the above can an operation be considered economically feasible.
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## SECTION 2.—COST ESTIMATION

## CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST CATEGORIES

Section 2 contains equations for estimating capital and operating costs associated with placer mining. Equations are provided for the following items.

| Capital costs: | Operating costs: |
| :--- | :---: |
| Exploration: | Overburden removal: |
| Panning | Bulldozers |
| Churn drilling | Draglines |
| Bucket drilling | Front-end loaders |
| Trenching | Rear-dump trucks |
| General | Scrapers |
| reconnaissance | Mining: |
| Camp costs | Backhoes |
| Seismic surveying | Bulldozers |
| Rotary drilling | Draglines |
| Helicopter rental | Front-end loaders |
| Development: | Rear-dump trucks |
| Access roads | Scrapers |
| Clearing | Processing: |
| Preproduction | Conveyors |
| overburden removal: | Feed hoppers |
| Bulldozers | Jig concentrators |
| Draglines | Sluices |
| Front-end loaders | Spiral concentrators |
| Rear-dump trucks | Table concentrators |
| Scrapers | Tailings removal: |
| Mine equipment: | Bulldozers |
| Backhoes | Draglines |
| Bulldozers | Front-end loaders |
| Draglines | Rear-dump trucks |
| Front-end loaders | Scrapers |
| Rear-dump trucks | Trommels |
| Scrapers | Vibrating screens |
| Processing equipment: | Supplemental: |
| Conveyors | Employee housing |
| Feed hoppers | Generators |
| Jig concentrators | Lost time and general |
| Sluices | services |
| Spiral concentrators | Pumps |
| Table concentrators |  |
| Trommels |  |
| Vibrating screens |  |
| Supplemental: |  |
| Buildings |  |
| Employee housing |  |
| Generators |  |
| Pumps |  |
| Settling ponds |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Included in this section are summary forms (figs. 4-6) that may be used to aid in total capital and operating cost calculations. A bibliography of cost information sources is provided at the end of this section.

The appendix contains a complete sample cost estimation. This sample will familiarize the reader with cost estimation techniques used in this report.

## EXPLORATION

Two methods are presented for calculating exploration costs. Method 1 allows the evaluator to roughly estimate costs with a minimum of information. Method 2 requires a detailed exploration plan and provides the user with a much more precise cost.

Method 1: If information concerning exploration of a deposit is not available, the following equation may be used to estimate an exploration capital cost. It must be emphasized, however, that costs calculated from this equation can be very misleading, and it is recommended that a detailed exploration program be designed if possible and that costs be assigned using method 2 .

As stated in section 1, the amount of exploration required is a highly variable function of many factors. This equation is based on estimated exploration costs for several successful placer operations, but these deposits may have little in common with the one being evaluated.

The base equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\text { Total estimated resource, in bank cubic yards } \\
&(B C Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Base Equation:

Exploration capital costs . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.669(\mathrm{X})^{0.849}$

An exact breakdown of expenses included in this cost is not available. In general, exploration is a labor-intensive task. Unless the deposit is extremely remote, a large share of the exploration cost will be attributed to labor. If the deposit is remote, costs of access equipment (helicopters, etc.) will become a factor.

Method 2: Excellent cost data for most exploration functions may be found in the Bureau's Cost Estimation System (CES) Handbook (IC 9142). Functions covered in that publication include

Helicopter rental rates.
Sample preparation and analysis costs.
Drill capacities and costs for core, rotary, and hammer drills.

Survey charges.
Labor rates.
Travel costs.
Ground transportation costs.
Field equipment costs.
Geological, geophysical, and geochemical exploration technique costs.

Costs directly related to placer mining from the above list are summarized in the following tabulations. Several items particular to placer mining are not covered in the CES Handbook. These items, for which costs follow, include

Panning.
Churn drilling
Bucket drilling.
Trenching.


Exploration capital costs

Exploration Cost Tabulations: As in the CES Handbook, costs are given in dollars per unit processed (cubic yard, sample, foot drilled, etc.). The product of the unit cost and the total units processed constitutes the total capital cost for any particular method of exploration. Total exploration costs consist of the sum of these individual exploration method expenses. A summary sheet for these calculations is shown in figure 4.

| EXPLORATION-PANNING |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average cost per sample $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Cost range $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Cost variables $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |

## EXPLORATION-CHURN DRILLING

| Average cost per foot | \$45 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost range | \$20-\$70 |
| Cost variables | Depth of hole, material being drilled, site access, and local competition. |

## EXPLORATION-BUCKET DRILLING

| Average cost per foot | \$9.20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost range | \$5-\$20 |
| Cost variables | Depth of hole, material being drilled, and site access. |
| EXPLORATION-TRENCHING |  |
| Average cost per cubic yard | \$7.10 |
| Cost range | \$2.25-\$28.50 |
| Cost variables | Labor efficiency, material being sampled, site access, equipment ownership, sampling method, and total volume of work to be done. |

CES Exploration Cost Tabulations: Some of the more pertinent exploration cost items presented in the CES Handbook (IC 9142) are summarized in the following. A detailed description of these items can be found in that publication.

EXPLORATION-GENERAL RECONNAISSANCE
Average cost per worker-day . . . . .
Cost range . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
\$175- $\$ 210$
Cost variables . . . . . . . . . .

## EXPLORATION-CAMP COSTS

| Average cost per worker-day | \$30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost range | \$19-\$41 |
| Cost variables | Deposit remoteness, terrain, access, and climate. |

EXPLORATION-SEISMIC SURVEYING (REFRACTION)

| Average cost per linear foot $\ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 1.50$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost range . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\$ 1.00-\$ 2.50$ |  |
| Cost variables . . . . . . . . . . | Labor efficiency, deposit |  |
|  |  |  |

EXPLORATION-ROTARY DRILLING

| Average cost per foot | \$6.50 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost range | \$2.00-\$11.50 |
| Cost variables | Depth of hole, material being drilled, and site access. |

## EXPLORATION-HELICOPTER RENTAL

| Average cost per hour $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 395$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost range $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 305-\$ 590$ |
| Cost variables $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | Passenger capacity, <br> payload capacity, cruise <br> speed, and range. |

EXPLORATION COST SUMMARY FORM
Capital cost calculation:


Figure 4.-Exploration cost summary form.

## DEVELOPMENT-ACCESS ROADS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation provides the cost per mile of road construction to the deposit and between various facilities. Costs include clearing and excavation, but do not account for any blasting or gravel surfacing that may be required. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
X=\text { Average width of roadbed, in feet. }
$$

The following assumptions were made in estimating road costs:

1. Side slope, $25 \%$.
2. Moderate ground cover.
3. Moderate digging difficulty.

## Base Equation:

Access road capital cost $\left.\ldots Y_{C}=765.65(X)\right)^{10922}$
The capital cost consists of $68 \%$ construction labor, $13 \%$ parts, $16 \%$ fuel and lubricants, and $3 \%$ tire replacement.

Brush Factor: The original equation is based on the assumption that ground cover consists of a mixture of brush and trees. If vegetation is light (i.e., consisting mainly of brush or grasses), the total cost per mile (covered with brush) must be multiplied by the factor obtained from the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.158(\mathrm{X})^{0.325} .
$$

Forest Factor: If ground cover is heavy (i.e., consisting mainly of trees), the total cost per mile (covered with trees) must be multiplied by the factor obtained from the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=2.000(\mathrm{X})^{-0.079}
$$

Side Slope Factor: If average side slope of the terrain is other than $25 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per mile:

Surfacing Factor: If gravel surfacing is required, the cost per mile must be multiplied by the following factor to account for the additional labor, equipment, and supply costs:

$$
F_{i}=6.743 .
$$

Blasting Factor: In hard-rock situations, blasting may be required. Should this be the case, the cost obtained from the following equation must be added to total access road cost.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[12,059.18(\mathrm{X})^{0.534}\right] \times[$ miles of roadhed requiring blasting]

Total Cost: Access road capital cost is determined by

$$
\left[\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}\right) \times \text { total miles }\right]+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} .
$$



Develapment capital costs - Access roads

This total cost is then entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## DEVELOPMENT-CLEARING

Capital Cost Equation: This equation provides the total capital cost of clearing brush and timber from the surface of a deposit prior to mining. Costs include labor, equipment, and supplies required to completely strip the surface of growth, and to dispose of debris. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
X=\text { Total acreage to be cleared. }
$$

The following assumptions were made in estimating clearing costs:

## 1. Level slope.

2. Moderate ground cover.

## Base Equation:

Clearing capital cost $\ldots Y_{C}=1,043.61(X)^{0.913}$
The capital cost consists of $68 \%$ construction labor, $18 \%$ fuel and lubricants, $12 \%$ parts, and $2 \%$ steel supplies.

Slope Factor: The original equation is based on the assumption that the slope of the surface overlying the deposit is nearly level. If some slope is present, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the clearing capital cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.942 \mathrm{e}^{(0.008(\text { percent slope })} .
$$

Brush Factor: Ground cover is assumed to consist of a mixture of brush and small trees. If the surface is covered with only brush and grasses, the following factor must be applied to the cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.250 .
$$

Forest Factor: If the surface is forested, capital cost must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=1.750 .
$$

Total Cost: Clearing capital cost is determined by

$$
\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)
$$

This total cost is then entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


Capital Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and relocating overburden using bulldozers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by bulldozer.

The base equations assume the following:

1. No ripping.
2. Dozing distance, 300 ft .
3. Cutting distance,
4. Average operator ability. 50 ft .
5. Nearly level gradient.
6. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.993(\mathrm{X})^{-0.430}$ Labor operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{E}}=14.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.945}$

Equipment operating costs average $47 \%$ parts and $53 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs average $86 \%$ operator labor and $14 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If the average dozing distance is other than 300 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
F_{0}=0.00581(\text { distance })^{0.904}
$$

Gradient Factor: If the average gradient is other than level, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
F_{G}=1.041 e^{[0.015 p e r c e n t} \text { gradient! } .
$$

Ripping Factor: If ripping is required, total operating cost must be multiplied by the following factor, this will account for reduced productivity associated with ripping:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.595
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

Equipment factor $\ldots . . . . . U_{\mathrm{e}}=1.206(\mathrm{X})^{-0.013}$
Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{e}}=0.967(\mathrm{X})^{0.015}$
Digging Difficulty Factor: Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficulty factor is required. If so, one of the following should be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, easy digging . } 0.830 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, medium-hard } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, medium } \\
& \text { digging . . . . . . . . . } 1.250 \\
& \text { digging . . . . . . . . } 1.000 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, hard digging . . . } 1.670
\end{aligned}
$$



Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} .
$$

To obtain overburden removal capital cost, the total cost per loose cubic yard must be multiplied by total amount of overburden handled by bulldozer prior to production. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## PREPRODUCTION OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-DRAGLINES

Capital Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating overburden using draglines. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, over-
burden, and tails moved hourly by dragline.

The base curves assume the following:

1. Bucket efficiency, 0.90 .
2. Full hoist.
3. Swing angle, $90^{\circ}$.
4. Average operator ability.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating costs.. $Y_{E}=1.984(\mathrm{X})^{-0.390}$ Labor operating costs $\ldots . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=12.19(\mathrm{X})^{-0.888}$

Equipment operating costs consist of $67 \%$ parts and $33 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $78 \%$ operator labor and $22 \%$ repair labor.

