

BAYESIALAB Target Dynamic Profile Webinar on November 13, 2019



Stefan Convady

import, n. significance, force; importat

IMPORTANCE.--I. Nouns. importance consideration, mark; weight, ponde concern, emphasis, interest, standing

What is Importance?

Webinar on August 28, 2019

bayesia.com/2019-08-28-what-is-importance

Today's Agenda

Quick Recap: Webinar on August 28, 2019

- What is importance?
- How can we quantify importance?

Today's New Objectives

- What do we need to do?
- What is the best course of action?

Example

- Key drivers analysis of auto buyer satisfaction
- Prioritizing product improvements

Slides, network, and recording will be available

1 *	2 *	3 *	4 *	5	6		8 *	9	10 *	11 *	12 *	13 ¥	9 99 7 14	15 *	16 *	17 *	
>90% 18	₩ 19 *	-1% 20 **	21 *	Incentives 22 ★	23 *	24 *	25, *	26 *	27	28 ★	29 *	30 *	31 ★	32 *	33 *	34 *	
35 *	36	37	38 *	Section and the section of the sect	Now what? 40 *	41 *	42 *	43	 44 *	4 5	46 *	47 *	48 *	1 49 *	50 *	51 *	
1 52 ★	53 *	〕 54 ★	<u>[</u> 55 ★	56 *	57 *	58 *	59 *	60 *	61 *	62 *	63 *	64 *	65.	66 *	¹¹ 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	68	
69	(R.,,P.P) 70	71 *	72 *	73 *	74	75 ★	76 *	77 *	78 *	79	80 *	81 *	82 *	83 *	84 *	85 *	
86	87	88	89 *	90 *	91 *	92	93 *	<u>ೂ</u> ವರ್ಷ 94 ≉	9 5	96	97	98	99	<u>الم</u> 100	101	102 *	
1 03 ★	104 *	105	106 *	107 *	<u>tidit</u> 108 ★	109	₩ 110 *	New York Street	112 *	113 ★	114 *	115	116 *	<u>=</u>	118 *	119 *	
120 ★	121 ★	122 *	123 *	124 *	125 *	<u>⊥</u> ∎ 126 ★	127 *	<u>■</u> 128 ★	129 ★	130 *	131 *	132 *	133 *	134 *	135 *	136 *	
1 37 ★	138 *	™ 139 ★	1 40 ★	1 41 ★	142 *	143	144	 145 ★	<u>⊜</u> ® 146 ★	1 47 ★	148	149 *	150	151 *	152 *	153 ★	



Customer Satisfaction Study

Vehicle Segment Under Study: 2009 Midsize Sedans



- Honda Accord
- Nissan Altima
- Toyota Camry
- Mazda6
- Hyundai Sonata









Customer Satisfaction Study

Strategic Vision NVES[™]

- 200,000+ records
- 1,000+ variables

9.5 - Completely Satisfied	
7.5 - Very Satisfied	
5.5 - Fairly Well Satisfied	
3.5 - Somewhat Dissatisfied	
1.5 - Very Dissatisfied	