Swing Angle Factor: If the average swing angle is other than $90^{\circ}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.304 \text { (swing angle) }\right)^{0.269}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor } \ldots \ldots . . . U_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017} \\
& \text { Labor factor } \ldots . . . . . . . . \\
& U_{1}=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{i}\right)\right] \times F_{S} .
$$

To obtain the overburden removal capital cost, the total cost per loose cubic yard must be multiplied by the total amount of overburden handled by dragline prior to production. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PREPRODUCTION OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-FRONT-END LOADERS

Capital Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of relocating overburden using wheel-type front-end loaders. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by front-end loader.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, 500 ft . 3. Inconsistent operation.
2. Rolling resistance, nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.407(\mathrm{X})^{-0.225}$ Labor operating cost $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=13.07(\mathrm{X})-0.936$

Equipment operating costs average $22 \%$ parts, $46 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $32 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs average $90 \%$ operator labor and $10 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If the average haul distance is other than 500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.023(\text { distance })^{0.616}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.877 \mathrm{e}^{\text {|0.046(ipercent sradient } \mid} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

> Equipment factor
> $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})-0.017$
> Labor factor.
> $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{e}}=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}$

Track-Type Loader Factor: If track-type loaders are used, the following factors must be applied to the total cost obtained from the base equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor } \ldots \ldots . . \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.378 \\
& \text { L }=1.073
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)\left(T_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{l}\right)\left(T_{l}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} .
$$

To obtain the overburden removal capital cost, the total cost per loose cubic yard must be multiplied by the total amount of overburden handled by front-end loader prior to produc-


Overburden removal capital costs - Front-end loaders
tion. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## PREPRODUCTION OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Capital Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of hauling overburden using rear-dump trucks. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by reardump truck.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$
2. Loader cycles to fill, 4.
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Average operator ability.
5. Rolling resistance, $2 \%$, nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating costs. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.602(\mathrm{X})^{-0.296}$
Labor operating cost.
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=11.34(\mathrm{X})^{-0.891}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $28 \%$ parts, $58 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $14 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.093(\text { distance })^{0.311}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.907 \mathrm{e}^{(0.049 \text { percent gradient })} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.984(\mathrm{X})^{0.016} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.943(\mathrm{X})^{0.021}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} .
$$

To obtain the overburden removal capital cost, the total cost per loose cubic yard must be multiplied by the total amount of overburden handled by truck prior to production. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


Qverburden removal capital costs - Rear-dump trucks

## PREPRODUCTION OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-SCRAPERS

Capital Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and hauling overburden using scrapers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by scraper.

The base curves assume the following:

1. Standard scrapers.
2. Rolling resistance, $6 \%$, nearly level gradient.
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Average haul distance, $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$.
5. Average operator ability.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.325(\mathrm{X})-0.219$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots Y_{1}=12.01(\mathrm{X})^{-109390}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $28 \%$ parts, $58 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $14 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.01947(\text { distance })^{10.577} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $6 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{10.047 \text { pereent amdan! } .}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor } \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096(\mathrm{X})^{-0.006} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} .
$$

To obtain the overburden removal capital cost, the total cost per loose cubic yard must be multiplied by the total amount of overburden handled by scraper prior to production. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## MINE EQUIPMENT-BACKHOES

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing the appropriate number and size of hydraulic backhoes needed to provide the maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel moved hourly by backhoe.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| 105 hp | . 95 to 200 | 195 hp | . 250 to 375 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LCY/h |  | LCY/h |
| 135 hp | . 175 to 275 | 325 hp | . 350 to 475 |
|  | LCY/h |  | LCY/h |

These capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Medium digging difficulty.
2. Maximum digging depth, $0 \%$ to $50 \%$.
3. Average operator ability.
4. Swing angle, $60^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=84,132.01 \mathrm{e}^{\left(0.00350 \mathrm{XA}_{1}\right)}$
Equipment capital costs consist entirely of the equipment purchase price.

Digging Depth Festor: If average digging depth is other than $50 \%$ of $\because$ aximum depth obtainable for a particular make of backioe, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.04484(\mathrm{D})^{0.790}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}=$ percent of maximum digging depth.
Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for the reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.386
$$

Digging Difficulty Factor: Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficulty factor is required. If so, one of the following should be applied to total capital cost:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, easy digging | 1.000 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium |  | digging | 556 |
| digging | 1.330 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, hard digging | 1.822 |

Total Cost: Backhoe capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} .
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## MINE EQUIPMENT-BULLDOZERS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing the appropriate size and number of crawler dozers needed to provide the maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and waste moved hourly by bulldozer.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
65 \mathrm{hp} \ldots . .19 .0 & \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 200 \mathrm{hp} \ldots . .126 .0 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
80 \mathrm{hp} \ldots .31 .5 & \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 335 \mathrm{hp} \ldots . .263 .5 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
105 \mathrm{hp} \ldots \ldots 56.5 & \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 460 \mathrm{hp} \ldots .334 .0 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
140 \mathrm{hp} \ldots .82 .0 & \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 700 \mathrm{hp}
\end{array} \ldots .497 .5 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} .
$$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Straight " $S$ " blades.
2. No ripping.
3. Average operator ability.
4. Cutting distance, 50 ft .
5. Dozing distance, 300 ft .
6. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
7. Even, nearly level gradient.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=3,555.96(\mathrm{X})^{0.806}$
Equipment capital costs consist entirely of equipment purchase price.

Distance Factor: If average dozing distance is other than 300 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to capital costs. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum capacity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.01549(\text { distance })^{0.732}
$$

Gradient Factor: If the average gradient is other than level, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum capacity. (Favorable haul gradients should be entered as negative, uphill haul gradients as positive.)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=1.041 \mathrm{e}^{\text {lo.015percent gradient||. }}
$$

Digging Difficulty Factor: Variations from the base digging difficulty will necessitate changes in equipment size to maintain production capacity. Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficulty factor is required. If so, one of the following should be applied to total capital cost:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, easy digging | 0.863 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$ medium-hard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H} .}$ medium |  | digging . . . . . . . . 1.197 |
| digging | 1.000 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$ hard digging . . 1.509 |



Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.411
$$

Total Cost: Bulldozer capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## MINE EQUIPMENT-DRAGLINES

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing the appropriate size dragline needed to provide the maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and waste moved hourly by dragline.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
84 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 28 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 190 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 94 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
110 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 47 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 263 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 132 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
148 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 66 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 289 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 188 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
170 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 75 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} & 540 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 264 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}
\end{array}
$$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Bucket efficiency, 0.90 .
2. Full hoist.
3. Swing angle, $90^{\circ}$.
4. Average operator ability.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=16,606.12(\mathrm{X})^{0.678}$
Equipment capital costs consist entirely of the equipment purchase price.

Swing Angle Factor: If the average swing angle is other than $90^{\circ}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost. This factor will compensate for equipment size differences required to obtain the desired maximum capacity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.450(\text { swing angle })^{0.180} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for the reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.422
$$

Total Cost: Dragline capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## MINE EQUIPMENT-FRONT-END LOADERS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation provides the cost of purchasing the appropriate size and number of wheeltype front-end loaders needed to supply the maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}= & \text { Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, } \\
& \text { overburden, and waste moved hourly by } \\
& \text { front-end loader. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equation was calculated using the following capacities:

| $1.00-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, | $3.50-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, |
| :---: | :--- |
| $65 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 24.00 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ | $200 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 129.50 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $1.50-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, | $4.50-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, |
| $80 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 34.50 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ | $270 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 171.00 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $1.75-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, | $6.50-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, |
| $105 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 38.50 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ | $375 \mathrm{hp}^{3} .234 .00 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $2.25 \cdot \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, | $12.00-\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, |
| $125 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 56.25 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ | $690 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 348.00 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $2.75 \cdot \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ bucket, |  |
| $155 \mathrm{hp} \ldots 66.00 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Haul distance, 500 ft . 4. Wheel-type loader.
2. Rolling resistance, $2 \%, 5$. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$. nearly level gradient. 6. General purpose
3. Inconsistent bucket, heaped. operation.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost. $. \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=2,711.10(\mathrm{X})^{0.896}$
Equipment capital costs consist entirely of the equipment purchase price.

Distance Factor: If the average haul distance is other than 500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum capacity. (If tracked loaders are to be used, the maximum haul distance should not exceed 600 ft .)

$$
F_{D}=0.033(\text { distance })^{0.552} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum capacity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.888 \mathrm{e}^{[0.041 \text { percent gradient }] .}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.386
$$



Track-Type Loader Factor: If track-type loaders are used, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost. This factor will account for the decrease in production efficiency and the difference in equipment cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.414(\mathrm{X})^{0.272}
$$

Total Cost: Front-end loader capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## MINE EQUIPMENT-REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing the appropriate size and number of diesel rear-dump trucks needed to provide the maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}= & \text { Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, } \\
& \text { overburden, and waste moved hourly by } \\
& \text { rear-dump truck. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:


The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Diesel rear-dump
2. Haul distance, $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$. trucks.
3. Loader cycles to fill, 4.
4. Rolling resistance, $2 \%$, nearly level gradient.

## Base Equation: <br> Equipment capital cost . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=472.09(\mathrm{X})^{1.139}$

Equipment capital costs consist entirely of the equipment purchase price.

Distance Factor: If the average haul distance is other than $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum capacity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.06240(\text { distance })^{0.364}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain the maximum capacity. (Favorable haul gradient should be entered as negative, uphill haul grades as positive.)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.896 \mathrm{e}^{[0.056(\text { percent gradient })}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.243
$$

Total Cost: Truck capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{D} \times F_{G} \times F_{U}
$$



This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.

## MINE EQUIPMENT-SCRAPERS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing the appropriate size and number of scrapers needed to provide maximum required production. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and waste moved hourly by scraper.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 330 \mathrm{hp} \ldots . . . .201 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} 550 \mathrm{hp} \ldots . . . .420 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} \\
& 450 \mathrm{hp} . . . . .323 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Standard scrapers.
2. Rolling resistance, $6 \%$, nearly level gradient.
3. Average haul distance, $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$.
4. Average operator ability.
5. Dozing distance, 300 ft .
6. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Base Equation:

$$
\text { Equipment capital cost } \ldots . Y_{C}=1,744.42(\mathrm{X})^{0.934}
$$

Equipment capital costs consist entirely of the equipment purchase price.

Distance Factor: If the haul distance is other than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain maximum production capacity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.025 \text { (distance) } 0.539 .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $6 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total capital cost. This will correct for the addition or reduction of equipment required to maintain the maximum production capacity. (Favorable haul gradients are entered as negative, uphill haul gradients as positive.)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{(0.04 \pi \text { percent quadient })}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
F_{v}=0.312 .
$$

Total Cost: Scraper capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{D} \times F_{\mathrm{G}} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-CONVEYORS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size conveyors needed to meet maximum required production. A separate cost must be calculated for each conveyor in the circuit. The cost includes associated drive motors and electrical hookup. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not accounted for. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of material moved hourly by conveyor.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| 18 -in-wide <br> conveyor $\ldots . . .96 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ | 30 -in-wide <br> conveyor $\ldots . . .320 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 -in-wide <br> conveyor $\ldots . . .192 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ | 36 -in-wide <br> conveyor $\ldots . . .480 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots . Y_{\mathrm{C}}=4,728.36(\mathrm{X})^{0.287}$
The capital cost consists of $89 \%$ equipment purchase price, $8 \%$ installation labor, and $3 \%$ construction materials.

Length Factor: If the required conveyor length is other than 40 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the calculated capital cost. This factor is valid for conveyors 10 to 100 ft long:
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.304$ (length) ${ }^{0.330}$.
Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.505
$$

Total Cost: Conveyor capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


Processing equipment capltal costs -Conveyors

## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-FEED HOPPERS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size vibrating feeder needed to meet maximum required production. The cost includes associated drive motors, springs, and electrical hookup, plus the expense of a hopper. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not accounted for. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of material handled hourly by feed hopper.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Unsized feed. 2. Feed density, $2,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots \ldots . Y_{C}=458.48(X)^{0.470}$
The capital cost consists of $82 \%$ equipment purchase price, $14 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $4 \%$ steel.

Hopper Factor: In many instances a vibrating feeder may not be required. If a hopper is the only equipment needed, multiply the calculated cost by the factor obtained from the following equation. This factor will account for material and labor required to construct and install a hopper:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.078 \mathrm{e}^{(0.00172 \times \mathrm{XI}} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: The factor calculated from the following equation accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.476 \mathrm{e}^{(0.00036(\mathrm{X})} .
$$

Total Cost: Feeder capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}} .
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-JIG CONCENTRATORS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size and number of jigs needed to meet maximum required production. The cost includes associated drive motors, piping, and electrical hookup. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not accounted for. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly by jig concentrators.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:
12- by 12 -in simplex
26 - by 26 -in
$0.617 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
36- by 36 -in
simplex $\ldots$
36 - by 36 -in
$2.896 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
triplex ... $16.659 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
duplex ...... $11.106 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Cleaner service.
2. Hourly capacity, 0.617 $\mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.
3. Feed solids, 3,400 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots . . Y_{C}=6,403.82(X)^{0.595}$
The capital cost consists of $62 \%$ equipment purchase price, $12 \%$ construction labor and installation, and $26 \%$ construction materials.

Rougher-Coarse Factor: If jigs are to be used for rougher service, or a coarse feed, higher productivity will be realized. To account for the reduction in equipment required to maintain production, the calculated capital cost must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.531
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for the reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.697
$$

Total Cost: Jig concentrator capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{R} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-SLUICES

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of constructing and installing the appropriate size and number of sluices needed to meet maximum required production. Costs do not include material transportation or sales tax. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly by sluice.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| 18-in-wide box | $20.75 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \text {-in-wide } \\ \text { box } . . . . \end{gathered}$ | $75.00 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-in-wide |  | 42-in-wide |  |
| box | $31.25 \mathrm{yd}^{3} \mathrm{~h}$ | box | $125.00 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 30 -in-wide |  | 48-in-wide |  |
| box | $50.00 \mathrm{yd}^{3} \mathrm{~h}$ | box | $218.75 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Steel plate construction.
2. Angle-iron riffles.
3. Feed solids, 3,400 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.
4. Length-to-width ratio, 4:1
5. Gravity feed.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots \ldots$. . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=113.57(\mathrm{X})^{0.567}$
The capital cost consists of $61 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $39 \%$ construction materials.

Wood Construction Factor: If sluices are to be made of wood rather than steel, the following factor will account for reduced material and construction costs:

$$
F_{W}=0.499(X)^{-0.023}
$$

Length Factor: This factor will account for changes in the desired length of the sluice. The factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to capital cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}=1.001(\mathrm{~L})^{0.753},
$$

where $L=$ desired length divided by length assumed for the base calculation (width $\times 4.0$ ).

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment hav. ing over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}=0.574
$$

Total Cost: Sluice capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{G} \times F_{W} \times F_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


Processing equipment capital costs - Sluices

## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-SPIRAL CONCENTRATORS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate number of spirals needed to meet maximum required production. Cost of slurry splitters, fittings, and pipe are all included. Costs do not include transportation, sales tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\underset{\text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly }}{\text { by spiral concentrator. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { starts. } 2 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \text { starts . . . . . . . . } 50 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} \\
& 10 \text { starts . } 10 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} \quad 100 \text { starts . . . . . . . . . } 100 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Rougher service.
2. Solids per start, $1.75 \mathrm{st} / \mathrm{h}$.
3. Feed solids, $3,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=3,357.70(\mathrm{X})^{0.999}$
The capital cost consists of $71 \%$ equipment purchase price, $13 \%$ construction labor and installation, and $16 \%$ construction materials.

Cleaner-Scavenger Service Factor: If spirals are to be used for cleaner or scavenger functions, unit capacity will decrease. To account for additional equipment needed to maintain production, calculated capital cost must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=2.333
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
F_{U}=0.654
$$

Total Cost: Spiral concentrator capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}} .
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-TABLE CONCENTRATORS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size and number of tables needed to meet maximum required production. Cost includes associated drive motors, piping, and electrical hookup. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not accounted for. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$X=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly
by table concentrator.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:
$18 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \ldots .0 .147 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} \quad 140 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \ldots \ldots .1 .471 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
$32 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \ldots .0 .442 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
$80 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \ldots .0 .882 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \ldots \ldots .2 .471 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions.

1. Cleaner service.
2. Feed solids, 3,400 $l b / y d^{3}$.
3. Slurry density, $25 \%$ solids.
4. Gravity feed.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost $\ldots Y_{C}=20,598.06(\mathrm{X})^{064: 3}$
The capital cost consists of $62 \%$ equipment purchase price, $12 \%$ construction labor and installation, and $26 \%$ construc tion materials.

Rougher-Coarse Factor: If tables are to be used for rougher service, or a coarse feed, higher productivity will be realized. To account for reduction in equipment required to maintain production, the calculated capital cost must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
F_{k}=0.568 .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life

$$
F_{i}=0.596
$$

Total Cost: Table concentrator capital cost is deter. mined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{R} \times F_{1} .
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-TROMMELS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size trom mels needed to meet maximum required production. Cost includes associated drive motors, piping, and electrical hookup. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not accounted for. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly by trommels.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:
$3.0 . \mathrm{ft}$ diam ... $40 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} . \quad 5.0 \cdot \mathrm{ft}$ diam ... $250 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
3.5 ft diam ... $50 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} . \quad 5.5-\mathrm{ft}$ diam ... $300 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
$4.0-\mathrm{ft}^{\mathrm{diam}} \ldots 85 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h} . \quad 7.0-\mathrm{ft}$ diam $\ldots 500 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
$4.5-\mathrm{ft}$ diam . . . $150 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Trommels are sec-
2. Gravity feed. tioned for scrubbing 3. Feed density, 2,300 and sizing. $l b / y d^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=7,176.21(\mathrm{X})^{0.559}$
The capital cost consists of $64 \%$ equipment purchase price, $26 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $10 \%$ construc. tion materials.

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for the reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.516
$$

Total Cost: Trommel capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## PROCESSING EQUIPMENT-VIBRATING SCREENS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size and number of vibrating screens needed to meet maximum required production. Cost includes installation and electrical hookup of both the screens and the associated drive motors. Equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts have not been taken into account. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\underset{\text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly }}{\text { by vibrating screens. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| $30-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ screen surface | $47 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ | 96-ft ${ }^{2}$ screen surface | $150 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $56-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ screen surface | $87 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ | $140-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ screen surface | $218 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $60-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ screen surface | $93 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. An average of $0.624 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of screen is required for every cubic yard of hourly capacity.
2. Feed solids,
$3,120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.
3. Gravity feed.

## Base Equation:

Equipment capital cost. $. \quad Y_{C}=1,870.20(X)^{0.631}$
The capital cost consists of $75 \%$ equipment purchase price, $10 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $15 \%$ construction materials.

Capacity Factor: If anticipated screen capacity is other than $0.624 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ of hourly feed capacity, the calculated capital cost must be multiplied by the following factor. This will account for the increase or reduction in equipment size required to maintain production:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.322(\mathrm{C})^{0.629},
$$

where $C$ = anticipated capacity in square feet per cubic yard of hourly feed.

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
F_{U}=0.565 .
$$

Total Cost: Vibrating screen capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{C} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## SUPPLEMENTAL—BUILDINGS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation provides the cost of materials and construction for any buildings needed at the site. These may include storage sheds, shops, or mill buildings. Costs do not include sales tax, material transportation, or discounts. A separate cost must be calculated for each building, and the equation is applied to the following variable:

## $\mathrm{X}=$ Estimated floor area, in square feet.

Building costs are based on the following assumptions:

1. Average quality temporary structures.
2. Steel frame with metal siding and roofing.
3. Concrete perimeter foundations with wood floors.
4. Electricity and lighting provided.

## Base Equation:

$$
\text { Capital cost } \ldots \ldots . . . . Y_{C}=34.09(X)^{0.907}
$$

The capital cost consists of $34 \%$ construction labor, $41 \%$ construction materials, and $25 \%$ equipment.

Cement Floor Factor: If a cement floor is required, the cost calculated from the base equation must be multiplied by the factor obtained from the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.035(\mathrm{X})^{0.008}
$$

Plumbing Factor: If plumbing is required, the following factor must be applied to the total capital cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}=1.013(\mathrm{X})^{0.002}
$$

Foundation Factor: If a concrete foundation and wood floor are not needed, multiply the capital cost by the factor obtained from the following equation. This will account for the cost of wood blocks and sills for the foundation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.640(\mathrm{X})^{0.026}
$$

Total Cost: Building capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{C} \times F_{P} \times F_{F}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## SUPPLEMENTAL-EMPLOYEE HOUSING

Capital Cost Equation: Costs of purchasing, outfitting, and installing trailers for workers living at the minesite are provided by this equation. Costs are based on fair quality single-wide trailers capable of meeting minimum building code requirements. Costs do not include sales tax, equipment transportation, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Average loose cubic yards of overburden and pay gravel handled hourly.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:
$25 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} . .3 .1$ workers $150 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} .6 .6$ workers 50 LCY/h . . 4.2 workers $400 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h} .9 .9$ workers

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Average workforce for placer mines in the western United States (including Alaska).
2. Two workers per trailer.
3. Trailers contain cooking facilities.

## Base Equation:

Capital cost

$$
Y_{C}=7,002.51(X)^{0.418}
$$

The capital cost consists of $90 \%$ equipment purchase price, $7 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $3 \%$ construction materials.

Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for the reduced expense of purchasing used trailers. The adjusted cost is obtained by multiplying the calculated capital cost by the following factor:

$$
F_{U}=0.631
$$

Workforce Factor: The equation used to compute labor for capital cost estimation is:

$$
\text { Workforce }=0.822(\mathrm{X})^{0.415} \text {. }
$$

If the workforce for the operation under evaluation is known, and is different than that calculated from the above equation, the correct capital cost may be obtained from the following equation:

$$
Y_{\mathrm{C}}=(\text { Number of workers }) \times 8,608.18
$$

Total Cost: Employee housing capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation


## SUPPLEMENTAL-GENERATORS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation provides the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size generator required to meet maximum production. Cost includes installation and connection through the fuse box, and allows for mill, mine, camp, and ancillary function power consumption. Costs do not include equipment transportation, sales, tax, or discounts. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled per
hour.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

The above capacities are based on the assumption that 0.57 kW is needed for every cubic yard of mill capacity. This is average for a mine with a basic plant containing trommels, conveyors, mechanical gravity separation devices (jigs or tables), and other necessary ancillary equipment. In all cases, a slightly higher rated generator has been selected for costing purposes to account for demand surges and miscellaneous electrical consumption, such as camp electricity. A factor is provided below for operations with power consumption rates other than $0.57 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

$$
\text { Equipment capital cost } \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=1,382.65(\mathrm{X})^{0.604}
$$

The capital cost consists of $75 \%$ equipment purchase price, $19 \%$ construction and installation labor, and $6 \%$ construction materials.

Alternate Power Consumption Factor: If anticipated power consumption rate is other than $0.57 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \mathrm{mill}$ capacity, the capital cost must be multiplied by the factor obtained from the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.365(\mathrm{P})^{0.618}
$$

where $P=$ anticipated power consumption rate
Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=0.481
$$

Total Cost: Generator capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{C} \times F_{p} \times F_{U}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


MILL CAPACITY, maximum cubic yards of feed treated per hour

Supplemental capital costs - Generators

## SUPPLEMENTAL—PUMPS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of purchasing and installing the appropriate size of pump needed for each particular function (i.e., providing fresh mill water, recirculating spent water through settling ponds, etc.). If more than one pump is required, a separate cost must be calculated for each installation. Guidelines for pump requirements are listed in section 1. In general, however, at least one pump will be required if water is recycled through settling ponds. Costs of diesel-driven centrifugal pumps, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, and pump and pipe installation labor are all considered. Costs of equipment transportation, sales tax, and discounts are not included. The equation is applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum gallons per minute of water handled

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| 0.50 hp pump | 50 gpm | $\begin{gathered} 10.50-\mathrm{hp} \\ \text { pump. } \end{gathered}$ | 1,000 gpm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.00-hp |  | 18.50-hp |  |
| pump | 200 gpm | pump | $1,750 \mathrm{gpm}$ |
| 5.25-hp |  | 37.00 hp |  |
| pump | 500 gpm | pump | 3,500 gpm |

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Total head of 25 ft .
2. Diesel-powered pumps.
3. Abrasion-resistant steel construction.
4. Total engine-pump efficiency of $60 \%$.