	2009 New VEHICLE
(Mailing data (Reginight ID / Vahiola description)	5A
A STRATECIC VICION	
P O Box 1270 Maumee, OH 43537	
Please have the person who drives this vehicle	the most fill out this questionnaire.
Check ONE box in the list below to designa	te vour selected national charity:
Alzheimer's Association American Cancer Society American Heart Association American Heart Association	Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) Other (specify <u>national</u> charity)
NOTE: Please use a <u>pencil</u> or any dark color <u>ball point pen</u> to register your answers, keeping your marks INSIDE the boxe	correct marks: Correct numbers: 28. X V 25
About your new vehicle	
. How would you rate your OVERALL SATISFACTION with your new	v vehicle ? ("X" one box)
Completely satisfied Very satisfied Fairly well satisfied	Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
Overall, how would you judge the initial quality of your new vehicle? Excellent Very good Good Fair	Poor
. Would you recommend your new vehicle to a friend or relative ?	Definitely Probably No, I would not
. How do you feel about I feel a definite emotional connection to my new vehicle connection to my new vehicle	ne emotional I feel no emotional on to my new vehicle connection to my new vehicle
Overall, how would you judge your experience with your new vehicle Delightful Excellent Satisfactory	? ("X" one box) Unsatisfactory A failure
Everything considered, how likely are you in the future to purchase or manufacturer? Definitely will Probably will Don't kr	lease another new vehicle made by the same now Probably will not Definitely will not
. How would you describe the percentage of your involvement in the decision to purchase or lease your new vehicle ?	More than half 🗌 About half 🗌 Less than half
. What type of transmission does this vehicle have ?	Manual
What series (trim level) is this vehicle (examples: Base, GT, GS, Lim	ited, LS, LT, SE, XL, etc.) ?
Wince of the following features do you have on this new Vehicle? 7 Andhock fornkes Automatic temperature control Cotties needdance or use Cotties needdance or use Cotties needdance or use DVD entertainment system Methods and	A us many dx dpp(y) Concerning the second
Jeryour new vehicle is an SUV, a MINIVAN or a FULL SIZE Y 10 a. Which of the following features do you have on your new S Ar suspension Power filingate Power stiding doos 10 b. Is your van a 'conversion' van (e.g., Bivouac, Starcraft, etc	VAN: ("X" as many as apply) SUV/van ? ("X" as many as apply) Running boards Running boards Third row seating Trailer hitch receiver b) ? Yes No
 IF your new vehicle is a PICKUP TRUCK: 	pickup truck ? (" X " as many as apply)
10 c. Which of the following features do you have on your new p Long bed (over 7 feet) Bed extender Long wheeles	ise Limited slip rear axle
10 c. Which of the following features do you have on your new: Long bod (over 7 feet) Bed stander Bediner Bediner What feature(s) NOT on this vehicle would you like to have on your	se Limited slip rear axte ock brakes Trailer hitch receiver next new vehicle ? (e.g. heated seats, run-flat tires. etc.)
10 c. Which of the following features do you have on your new,	see Limited slip rear axie ock brakes Trater hitch receiver <u>mext</u> new vehicle ? (e.g. heated seats, run-flat tires, etc.)





Customer Satisfaction Study

Preparation: Factor Analysis



BayesiaLab.com

11





Customer Satisfaction Study

Observational Inference



- We have a machine-learned model, which means that we can only perform observational inference.
- Isn't that a problem as we try to determine our optimal actions for improving customer satisfaction?
- Not necessarily. As it turns out, we cannot directly manipulate consumer opinion in a causal sense.
- Rather, we can only predict what would happen under hypothetical conditions, such as:
 - "What would be the Overall Satisfaction if we were to observe a higher satisfaction with Performance?"





Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

♠ 🗅 🖿 🛢 🖻 💾 🖶 🌣 | 🦴 🕊 🦘 🧰 🛍 🔍 🔍 🍳 🔍 🏹 🗶 🔘 🖉 💽 🎦 💭 🖉 🗑 📉 🗑 🏹 💭 🖉 👘 🗮 🖧 🕰 🍬 🛤 🗮 🚁 🗸 🗸 🦷 👹





Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

🗏 🔣 💾 🖶 🔆 .

Associated ...



٥ × _

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

R 💾 🖶 🗘



٥ × _

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

Associated ...