## Base Equation:

$$
\text { Equipment capital cost } \ldots Y_{C}=63.909(X)^{0.618}
$$

The capital cost consists of $70 \%$ equipment purchase price, $22 \%$ construction materials, and $8 \%$ construction and installation labor.

Head Factor: If total pumping head is other than 25 ft , the factor calculated from the following equation will correct for changes in pump size requirements. The product of this factor and the original cost will provide the appropriate figure:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{4}=0.125(\mathrm{H})^{6,6: 3} .
$$

where $\mathrm{H}=$ total pumping head.
Used Equipment Factor: This factor accounts for reduced capital expenditure of purchasing equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}=0.615 .
$$

Total Cost: Pump capital cost is determined by

$$
Y_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}
$$



Supplemental capita! costs - Pumos

## SUPPLEMENTAL-SETTLING PONDS

Capital Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the cost of settling ponds for waste-water treatment. Costs of labor and equipment operation for site selection, size determination, rough surveying, excavation, ditching, grading, and placement of sized gravel are all included. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}= & \text { Maximum mill water consumption, in gallons } \\
& \text { per minute. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If the water consumption rate is not known, one can be estimated from the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{X}=94.089(\mathrm{Y})^{0.546}
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}=$ maximum cubic yards of mill feed handled per hour.

The following capacities were used to calculate the base equation:

| 400 gpm | 1,426.yd ${ }^{3}$ | 900 gpm | $3,208 \cdot \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | liquid capacity |  | liquid capacity |
| 600 gpm | 2,139-yd ${ }^{3}$ | 1,400 gpm | 4,991-yd ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | liquid capacity |  | liquid capacity |

The above capacities are based on the following assumptions:

1. Pond located in mined-
2. Capable of holding out area.
3. Excavated by bulldozer. 12 h of waste water produced by mill.
4. Based on jig plant water consumption rate.

## Base Equation:

$$
\text { Capital cost... } Y_{C}=3.982(X)^{0.952}
$$

The capital cost consists of $75 \%$ construction labor, $13 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $12 \%$ equipment parts.

Liner Factor: In order to meet water quality standards, some settling ponds must be lined with an impervious material. If such a liner is required, total capital cost must be multiplied by the factor calculated from the following equation: This factor covers cost of the liner and associated installation labor:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}=27.968(\mathrm{X})^{-0.314} .
$$

Total Cost: Settling pond capital cost is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 5 for final capital cost calculation.


## OVERBURDEN REMOVAL—BULLDOZERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and relocating overburden using bulldozers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by bulldozer.

The base equations assume the following:

1. No ripping.
2. Cutting distance, 50 ft .
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Dozing distance, 300 ft .
5. Average operator ability.
6. Nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.993(\mathrm{X})^{-0.430}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{1}=14.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.945}$
Equipment operating costs average $47 \%$ parts and $53 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs average $86 \%$ operator labor and $14 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If the average dozing distance is other than 300 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
F_{1}=0.00581(\text { distance })^{10.904} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If the average gradient is other than level, the factor obtained from the following equation must be appled to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

Ripping Factor: If ripping is required, total operating cost must be multiplied by the following factor. This will account for the reduced productivity associated with ripping:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=1.595 .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } U_{e}^{e}=1.206(\mathrm{X})^{-0013} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.967(\mathrm{X})^{0.015}
\end{aligned}
$$

Digging Difficulty Factor: Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficuity factor is required. If so, one of the following should be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, easy digging | 0.830 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium-hard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium |  | digging. ....... 1.250 |
| digging | 1.000 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, hard digging . 1.670 |



Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden handled by bulldozer. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.

## OVERBURDEN REMOVAL—DRAGLINES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating overburden using draglines. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}= \text { Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, over- } \\
& \text { burden, and tails moved hourly by dragline. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The base curves assume the following:

1. Bucket efficiency,
2. Swing angle, $90^{\circ}$. 0.90 .
3. Full hoist.
4. Average operator ability.

## Base Equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment operating cost } \ldots \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=1.984(\mathrm{X})^{-0.390} \\
& \text { Labor operating cost } \ldots \ldots .
\end{aligned} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=12.19(\mathrm{X})^{-0.888} .
$$

Equipment operating costs consist of $67 \%$ parts and $33 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $78 \%$ operator labor and $22 \%$ repair labor.

Swing Angle Factor: If average swing angle is other than $90^{\circ}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.304(\text { swing angle) })^{0.269 .}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017} \\
& \text { Le }=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{S} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden handled by dragline. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-FRONT-END LOADERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of relocating overburden using wheel.type frontend loaders. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by front-end loader.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Hau! distance, 500 ft . 3. Inconsistent operation.
2. Rolling resistance, $2 \%, 4$. Wheel-type loader nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.407(\mathrm{X}) \cdot 0.225$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . \quad Y_{1}=13.07(\mathrm{X})^{-0.936}$
Equipment operating costs average $22 \%$ parts, $46 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $32 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs average $90 \%$ operator labor and $10 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than 500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{10}=0.023(\text { distance })^{0.516} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.877 \mathrm{e}^{\text {I0.094ipercent krident }} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:
Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . .
Labor factor . . . . . . . .
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017}$
La $=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}$

Track-Type Loader Factor: If track-type loaders are used, the following factors must be applied to the total cost obtained from the base equations:

| Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . | $\mathrm{T}_{⿰ ㇒ 未}=1.378$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . | $\mathrm{T}_{\mid}=1.073$ |

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{,} k T_{\varphi}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{l} k T_{i}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden handled by dragline. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of hauling overburden using rear-dump trucks. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:

X=Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by rear dump truck.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$.
2. Average operator ability.
3. Loader cycles to
4. Nearly level gradient.
fill, 4.
5. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . . $\quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.602(\mathrm{X})^{-0.296}$
Labor operating cost . . . . . . . $\quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=11.34(\mathrm{X})^{-0.891}$

Equipment operating costs consist of $28 \%$ parts, $58 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $14 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.093(\text { distance })^{0.311} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.907 \mathrm{e}^{(0.049 \text { percent gradient) })} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.984(\mathrm{X})^{-0.016} \\
\text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.943(\mathrm{X})^{0.021}
\end{array}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} .\right.
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden handled by truck. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## OVERBURDEN REMOVAL-SCRAPERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and hauling overburden using scrapers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, over-
burden, and tails moved hourly by scraper.

The base curves assume the following:

1. Standard scrapers.
2. Haul distance, $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$.
3. Rolling resistance,
4. Average operator $6 \%$, nearly level ability. gradient.
5. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.325(\mathrm{X})-0.210$
Labor operating cost

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L} .}=12.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.930}
$$

Equipment operating costs consist of $48 \%$ fuel and lubrication, $34 \%$ tires, and $18 \%$ parts. Labor operating costs con. sist of $88 \%$ operator labor and $12 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:
$F_{10}=0.01947(\text { distance })^{00.577}$.
Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $6 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{10} \mathbf{0} 7 \text { (qerectu }
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

| Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . |
| :--- |
| Labor factor . . . . . . . . . | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096(\mathrm{X})^{-0.0066}$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of overburden is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{F_{l}}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{i}} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden handled by scraper. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## MINING-BACKHOES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating pay gravel using backhoes. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of pay gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel moved hourly by backhoe.

The base equations assume the following:

| 1. Easy digging | 4. Average operator <br> difficulty. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ability. |  |
| 2.Swing angle, $60^{\circ}$ to <br> $90^{\circ}$ | 5. No obstructions <br> (boulders, tree roots, |
| 3. Up to $50 \%$ of | etc.). |
| maximum digging |  |
| depth. |  |

## Base Equations:

95-200 LCY/h:
Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=8.360(\mathrm{X})^{-1.019}$
Labor operating cost . . . . . . . . Y $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=17.53(\mathrm{X})^{-1.009}$

## 175-275 LCY/h:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=11,44(\mathrm{X})^{-1.021}$
Labor operating cost . . . . . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=17.25(\mathrm{X})^{-1.000}$
250-375 LCY/h:
Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=15.17(\mathrm{X})^{-1.003}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=19.97(\mathrm{X})^{-1.017}$
350-475 LCY/h:
Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=22.59(\mathrm{X})-0.995$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=16.55(\mathrm{X})-0.977$
Equipment operating costs consist of $38 \%$ parts and $62 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $88 \%$ operator labor and $12 \%$ repair labor.

Digging Depth Factor: If average digging depth is other than $50 \%$ of maximum, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel:
$F_{D}=0.09194$ (percent of maximum digging depth) ${ }^{0.608}$.
Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } U_{e}=1.078(\mathrm{X})^{-0.003} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . } U_{1}=0.918(\mathrm{X})^{0.021}
\end{aligned}
$$

Digging Difficulty Factor: Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficulty factor is required.


If so, one of the following should be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, easy digging | 1.000 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium-hard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, medium |  | digging |
| digging | 1.250 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, hard digging |

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{e}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{H}
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by backhoe. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.

## MINING—BULLDOZERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and relocating pay gravel using bulldozers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of pay gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by bulldozer.

The base equations assume the following:

1. No ripping.
2. Cutting distance, 50 ft .
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Dozing distance, 300 ft .
5. Average operator ability.
6. Nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.993(\mathrm{X})-0.430$
Labor operating cost . . . . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=14.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.945}$
Equipment operating costs average $47 \%$ parts and $53 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs average $86 \%$ operator labor and $14 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average dozing distance is other than 300 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.00581(\text { distance })^{0.904} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If average gradient is other than level, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=1.041 \mathrm{e}^{\text {lo.015(percent gradient). }} .
$$

Ripping Factor: If ripping is required, total operating cost must be multiplied by the following factor. This will account for reduced productivity associated with ripping:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.595 .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.206(\mathrm{X})^{-0.013} \\
\text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.967(\mathrm{X})^{0.015}
\end{array}
$$

Digging Difficulty Factor: Parameters given in the discussion on site adjustment factors in section 1 should be used to determine if a digging difficulty factor is required If so, one of the following should be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, easy digging } \ldots & 0.830 & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, medium-hard } \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, medium digging } & 1.000 & \text { digging ........ } & 1.250 \\
& & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}} \text {, hard digging } & 1.670
\end{array}
$$



Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{i}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} \times F_{H} \times F_{R} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by bulldozer. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.

## MINING—DRAGLINES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating pay gravel using draglines. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of pay gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by dragline.

The base curves assume the following:

1. Bucket efficiency,
2. Swing angle, $90^{\circ}$. 0.90 .
3. Full hoist
4. Average operator ability.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . . $\quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=1.984(\mathrm{X})^{-0.390}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots .$. . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=12.19(\mathrm{X})^{-0.888}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $67 \%$ parts and $33 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $78 \%$ operator labor and $22 \%$ repair labor.

Swing Angle Factor: If the average swing angle is other than $90^{\circ}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.304 \text { (swing angle }\right)^{0.269} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by the factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{!}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by dragline. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Mining operating costs - Draglines

## MINING-FRONT-END LOADERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of hauling pay gravel using wheel-type front-end loaders. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yards of pay gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by front-end loaders.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, 500 ft . 3. Inconsistent operation.
2. Rolling resistance, 2\%, 4. Wheel-type loader. .early level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating costs . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.407(\mathrm{X})-0.225$
Labor operating costs . . . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=13.07(\mathrm{X})^{-0.936}$
Equipment operating costs average $22 \%$ parts, $46 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $32 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs average $90 \%$ operator labor and $10 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If the average haul distance is other than 500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.023(\text { distance })^{0.616}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.877 \mathrm{e}^{(0.046 \text { (percent gradient) }} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.0066}
\end{aligned}
$$

Track-Type Loader Factor: If track-type loaders are used, the following factors must be applied to total cost obtained from the base equations:

Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.378$
Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{T}_{1}=1.073$
Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)\left(T_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{i}\right)\left(T_{i}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by front-end loader. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## MINING-REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of hauling pay gravel using rear-dump trucks. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of pay gravel. The equations are applied to the following variable:

X = Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by rear dump truck.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, 2,500 ft .
2. Average operator ability.
3. Loader cycles to fill, 4. 5. Relling resistance, $2 \%$,
4. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.602(\mathrm{X})^{-0.296}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . \quad Y_{L}=11.34(\mathrm{X})-0.891$
Equipment operating costs consist of $28 \%$ parts, $58 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $14 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.093(\text { distance })^{0.311} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.907 \mathrm{e}^{10.049 \text { percent kradient } \| .}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equip. ment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:
Equipment factor
$\mathrm{U}_{4}=0.984(\mathrm{X})^{-1016}$
Labor factor
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}=0.943(\mathrm{X})^{0021}$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{F}\left(U_{c}\right)+Y_{1}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{0} \times F_{(i} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by reardump truck. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## MINING-SCRAPERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of excavating and hauling pay gravel using scrapers. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of pay gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variables:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by scraper.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Standard scrapers.
2. Rolling resistance, $6 \%$, nearly level gradient.
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Haul distance, 1,000 ft .
5. Average operator ability.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.325(\mathrm{X})-0.210$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots Y_{\text {L }}=12.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.930}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $48 \%$ fuel and lubrication, $34 \%$ tires, and $18 \%$ parts. Labor operating costs consist of $88 \%$ operator labor and $12 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to the total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}=0.01947(\text { distance })^{0.577} .
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $6 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{10.047 \text { percent gradicmil }} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096(\mathrm{X})-0.006 \\
\text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.845(\mathrm{X})^{0.034}
\end{array}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard of pay gravel is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} .
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of pay gravel handled by scraper. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of moving gravel using conveyors. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of gravel handled and include the operating cost of the conveyor along with the drive. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of material moved hourly by conveyor.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Conveyors, 40 ft long. 3. Nearly level setup.
2. Feed, $3,120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.218(\mathrm{X})^{-0.561}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.250(\mathrm{X})^{-0.702}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{E}}=0.250(\mathrm{X})^{-0.702}$
Equipment operating costs average $72 \%$ parts, $24 \%$ electricity, and $4 \%$ lubrication. Labor operating costs consist entirely of repair labor.

Conveyor Length Factor: If conveyor length is other than 40 ft , factors obtained from the following equations must be applied to respective portions of the operating costs. These factors are valid for conveyors 10 to 100 ft long:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor } \ldots . . . . . . L_{\mathrm{e}}=0.209(\text { length })^{0.431} \\
& \text { Labor factor } \left.\ldots \ldots . . . . . L_{1}=0.245 \text { (length) }\right)^{0.390}
\end{aligned}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by the following factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.155 \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{1}=1.250
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(L_{e}\right)\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(L_{l}\right)\left(U_{\rho}\right)\right] .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of feed handled by conveyor. (A separate operating and total yearly cost must be calculated for each conveyor in the circuit.) This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING—FEED HOPPERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide cost of material transfer using vibrating feeders. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of feed and include the operating cost of the hopper, feeder, and drive motor. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly } \\
& \text { by feed hopper. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Unsized feed.
2. Feed solids, 2,300 $l b / y d^{3}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost... $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.033(\mathrm{X})^{-0.344}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots Y_{1}=0.017(\mathrm{X})^{-0.295}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $88 \%$ parts, $6 \%$ elec. tricity, and $6 \%$ lubrication. Labor operating costs consist entirely of repair labor.

Hopper Factor: In many installations, a vibrating feeder is not used, and pay gravel feeds directly from the hopper. If this is the case, no operating cost for feeders is required.

Used Equipment Factor: If a feeder with over 10,000 $h$ of previous service life is to be used, the following factors must be applied to respective operating costs to account for increased maintenance and repair requirements:
Equipment factor
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.176$
Labor factor

$$
\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.233
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{11}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by total yearly amount of feed handled by feed hopper. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING—JIG CONCENTRATORS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of gravity separation using jig concentrators. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard and include the operating cost of the jigs and associated drive motors. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\underset{\text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly }}{\text { by concentrators. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Cleaner service.
2. Hourly capacity, 0.617 $\mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.
3. Feed solids, 3,400
$\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equations:

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Equipment operating cost } \ldots & \mathrm{Y}_{E}=0.113(\mathrm{X})^{-0.328} \\ \text { Supply operating cost } \ldots \ldots & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.002(\mathrm{X})^{-0.184} \\ \text { Labor operating cost } \ldots \ldots & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=3.508(\mathrm{X})^{-1.268}\end{array}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $40 \%$ parts, $34 \%$ electricity, and $26 \%$ lubrication. Supply operating costs consist entirely of lead shot for bedding material. Labor operating costs consist of $66 \%$ operator labor and $34 \%$ repair labor.

Rougher-Coarse Factor: If jigs are to be used for rougher service or a coarse feed, higher productivity will be realized. To compensate for this situation, the following factor must be applied to total operating cost:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.344
$$

Used Equipment Factor: If jig concentrators with over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of service life are to be used, the following factors must be applied to respective operating costs to account for increased maintenance and repair requirements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } U_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096 \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . } U_{1}=1.087
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{S}+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{R}
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of feed handled by jig concentrators. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Processing operating costs - Jig concentrators

## PROCESSING-SLUICES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of gravity separation using sluices. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of feed and consist entirely of the expense of periodic concentrate cleanup. The equation is applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}= & \text { Maximum cubic yards feed handled hourly } \\
& \text { by sluice. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Steel plate construction.
2. Angle iron riffles.
3. Feed solids, 3,400
$1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equation:

Labor operating cost $\ldots Y_{1}=0.337(X)^{-16.636}$
Labor operating costs consist entirely of feed adjustment and cleanup labor. Costs of maintenance labor and parts are negligible.

Wood Sluice Factor: If wood sluices are to be used, an allowance must be made for periodic sluice replacement. To account for this, an equipment cost must be added to total cost, and labor cost must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment cost } \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.00035(\mathrm{X})^{1038.3} \\
& \text { Labor factor } \ldots \ldots . . . \mathrm{W}=1.141
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left|Y_{1}\left(W_{1}\right)-Y_{F}\right| .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by total yearly amount of feed handled by sluices. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


PROCESSING-SPIRAL CONCENTRATORS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of gravity separation using spiral concentrators. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of feed and include the operating cost of the spirals and slurry splitters only. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\underset{\text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly }}{\text { by spiral concentrators. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Rougher service.
2. Solids per start, 1.75 st/h.
3. Feed solids, 3,400
$\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost... $Y_{E}=\$ 0.0007 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . Y_{\text {L }}^{\mathrm{E}}=0.755(\mathrm{X})^{-0.614}$
Equipment operating costs consist entirely of parts. Labor operating costs consist entirely of operator labor, with the operator performing functions such as lining replacement.

Cleaner-Scavenger Factor: If spirals are to be used for cleaning or scavenging, throughput is reduced. The following factors must be applied to respective operating costs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}=2.429 \\
& \text { Labor factor } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . C_{1}=1.796
\end{aligned}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: Because spiral concentrators have no moving parts, they enjoy a long service life. Generally, only the liners require periodic replacement. For this reason, the operating costs asscociated with spirals are typically constant throughout the life of the machine.

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left[0.0007\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right]
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of feed handled by spiral concentrators. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING-TABLE CONCENTRATORS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of gravity separation using table concentrators. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of feed and include the operating cost of the tables and associated drive motors. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \text { Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly } \\
& \text { by table concentrators. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Cleaner service.
2. Feed solids, 3,400 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.
3. Slurry density, $25 \%$ solids.
4. Gravity feed.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=1.326(\mathrm{X})^{0.443}$ Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . Y_{\text {I }}=1.399(\mathrm{X})^{-0.78 .3}$

Equipment operating costs consist of $87 \%$ parts, $7 \%$ electricity, and $6 \%$ lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $67 \%$ operator labor and $33 \%$ repair labor.

Rougher-Coarse Factor: If the tables are to be used for rougher service or a coarse feed, higher productivity will be realized. To compensate for this situation, the following factors must be applied to both equipment and labor operating costs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mid}=0.415 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mid}=0.415
\end{aligned}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: If table concentrators with over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of service life are to be used, the following factors must be applied to the respective operating costs to account for increased maintenance and repair requirements:
Equipment factor
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.217(\mathrm{X})^{-0002}$
Labor factor
$\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=1.121(\mathrm{X})^{-0.026}$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left.\left[Y_{E}\left(R_{r}\right) \times U_{r}\right)+Y_{I}\left(R_{\mid} \nless U_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of feed handled by table concentrators. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of removing and relocating tailings using bulldozers. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of tailings moved. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by bulldozer.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
2. Dozing distance, 300
3. Average operator ft . ability.
4. Nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.993(\mathrm{X})^{-0.430}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . Y_{L}=14.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.945}$
Equipment operating costs average $47 \%$ parts, and $53 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs average $86 \%$ operator labor and $14 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average dozing distance is other than 300 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.00581(\text { distance })^{0.904}
$$

Gradient Factor: If average gradient is other than level, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=1.041 \mathrm{e}^{[0.015(\text { percent gradient)] }}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.206(\mathrm{X})^{-0.013} \\
& \text { La.967(X) }{ }^{0.015}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of tailings is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G} .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of tailings moved by bulldozer. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING-TAILINGS REMOVAL—DRAGLINES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of removing and relocating tailings using draglines. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of tailings moved. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by dragline

The base equations assume the following:

1. Bucket efficiency,
2. Swing angle, $90^{\circ}$ 0.90 .
3. Full hoist.
4. Average operator ability

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=1.984(\mathrm{X})^{-0} 390$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . Y_{\text {I }}=12.19(\mathrm{X})^{-0.888}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $67 \%$ parts, $33 \%$ fuel and lubrication. Labor operating costs consist of $78 \%$ operator labor and $22 \%$ repair labor

Swing Angle Factor: If average swing angle is other than $90^{\circ}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.304(\text { swing angle })^{0.269} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{aligned} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of feed is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of tailings moved by dragline. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING-TAILINGS REMOVAL-FRONT-END LOADERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of removing and relocating tailings using wheeltype front-end loaders. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of tailings moved. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel,
overburden, and tails moved hourly by
front-end loader.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, 500 ft . 3. Inconsistent operation.
2. Rolling resistance, $2 \%$, 4. Wheel-type loader. nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.407(\mathrm{X})^{-0.225}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . . Y_{L}=13.07(\mathrm{X})^{-0.936}$
Equipment operating costs average $22 \%$ parts, $46 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $32 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs average $90 \%$ operator labor and $10 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than 500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.023(\text { distance })^{0.616}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.877 \mathrm{e}^{(0.046 \text { percent gradient) }} .
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.162(\mathrm{X})^{-0.017} \\
\text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathrm{U}_{1}=0.989(\mathrm{X})^{0.006}
\end{array}
$$

Track-Type Loader Factor: If track-type loaders are used, the following factors must be applied to total cost obtained from the base equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { T } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.378 \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{1}=1.073
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of tailings is determined by

$$
\left.\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{l}}\right) \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}} .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of tailings moved by front-end loader. This product is subsequently entered in the ap-


## PROCESSING-TAILINGS REMOVAL-REAR-DUMP TRUCKS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of removing and relocating tailings using rear-dump trucks. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of tailings moved. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden. and tails moved hourly by reardump truck.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Haul distance, $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$.
2. Loader cycles to fill, 4.
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Average operator ability.
5. Rolling resistance, $2 \%$, nearly level gradient.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F} .}=0.602(\mathrm{X})^{-0.296}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{I}}=11.34(\mathrm{X})^{-0.891}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $28 \%$ parts, $58 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $14 \%$ tires. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than 2.500 ft , the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{12}=0.093(\text { distance })^{0.311}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $2 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of the base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

> Equipment factor . . . . . . . . $U_{U}=0.984(\mathrm{X})^{0.016}$ Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . $U_{1}=0.943(\mathrm{X})^{0.021}$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of tailings is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right]\right) \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of tailings moved by truck. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING-TAILINGS REMOVAL-SCRAPERS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of removing and relocating tailings using scrapers. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of tailings moved. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum loose cubic yards of pay gravel, overburden, and tails moved hourly by scraper.