o ×

A

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



٥ ×

BayesiaLab - C:\Users\StefanConrady.AzureAD\Or letwork Data Edit View Learning Inference	🛂 Total Effects on Target (As	sociated grapl	h 2_Final_MULTI	QUADRANT_T	_2)				_		×		- 0 ×
Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T_2.	Analysis Context No Observation			+: = +0.0	1 unit i 323 ur	in I hits	Interio s in Ov	r Roon erall N	nines Iew V	s Sati: ehicle	sfac [:] Exp	tion erience	
Reliability	Total Effects on Target Ove	erall New Veh Prior	icle Experienc	e				G-test	df	p-value		Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1 Value: 7.815 .69%	.259 C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411)
	Node	Value/Mean	Total Effects	Total Effects	,st	df	p-value	(Data)	(Data)	(Data)		66%	C3 (9.182)
Sound System	Interior Roominess	7.697	0.525	0.623	314.238	8	0.000%	315.573	8	0.000%	1	Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1 Value: 7.793	.373
	Display & Intstruments	7.885	0.523	0.659	277.259	8	0.000%	278.255	8	0.000%	1	85% .21%	C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) C3 (9.345)
	Safety	8.048	0.517	0.654	281.721	8	0.000%	282.070	8	0.000%	1	Interior Quality & M	aterials
	Price & Value	7.462	0.504	0.616	280.643	8	0.000%	278.362	8	0.000%	1	Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1 Value: 7.731	.387
Safety	Seat Comfort & Adjustability	7.530	0.503	0.587	265.175	8	0.000%	262.876	8	0.000%	1	.97%	C2 (7.432) C3 (9.253)
	Sound System	7.662	0.497	0.532	261.057	8	0.000%	261.551	8	0.000%]	Refinement	
	Performance/Handling	7.892	0.496	0.647	253.500	8	0.000%	253.659	8	0.000%		Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1 Value: 7.582 .72%	.337 C1 (5.467)
	Fuel Economy	7.545	0.474	0.563	233.473	8	0.000%	230.165	8	0.000%		81% .48%	C2 (7.317) C3 (9.197)
Fuel Economy	Cargo/Utility	7.711	0.470	0.585	224.046	8	0.000%	224.618	8	0.000%			
	Design & Proportions	8.120	0.470	0.600	254.379	8	0.000%	255.021	8	0.000%]		
	Visibility	7.559	0.470	0.548	222.707	8	0.000%	220.667	8	0.000%]		
Interior Quality &	Reliability	8.022	0.456	0.612	230.496	8	0.000%	230.785	8	0.000%]		
Materials	Controls	7.815	0.439	0.547	199.666	8	0.000%	200.927	8	0.000%]		
	Interior Quality & Materials	7.731	0.422	0.478	178.012	8	0.000%	178.883	8	0.000%]		
Design & Detail	Refinement	7.582	0.399	0.469	170.679	8	0.000%	171	8	0.000%]		
	Design & Details	7.793	0.390	0.446	180.172	8	0.000%	J.259	8	0.000%]		
		Close	Save As	. Print	Quad	rant	s					0:0) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

– 0 ×

🔀 BayesiaLab - C:\Users\StefanConrady.AzureAD\Or

Associated ...

Associated ...



Associated ...



o x

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

Associated graph 2_F	🛂 Target Analysis Report Ov	erall New Vehi	cle Experience	(Associated o	raph 2 Final N	ULTI QUADR	ANT T 2)	[2]			_		×		- 7 ×
	Node significance with res	pect to the in	formation ga	in brought by	/ the node to	the knowledg	je of Ove	rall	New Veh	icle Exp	erience		ľ	~	
	Node	Mutual information	Normalized Mutual Information	Relative Mutual Information	Relative significance	Prior Mean Value	G-test	df	p-value	G-test (Data)	df (Data)	p-value (Data)	1	1.2	259 C1 (5.721)
	Interior Roominess	0.282	12.157%	17.353%	1.000	7.697	314.238	8	0.000%	315.573	8	0.000%		E	C2 (7.411) C3 (9.182)
Carrier Contract	Safety	0.253	10.899%	15.558%	0.897	8.048	281.721	8	0.000%	282.070	8	0.000%	1	1.8	373
Sound S	Price & Value	0.252	10.858%	15.498%	0.893	7.462	280.643	8	0.000%	278.362	8	0.000%			C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468)
	Display & Intstruments	0.249	10.727%	15.311%	0.882	7.885	277.259	8	0.000%	278.255	8	0.000%		Ë	C3 (9.345)
	Seat Comfort & Adjustability	0.238	10.259%	14.644%	0.844	7.530	265.175	8	0.000%	262.876	8	0.000%		/lat) 1.3	erials }87
Safety	Sound System	0.235	10.100%	14.417%	0.831	7.662	261.057	8	0.000%	261.551	8	0.000%			C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) C3 (9.253)
	Design & Proportions	0.229	9.842%	14.048%	0.810	8.120	254.379	8	0.000%	255.021	8	0.000%		F	
	Performance/Handling	0.228	9.807%	13.999%	0.807	7.892	253.500	8	0.000%	253.659	8	0.000%		1.3	37 C1 (5 467)
	Fuel Economy	0.210	9.033%	12.893%	0.743	7.545	233.473	8	0.000%	230.165	8	0.000%			C2 (7.317) C3 (9.197)
Fuel Economy	Reliability	0.207	8.918%	12.729%	0.734	8.022	230.496	8	0.000%	230.785	8	0.000%			
	Cargo/Utility	0.201	8.668%	12.373%	0.713	7.711	224.046	8	0.000%	224.618	8	0.000%			
	Visibility	0.200	8.616%	12.299%	0.709	7.559	222.707	8	0.000%	220.667	8	0.000%			
	Controls	0.179	7.725%	11.026%	0.635	7.815	199.666	8	0.000%	200.927	8	0.000%			
Mater	Design & Details	0.162	6.971%	9.950%	0.573	7.793	180.172	8	0.000%	178.259	8	0.000%			
	Interior Quality & Materials	0.160	6.887%	9.831%	0.566	7.731	178.012	8	0.000%	178.883	8	0.000%			
	Refinement	0.153	6.603%	9.426%	0.543	7.582	170.679	8	0.000%	171.664	8	0.000%			
													1	1	
			Close S	ave As	Print M	lapping	Quadrants								
										_			0.0	00	> 7 7 = 🍋
Associated	Associated												0.0	• •	<u>~ ~ m 😪</u>