The base curves assume the following:

1. Standard scrapers.
2. Rolling resistance, $6 \%$, nearly level gradient.
3. Efficiency, $50 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h}$.
4. Haul distance, 1,000 ft.
5. Average operator ability.

## Base Equation:

Equipment operating cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.325(\mathrm{X})^{-0.210}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots \ldots Y_{L}=12.01(\mathrm{X})^{-0.930}$
Equipment operating costs consist of $48 \%$ fuel and lubrication, $34 \%$ tires, and $18 \%$ parts. Labor operating costs consist of $88 \%$ operator labor and $12 \%$ repair labor.

Distance Factor: If average haul distance is other than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.01947(\text { distance })^{0.577}
$$

Gradient Factor: If total gradient (gradient plus rolling resistance) is other than $6 \%$, the factor obtained from the following equation must be applied to total cost per loose cubic yard:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{[0.047 \text { (percent gradient)] }}
$$

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096(\mathrm{X})^{-0.006} \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of tailings is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{L}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] \times F_{D} \times F_{G}
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by total yearly amount of tailings moved by scraper. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Processing operating costs - Tailinge removal - Serapers

## PROCESSING-TROMMELS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of processing gravel using trommels. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of gravel processed hourly by trommels.

The base equations assume the following:

1. Trommels are sectioned for scrubbing and sizing.
2. Associated electric motor operating costs are included.

## Base Equations:

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment capital cost } \ldots . . \\ & \text { Labor operating cost } \ldots . .\end{aligned} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.217(\mathrm{X})^{-0.403}=0.129(\mathrm{X})^{-0.429}$
Labor operating cost . . . . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.129(\mathrm{X})^{-0.429}$
Equipment operating costs average $63 \%$ parts, $26 \%$ electricity, and $11 \%$ lubrication. Labor operating costs consist entirely of maintenance and repair labor.

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by the following factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.194 \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{1}=1.310
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of gravel is determined by

$$
\left[Y_{E}\left(U_{e}\right)+Y_{1}\left(U_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of gravel processed by trommels. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## PROCESSING-VIBRATING SCREENS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of processing gravel using vibrating screens. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard of gravel handled. The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of gravel processed
hourly by vibrating screen.

The base equations assume the following:

1. An average of 0.624 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of screen is required for every cubic yard of hourly capacity.
2. Associated electric motor operating costs are included.
3. Feed solids, 3,120 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$.
4. Gravity feed.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.104(\mathrm{X})^{-0.426}$ Labor operating cost . . . . . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.106(\mathrm{X})^{-0.570}$

Equipment operating costs average $73 \%$ parts, $19 \%$ electricity, and $8 \%$ lubrication. Labor operating costs consist entirely of maintenance and repair labor.

Capacity Factor: If anticipated screen capacity is other than $0.624 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ hourly feed capacity, the respective operating costs must be multiplied by factors obtained from the following equations:

$$
C_{e}=1.267(C)^{0.575},
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1}=1.207(\mathrm{C})^{0.458}
$$

where $C=$ anticipated capacity in square feet of screen per cubic yard of hourly feed.

Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by the following factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.197 \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{1}=1.131
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard of gravel is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] .
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of gravel processed by the vibrating screen. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


Processing operating costs - Vibrating screens

## SUPPLEMENTAL—EMPLOYEE HOUSING

Operating Cost Equation: This equation furnishes the operating cost associated with providing housing for workers at the minesite. Costs are reported in dollars per loose cubic yard of overburden and pay gravel. Expenses for food, supplies, water, heat, and electricity are all taken into account. The equation is applied to the following variable:

X = Average loose cubic yards of overburden and pay gravel handled hourly.

The base equation assumes the following:

1. Shift, 10 h .

## Base Equation:

Supply operating cost. . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}=1.445(\mathrm{X})^{-0.583}$
Supply operating costs average $95 \%$ industrial materials and $5 \%$ fuel.

Food Allowance Factor: If workers are to pay for food and supplies out of their own pockets, the cost calculated from the above equation must be multiplied by the following factor:

$$
F_{F}=0.048
$$

Workforce Factor: The equation used to compute labor for operating cost estimation is

$$
\text { Workforce }=0.822(\mathrm{X})^{0.415} \text {. }
$$

If the workforce for the operation under evaluation is known, and is different than that calculated from the above equation, the correct cost can be obtained from the following equation:

$$
Y_{S}=\frac{\text { (Number of workers) } \times \$ 17.85}{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Cubic yards of overburden and pay gravel } \\
\text { handled daily }
\end{array}} .
$$

Total Cost: Cost per loose cubic yard is determined by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}
$$

The total cost per loose cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden and pay gravel handled. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## SUPPLEMENTAL-GENERATORS

Operating Cost: Operating costs of diesel generators are accounted for in the electrical portions of the other equipment operating costs. By so doing, operating costs of the generators are tied directly to size and type of equipment used.

The electrical portions of operating cost curves will also
account for the expense of electricity brought in through transmission lines if diesel generators are not used. This is at best an approximation. However, costs assigned in this manner are typically more representative than costs calculated by trying to estimate the total power consumption of an operation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL-LOST TIME AND GENERAL SERVICES

Operating Cost Equations: These equations account for costs not directly related to production. Costs are reported in dollars per cubic yard. Items in this section include:

1. Equipment downtime.
a. Productivity lost by the entire crew due to breakdown of key pieces of equipment.
b. Productivity lost by individual operators due to breakdown of single pieces of equipment.
c. Labor charges of outside maintenance personnel.
d. Wash plant relocation.
2. Site maintenance.
a. Road maintenance
b. Stream diversion.
c. Drainage ditch construction and maintenance
d. Site cleanup.
e. Reclamation grading and recontouring.
f. Settling pond maintenance.
3. Concentrate refinement.
a. Time spent recovering valuable minerals from mill concentrates by panning, mechanical separation, or amalgamation.

The equations are applied to the following variable:
$\mathrm{X}=$ Maximum cubic yards of feed handled hourly by mill.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost. . $Y_{\mathrm{E}}=0.142(\mathrm{X})^{0.004}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . . Y_{\mathrm{I}}=2.673(\mathrm{X})^{-0.524}$
Equipment operating costs average $53 \%$ fuel and lubrication and $47 \%$ equipment parts. Labor operating costs consist of $91 \%$ operator labor and $9 \%$ maintenance and repair labor.

Total Cost: Cost per cubic yard is determined by

$$
Y_{E}+Y_{l}
$$

The total cost per cubic yard must then be multiplied by the total yearly amount of overburden, pay gravel, and tailings handled. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## SUPPLEMENTAL—PUMPS

Operating Cost Equations: These equations provide the cost of transporting and providing water using centrifugal pumps. Costs are reported in dollars per hour of pump use. If more than one pump is used in the operation, a separate cost must be calculated for each. The equations are applied to the following variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\underset{\text { minute. }}{\text { Maximum gallons of water required per }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The base equations assume the following:

1. Total head, 25 ft .
2. Diesel-powered pumps.
3. Abrasion-resistant
steel construction.
4. Total engine-pump efficiency, $60 \%$.

## Base Equations:

Equipment operating cost. $\ldots{\underset{Y}{E}}^{Y_{E}}=0.007(\mathrm{X})^{0.713}$
Labor operating cost $\ldots . .$.
Equipment operating costs average $59 \%$ fuel and lubrication, and $41 \%$ parts. Labor operating costs consist of $82 \%$ operator labor and $18 \%$ maintenance and repair labor. (Operator labor includes pipeline work.)

Head Factor: If total pumping head is other than 25 ft , factors calculated from the following equations will correct for changes in equipment and labor operating costs. The product of these factors and the original costs will provide the appropriate figures:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.091(\mathrm{H})^{0.735},
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}=0.054(\mathrm{H})^{0.893}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}=$ total pumping head.
Used Equipment Factor: These factors account for added operating expenses accrued by equipment having over $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ of previous service life. The respective equipment and labor portions of base operating costs must be multiplied by the following factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Equipment factor . . . . . . . . . . } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.096 \\
& \text { Labor factor . . . . . . . . } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{1}=1.067
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Cost: Cost per hour is determined by

$$
\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\right]+\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)\right]
$$

The total cost per hour must then be multiplied by the anticipated hours per year of pump use. This product is subsequently entered in the appropriate row of the tabulation shown in figure 6 for final operating cost calculation.


## CAPITAL COST SUMMARY FORM

| Item | Cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exploration: |  |
| Method 1 cost | \$ |
| Method 2 cost |  |
| Development: |  |
| Access roads |  |
| Clearing. |  |
| Preproduction overburden removal: |  |
| Bulldozers. |  |
| Draglines. |  |
| Front-end loaders |  |
| Rear-dump trucks |  |
| Scrapers |  |
| Mine equipment: |  |
| Backhoes |  |
| Bulldozers |  |
| Draglines. |  |
| Front-end loaders |  |
| Rear-dump trucks |  |
| Scrapers |  |
| Processing equipment: |  |
| Conveyors. . |  |
| Feed hoppers |  |
| Jig concentrators |  |
| Sluices |  |
| Spiral concentrators |  |
| Table concentrators. |  |
| Trommels |  |
| Vibrating screens |  |
| Supplemental: |  |
| Buildings. |  |
| Camp . . |  |
| Generators |  |
| Pumps... |  |
| Settling ponds |  |
| Subtotal. |  |
| Contingency (10\%) Total |  |

Figure 5.-Capital cost summary form.

## OPERATING COST SUMMARY FORM

| Item | Annual cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overburden removal: |  |
| Bulldozers | \$ |
| Draglines |  |
| Front-end loaders |  |
| Rear-dump trucks |  |
| Scrapers |  |
| Mining: |  |
| Backhoes . |  |
| Bulldozers |  |
| Draglines |  |
| Front-end loaders |  |
| Rear-dump trucks |  |
| Scrapers |  |
| Processing: |  |
| Conveyors |  |
| Feed hoppers |  |
| Jig concentrators |  |
| Sluices . . . . . . . . |  |
| Spiral concentrators |  |
| Table concentrators |  |
| Tailings removal: |  |
| Buildozers |  |
| Draglines |  |
| Front-end loaders |  |
| Rear-dump trucks |  |
| Scrapers. |  |
| Trommels |  |
| Vibrating screens |  |
| Supplemental: |  |
| Employee housing |  |
| Lost time and general services |  |
| Pumps Subtotal |  |
| Contingency (10\%) | - |
| Total . . . . . |  |
| Cost per cubic yard pay gravel = total | ined per year |
| Final cost per cubic yard pay gravel. |  |

Figure 6.-Operating cost summary form
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## APPENDIX.—EXAMPLE OF COST ESTIMATE

## SAMPLE ESTIMATION

## Parameters

General:
150 operating d/a.
$10 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{shift}$.
100 -LCY/h pay gravel capacity.
2.5-LCY stripping ratio.
$150,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a}$ pay gravel mined.
$375,000 \mathrm{LCY} / a$ overburden removed.
Workers live on site.
Exploration:
20 worker-days reconnaissance.
$1,400 \mathrm{ft}$ churn drilling.
2,000 $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ trenching
1,200 samples panned.
8 h helicopter time.
180 worker-days camp requirements.
Development:
4 -mile access road.
$20 \%$ side slope.
Forested.
22 ft wide.
Ungraveled.
6 acres cleared.
Forested.
$10 \%$ side slope.
Overburden removal:
Excavated and hauled by 1 scraper. $250-\mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ production capacity. 330,000 LCY prior to production. $3,000-\mathrm{ft}$ average haul distance. $+8 \%$ average haul gradient.

Mining:
Excavation by 1 backhoe.
Hauled by 2 front-end loaders.
100 -LCY/h production capacity.
Medium-hard digging.
$800-\mathrm{ft}$ average haul length.
$+6 \%$ average haul gradient.

Mine equipment:
1 new backhoe.
1 used bulldozer.
2 new front-end loaders.
1 used scraper.

Milling (jig plant, see figure A-1):
Feeder, $100 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$.
Trommel, $100 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$.
Rougher jig, $20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
Cleaner jigs, 2 at $5 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
Final jig, $0.2 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
Scavenger sluice, $50 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
Scavenger sluice, $20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$.
Conveyor, $70 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}, 40 \mathrm{ft}$.
Tailings placement:
Transported using 1 bulldozer.
$100-\mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ production capacity. $400-\mathrm{ft}$ average haul length. $-8 \%$ average gradient.

## Escalation Factors (January to July 1985)

| Labor | 11.98/11.69 | $=1.025$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | 362.3/360.4 | $=1.005$ |
| Steel | 354.6/357.4 | $=0.992$ |
| Lumber | 354.9/343.2 | $=1.034$ |
| Fuel | 630.7/636.2 | $=0.991$ |
| Tires | 246.0/262.0 | $=0.939$ |
| Construction materials | 363.63/358.32 | $=1.015$ |
| Electricity | 540.3/524.9 | $=1.029$ |
| Industrial materials | 324.3/323.2 | $=1.003$ |



Figure A-1-Sample flow sheet.

## CAPITAL COSTS

## Exploration (p. 20)

| Reconnaissance | 20 worker-days $\times \$ 195 /$ worker-day | $=$ | \$3,900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churn drilling | . . $1,400 \mathrm{ft} \times \$ 45 / \mathrm{ft}$ | = | 63,000 |
| Trenching | . $2,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times \$ 7.10 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ | = | 14,200 |
| Panning | . 1,200 samples $\times \$ 2.10 /$ sample | = | 2,520 |
| Helicopter | . $8 \mathrm{~h} \times \$ 395 / \mathrm{h}$ | = | 3,160 |
| Camp | 180 worker-days $\times \$ 30 /$ worker-day | $=$ | 5,400 |

Exploration capital cost $=\$ 92,180 \times 1.025$ (labor)
$\$ 94,485$

## Access roads (p. 22)

| 22 -ft wide |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Forested |  |  |  |
| 600 ft blasting |  |  |  |
| Base cost | $\ldots Y_{C}=765.65(22)^{0.922}$ |  | \$13,236/mile |
| Labor | . $\$ 13,236 \times 0.68 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$9,225 |
| Parts | . $\$ 13,236 \times 0.13 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | 1,729 |
| Fuel | . $\$ 13,236 \times 0.16 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 2,099 |
| Tires | $. \$ 13,236 \times 0.03 \times 0.939$ | $=$ | 373 |
|  |  |  | \$13,426/mile |
| Forest factor . . | $. \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=2.000(22)^{-0.079}$ | $=$ | 1.567 |
| Side slope factor | $\ldots \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.633 \mathrm{e}^{[0.021(20)]}$ | = | 0.963 |
| Blasting factor. | $\left.18(22)^{8.534}\right] \times(600 / 5,280)$ | $=$ | 7,140 |

Access road capital cost $=[(\$ 13,426 \times 1.567 \times 0.963 \times 4]+7,140$
$\$ 88,180$

## Clearing (p. 23)

## 6 acres <br> $10 \%$ side slope <br> Forested

| Base cost | $Y_{C}=1,043.61(6)^{0.913}$ | $=$ | \$5,358 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | . $\$ 5,358 \times 0.68 \times 1.025$ | = | \$3,735 |
| Parts | . $85,358 \times 0.12 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 637 |
| Fuel | . $\$ 5,358 \times 0.18 \times 1.006$ | $=$ | 970 |
| Steel | . $\$ 5,358 \times 0.02 \times 0.992$ | $=$ | 106 |


| Slope factor | $. \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.942 \mathrm{e}^{[0.008(10)]}$ | = | 1.020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest factor | $\ldots \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  | 1.750 |

[^2]
## Preproduction overburden removal (p. 24)



## Mine equipment-backhoes (p. 29)


Backhoe capital cost $=(\$ 119,986 \times 1.429 \times 1.556)$ ..... \$266,792

## Mine equipment-bulldozers (p. 30)



Bulldozer capital cost $=(\$ 146,259 \times 1.244 \times 0.923 \times 0.411) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$.

## Mine equipment-front-end loaders (p. 32)

| $100 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two machines, $50 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ each |  |  |  |
| $800-\mathrm{ft}$ average haul |  |  |  |
| +6\% haul gradient plus rolling resistance |  |  |  |
| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=2,711.10(50)^{0.896}$ |  | \$90,245 |
| Equipment | \$90,245 $\times 1.00 \times 1.005$ |  | \$90,696 |
| Distance factor | $. \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.033(800)^{0.552}$ | $=$ | 1.321 |
| Gradient factor | . $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.888 \mathrm{e}^{[0.041(6)]}$ |  | 1.136 |
| Front-end loader capital cost $=(2 \times \$ 90,696 \times 1.321 \times 1.136)$ |  |  |  |
| Mine equipment-scrapers (p.34) |  |  |  |
| 250 LCY/h |  |  |  |
| 3,000-ft average haul |  |  |  |
| +8\% haul gradient plus rolling resistance |  |  |  |
| Used equipment |  |  |  |
| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=1,744.42(250)^{0.934}$ |  | \$302,919 |
| Equipment. | \$302,919 $\times 1.00 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$304,434 |
| Distance factor | $. \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.025(3,000)^{0.539}$ |  | 1.871 |
| Gradient factor | $\ldots \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{[0.047(8)]}$ |  | 1.130 |
| Used equipment factor | $\ldots . . . . . .^{\text {U }}$ | = | 0.312 |

Scraper capital cost $=(\$ 304,434 \times 1.871 \times 1.130 \times 0.312) \ldots \ldots . .$.

Processing equipment-conveyors (p. 35)



Processing equipment-feed hoppers (p. 36)

| $100 \mathrm{yd}^{3} \mathrm{~h}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=458.48(100)^{0.470}$ | = | \$3,993 |
| Equipment price . | . $\$ 3,993 \times 0.82 \times 1.005$ | = | \$3,291 |
| Installation labor | . $\$ 3,993 \times 0.14 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | 573 |
| Steel | . $\$ 3,993 \times 0.04 \times 0.992$ | $=$ | 158 |

Feed hopper capital cost $=(\$ 3,291+\$ 583+\$ 158)$
\$4,022

## Processing equipment-rougher jig (p. 37)

$20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=6,403.82(20)^{0.595}$ | $=$ | \$38,067 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment price | . $\$ 38,067 \times 0.62 \times 1.005$ | = | \$23,720 |
| Installation labor | . $\$ 38,067 \times 0.12 \times 1.025$ | = | 4,682 |
| Construction materials. | . $\$ 38,067 \times 0.26 \times 1.015$ | = | 10,046 |
| Rougher factor | $\ldots . . . F_{R}$ | $=$ | 0.531 |

Rougher jig capital cost $=[(\$ 23,720+\$ 4,682+\$ 10,046) \times 0.531] \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$

Processing equipment-cleaner jigs (p. 37)
2 at $5 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Base cost | $Y_{C}=6,403.82(5)^{0.595}$ | $=\$ 16,685$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment price | . $\$ 16,685 \times 0.62 \times 1.005$ | \$10,396 |
| Installation labor | . $\$ 16,685 \times 0.12 \times 1.025$ | 2,052 |
| Construction materials. | . $\$ 16,685 \times 0.26 \times 1.015$ | 4,403 |

Cleaner jigs capital cost $=[\$ 10,396+\$ 2,052+\$ 4,403) \times 2] \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$.

## Processing equipment-final jig (p. 37)

$0.2 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Base cost | $Y_{C}=6,403.82(0.2)^{0.595}$ | $=$ | \$2,458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment price | . $\$ 2,458 \times 0.62 \times 1.005$ | = | \$1,532 |
| Installation labor | . $\$ 2,458 \times 0.12 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | 302 |
|  | . $\$ 2,458 \times 0.26 \times 1.015$ | $=$ | 649 |

Final jig capital cost $=(\$ 1,532+\$ 302+\$ 649)$

## Processing equipment-sluice (p. 38)




Processing equipment-sluice (p. 38)

| $20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} \mathrm{~h}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base cost. | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=113.57(20)^{0.567}$ | $=$ | \$621 |
| Construction labor | \$621 $\times 0.61 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$388 |
| Construction materials | . $621 \times 0.39 \times 1.015$ | = | 246 |

Sluice capital cost $=(\$ 388+\$ 246)$ ..... $\$ 634$

## Processing equipmment-trommel (p. 41)

$100 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$
Base cost $Y_{C}=7,176.21(100)^{0.559}=\$ 94,166$
Equipment price . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 94,166 \times 0.64 \times 1.005=\$ 60,568$
Installation labor . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 94,166 \times 0.26 \times 1.025=25,095$Construction materials. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 94,166 \times 0.10 \times 1.015=9,558$
Trommel capital cost $=(\$ 60,568+\$ 25,095+\$ 9,558)$ ..... $\$ 95,221$
Supplemental-main building (p. 43)
$1,680 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Cement floor
Plumbing added

| Base cost | $Y_{C}=34.09(1,680)^{0.907}$ |  | \$28,707 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | . $\$ 28,707 \times 0.25 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$7,213 |
| Construction labor | . $\$ 28,707 \times 0.34 \times 1.025$ | = | 10,004 |
| Construction materials. | . $228,707 \times 0.41 \times 1.015$ | $=$ | 11,946 |
| Cement floor factor | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.035(1,680)^{0.008}$ | = | 1.098 |
| Plumbing factor | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}=1.013(1,680)^{0.002}$ | $=$ | 1.028 |

Main building capital cost $=[(\$ 7,213+\$ 10,004+\$ 11,946) \times 1.098 \times 1.028]$ ..... $\$ 32,918$
Supplemental-sheds (p. 43)
2 at $216 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ each

| Base cost | $Y_{C}=34.09(216)^{0.907}$ | = | \$4,467 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | . $\$ 4,467 \times 0.25 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$1,122 |
| Construction labor | . $\$ 4,467 \times 0.34 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | 1,557 |
| Construction materials | . $\$ 4,467 \times 0.41 \times 1.015$ | = | 1,859 |

Shed capital costs $=[(\$ 1,122+\$ 1,557+\$ 1,859) \times 2]$ ..... $\$ 9,076$
Supplemental-employee housing (p. 44)
100 LCY/h pay gravel
250 LCY/h overburden
Used trailers
Base cost . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $Y_{C}=7,002.51(350)^{0.418}=\$ 81,035$
Construction labor ..... $\$ 81,035 \times 0.07 \times 1.025=$ ..... 5,814
Construction materials $\$ 81,035 \times 0.03 \times 1.015=$ ..... 2,468
Used trailer factor $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}$ ..... 0.631
Employee housing capital cost $=[(\$ 73,296+\$ 5,814+\$ 2,468) \times 0.631]$ ..... $\$ 51,476$

## Supplemental-generators (p. 45)

100-LCY/h mill feed

| Base cost | $. Y_{C}=1,382.65(100)^{0.604}$ |  | \$22,321 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | . $\$ 22,321 \times 0.75 \times 1.005$ |  | \$16,824 |
| Construction labor | . $\$ 22,321 \times 0.19 \times 1.025$ | = | 4,347 |
| Construction materials. | . $\$ 22,321 \times 0.06 \times 1.015$ | $=$ | 1,359 |

Generator capital cost $=(\$ 16,824+\$ 4,347+\$ 1,359)$

## Supplemental-pumps (p. 46)

| 100-LCY/h mill feed $80-\mathrm{ft}$ head |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water consumption $(\mathrm{p} .47)=94.089(100)^{0.546}=1,163 \mathrm{gpm}$ |  |  |  |
| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=63.909(1,163)^{0.618}$ | = | \$5,013 |
| Equipment | \$5,013 $\times 0.70 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$3,527 |
| Installation labor | $. \$ 5,013 \times 0.08 \times 1.025$ | = | 411 |
| Construction materials. | $. \$ 5,013 \times 0.22 \times 1.015$ | = | 1,120 |
| Head factor | $\ldots . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.125(80)^{0.637}$ | $=$ | 2.038 |

Pump capital cost $=[(\$ 3,527+\$ 411+\$ 1,120) \times 2.038]$

## Supplemental-settling ponds (p. 47)

$1,163 \mathrm{gpm}$

| Base cost | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=3.982(1,163)^{0.952}$ | $=$ | \$3,300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction labor | . $\$ 3,300 \times 0.75 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$2,537 |
| Fuel and lubrication | . $\$ 3,300 \times 0.13 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 425 |
| Equipment parts | . $\$ 3,300 \times 0.12 \times 1.005$ | = | 397 |

Settling pond capital cost $=(\$ 2,537+\$ 425+\$ 397) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$

## CAPITAL COST SUMMARY FORM

tem ..... Cost
Exploration:
Method 1 cost . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$
Method 2 cost ..... 94,485
Development:
Access roads ..... 88,180
Clearing ..... 9,725
Preproduction overburden removal:
Bulldozers
Draglines
Front-end loaders
Rear-dump trucks Scrapers ....
Backhoes ..... 266,792
Bulldozers ..... 69,022
Draglines ..... 272,207
Rear-dump trucks ..... 200,817
Processing equipment: Conveyors ..... 16,115
Feed hoppers ..... 4,022
Jig concentrators ..... 56,601
Sluices ..... 1,700
Spiral concentrators
Table concentrators
Trommels ..... $\mathbf{9 5 , 2 2 1}$
Vibrating screens
Supplemental:
Buildings ..... 41,994
Camp ..... 51,476
Generators ..... 22,530
Pumps ..... 10,308
Settling ponds ..... 3,360
Subtotal ..... $1,316,632$
131,663
Contingency (10\%) ..... 1,448,295

Figure A-2.-Capital cost summary form completed for example estimation.

## OPERATING COSTS

## Overburden removal-scrapers (p. 52)

| $250 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,000-ft average haul distance |  |  |  |
| $+8 \%$ average haul gradient plus rolling resistance |  |  |  |
| Used equipment |  |  |  |
| Equipment | . . $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.325(250)^{-0.210}$ | $=$ | \$0.102/LCY |
| Parts | \$0.102 $\times 0.18 \times 1.005$ | = | \$0.018 |
| Fuel and lubrication. | . $\$ 0.102 \times 0.48 \times 0.991$ | = | 0.049 |
| Tires | $\ldots 0.102 \times 0.34 \times 0.939$ | $=$ | 0.033 |
|  |  |  | \$0.100 |
| Labor | $\ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=12.01(250)^{-0.930}$ | $=$ | \$0.071/LCY |
| Labor | . . $\$ 0.071 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$0.073 |
| Distance factor | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.01947(3,000)^{0.577}$ | $=$ | 1.975 |
| Gradient factor . . . . . | $\ldots . . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.776 \mathrm{e}^{[0.047(8)]}$ | = | 1.130 |
| Used equipment factor | . . $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}=1.096(250)^{-0.006}$ | $=$ | 1.060 |
|  | $\mathrm{U}_{1}=0.845(250)^{0.034}$ | = | 1.019 |

Overburden removal cost $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .[(0.100 \times 1.060)+(0.073 \times 1.019)] \times 1.975 \times 1.130=\$ 0.403 / \mathrm{LCY}$
Annual scraper operating cost $=\$ 0.403 / \mathrm{LCY} \times 375,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$

Mining-backhoes (p. 53)


## Mining-front-end loaders (p. 56)



## Processing-conveyors (p. 59)

$70 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Equipment | $Y_{E}=0.218(70)^{-0.561}$ | = | \$0.020/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parts | $\$ 0.020 \times 0.72 \times 1.005$ | = | \$0.014 |
| Electricity | $\$ 0.020 \times 0.24 \times 1.029$ | = | 0.005 |
| Lubrication | $\$ 0.020 \times 0.04 \times 0.991$ | = | 0.001 |
|  |  |  | \$0.020 |
| Labor | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.250(70)^{-0.702}$ |  | \$0.013/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Labor | $\$ 0.013 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$0.013 |

Conveyor operating cost $=(0.020+0.013)=\$ 0.033 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Annual conveyor operating cost $=\$ 0.033 / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times 105,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$

## Processing-feed hoppers (p. 60)

100 LCY/h total

| Equipment | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.033(100)^{-0.344}$ | \$0.007/LCY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parts | \$0.007 $\times 0.88 \times 1.005$ | \$0.006 |
| Electricity | \$0.007 $\times 0.06 \times 1.029$ | 0.0004 |
| Lubrication | \$0.007 $\times 0.06 \times 0.991$ | 0.0004 |
|  |  | \$0.007 |
| Labor | $Y_{L}=0.017(100)^{-0.295}$ | \$0.004/LCY |
| Labor | \$0.004 $\times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | \$0.004 |

Feed hopper operating cost $=(0.007+0.004)=\$ 0.011 / \mathrm{LCY}$
Annual feed hopper operating cost $=\$ 0.011 / \mathrm{LCY} \times 150,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a}$

## Processing-rougher jig (p. 61)

$20 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Equipment | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.113(20)^{-0.328}$ |  | \$0.042/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parts | . $0.042 \times 0.40 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$0.017 |
| Electricity | . $\$ 0.042 \times 0.34 \times 1.029$ | = | 0.015 |
| Lubrication | . $\$ 0.042 \times 0.26 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | \$0.043 |
| Supplies | $. Y_{S}=0.002(20)^{-0.184}$ | $=$ | \$0.001/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Industrial materials | . $\$ 0.001 \times 1.00 \times 1.003$ | $=$ | \$0.001 |
| Labor | $. \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=3.508(20)^{-1.268}$ |  | \$0.079/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Labor | . $\$ 0.079 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$0.081 |
| Rougher service factor | $\ldots . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | = | 0.344 |

Rougher jig operating cost $=(0.043+0.001+0.081) \times 0.344=\$ 0.043 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Annual rougher jig operating cost $=\$ 0.043 / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times 30,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$

## Processing-cleaner jigs (p. 61)


Processing-final jig (p. 61)

| $0.2 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | $. Y_{E}=0.113(0.2)^{-0.328}$ | $=$ | \$0.192/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Parts | . $\$ 0.192 \times 0.40 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$0.077 |
| Electricity | . $\$ 0.192 \times 0.34 \times 1.029$ | = | 0.067 |
| Lubrication | . $\$ 0.192 \times 0.26 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 0.049 |
|  |  |  | \$0.193 |
| Supplies | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.002(0.2)^{-0.184}$ | $=$ | \$0.003/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Industrial materials | . $\$ 0.003 \times 1.00 \times 1.003$ | $=$ | \$0.003 |
| Labor | $. Y_{L}=3.508(0.2)^{-1.268}$ | $=$ | \$26.999/yd ${ }^{3}$ |
| Labor | $\$ 26.999 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$27.674 |

Final jig operating cost $=(0.193+0.003+27.674)=\$ 27.870 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Annual final jig operating cost $=\$ 27.870 / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times 300 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ ..... \$8,361
Processing-sluices (p. 62)
$50 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
Labor . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $Y_{L}=0.377(50)^{-0.636 ~}=\$ 0.031 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Labor $\$ 0.031 \times 1.00 \times 1.025=\$ 0.032$
Sluice operating cost $=\$ 0.032 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$
Annual sluice operating cost $=\$ 0.032 / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times 75,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ ..... $\$ 2,400$

## Processing-Sluices (p. 62)



Tailings removal cost $=[(0.137 \times 1.136)+(0.185 \times 1.036)] \times 1.307 \times 0.923=\$ 0.419 / \mathrm{LCY}$
Annual bulldozer operating cost $=\$ 0.419 / \mathrm{LCY} \times 150,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a}$

## Processing-trommels (p. 70)

$100 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$

| Equipment | $Y_{E}=0.217(100)^{-0.403}$ | $=$ | \$0.034/yd ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parts | . $\$ 0.034 \times 0.63 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | \$0.022 |  |
| Electricity | . $\$ 0.034 \times 0.26 \times 1.029$ | $=$ | 0.009 |  |
| Lubrication | . $\$ 0.034 \times 0.11 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | 0.004 |  |
|  |  |  | \$0.035 |  |
| Labor | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.129(100)^{-0.429}$ | $=$ | \$0.018/yd ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Labor | . $\$ 0.018 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | = | \$0.018 |  |
| Trommel operating cost $=(\$ 0.035+\$ 0.018)=\$ 0.053 / \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Annual trommel operating cost $=\$ 0.053 / \mathrm{yd}^{3} \times 150,000 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | \$7,950 |

Supplemental-housing (p. 72)


Housing operating cost $=\$ 0.047 / \mathrm{LCY}$
Annual housing operating cost $=\$ 0.047 / \mathrm{LCY} \times 525,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a}$
$\$ 24,675$

## Supplemental-lost time and general services (p. 74)

$100 \cdot \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ mill feed

| Equipment | $Y_{E}=0.142(100)^{0.004}$ | = | \$0.145/LCY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel | . $\$ 0.145 \times 0.53 \times 0.991$ | = | \$0.076 |
| Parts | . $\$ 0.145 \times 0.47 \times 1.005$ | $=$ | 0.068 |
|  |  |  | \$0.144 |
| Labor | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=2.673(100)^{-0.524}$ | $=$ | \$0.239/LCY |
| Labor | . $\$ 0.239 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$0.245 |

Lost time and general service cost $=(\$ 0.144+\$ 0.245)=\$ 0.389 / \mathrm{LCY}$
Annual lost time and general service cost $=\$ 0.389 / \mathrm{LCY} \times 675,000 \mathrm{LCY} / \mathrm{a}$
$\$ 262,575$

## Supplemental-pumps (p. 75)

| $100 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ mill feed $1,163 \mathrm{gpm}$ 80 -ft head |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | $. Y_{E}=0.007(1,163)^{0.713}$ | $=$ | \$1.074/h |
| Fuel and lubrication | . $\$ 1.074 \times 0.59 \times 0.991$ | $=$ | \$0.628 |
| Parts | . $\$ 1.074 \times 0.41 \times 1.005$ | = | 0.443 |
|  |  |  | \$1.071 |
| Labor | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.004(1163)^{0.867}$ | = | \$1.819/h |
| Labor | . $\$ 1.819 \times 1.00 \times 1.025$ | $=$ | \$1.864 |
| Head factor | $\ldots \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.091(80)^{0.735}$ | = | $2.279$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}=0.054(80)^{0.893}$ | = | $2.739$ |

Pump operating cost $=[(\$ 1.071 \times 2.279)+(\$ 1.864 \times 2.739)]=\$ 7.546 / \mathrm{h}$
Annual pump operating cost $=\$ 7.546 / \mathrm{h} \times 1,500 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}$

## OPERATING COST SUMMARY FORM

Item Annual cost
Overburden removal:
Bulldozers ..... \$
Draglines
Front-end loaders
Rear-dump trucks ..... 151,125
Scrapers
Mining:
Backhoes ..... 73,650
Bulldozers
Draglines
Front-end loaders ..... 124,650
Rear-dump trucks
Scrapers
Processing:
Conveyors ..... 3,465
Feed hoppers ..... 1,650
Jig concentrators ..... 17,676
Sluices ..... 4,110
Table concentrators
Tailings removal:
Bulldozers ..... 62,850
Draglines
Front-end loaders
Rear-dump trucks
Scrapers ..... 7,950
Vibrating screens
Supplemental:
Employee housing ..... 24,675
Lost time and general services ..... 262,575
Pumps ..... 11,319
Subtotal ..... 74,570
Contingency (10\%) ..... 820,265
Cost per cubic yard pay gravel $=$ total annual cost divided by pay gravel mined per year.
$\$ 820,265 / 150,000$ LCY/a $=\$ 5.47 /$ LCY
Final cost per cubic yard pay gravel ..... \$5.47

Figure A-3.-Operating cost summary form completed for example estimation.
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