o ×

-

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



ø ×



Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



ø ×





o ×

_

Associated ...



Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help





Optimization



Why not set all drivers to their maximum levels?



e.g. Marketing Mix Optimization



Genetic Optimization

[Factor_15 7.98 7.8751	[Factor_1] 7.6428 7.6428	[Factor_2] 7.9837 8.4286	[Factor_5] 7.9843 7.9096	[Factor_7] 7.6736 8.1286 (0.4540)	[Factor_25 7.7203 7.5816	[Factor_27 7.5317 8.1922	[Factor_3] 7.6944 8.2986	[Factor 10 7.3772 7.2026	[Factor_23 7.902 8.4386	[Factor 21 7.6704 8.1330	[Factor_6] 7.9897 8.3600	[Factor_12 7.7471 8.3615	[Factor_20 7.9541 8.2713	[Factor 24 7.7341 7.7502	[Factor 14 7.8653 8.2785 (0.4122)	[Factor_22 7.6782 8.1439 (0.4657)	[Factor_26 7.2518 7.9747 (0.7220)	[Factor_17 7.5818 7.9716	[Factor_18 7.8011 8.2469	[Factor_13 7.6539 8.0311 (0.2771)	[Factor 0] 7.3971 7.3551	[Factor_4] 7.6046 7.7844	[Factor_8] 7.7374 7.4880	[Factor 11 7.7305 8.1497 (0.4101)	[Factor_9] 7.6038 7.5302	[Factor_16 7.8068 7.8068	[Factor 19 7.5773 7.6538
	(0.0000)	(0.4450)	(-0.0747)	(0.4549)	(-0.1387)	(0.0005)	(0.0042)	(-0.1/40)	(0.5500)	(0.4627)	(0.3703)	(0.8144)	(0.3172)	(0.0161)	(0.4152)	(0.4657)	(0.7229)	(0.3838)	(0.4456)	(0.3771)	(-0.0420)	(0.1798)	(-0.2494)	(0.4191)	(-0.0755)	(0.0000)	(0.0763)
												đ	and the second s		DA.												
													1 C		A STORE			5	T								
												A LAND	0					2	27	H i			al	2	2		7
												8	6	5												•	
													5	-						C							
																				و ک	A						
												A															
Ba	yes	iaL	ab.c	com																							36

The Consumer Mind



Optimization

Target Dynamic Profile



Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



(1) Associated ...

.

– 0 ×

Ð × _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inferend × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🖪 💾 🖶 🏠 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 \lor Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt O Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...

Ð × _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inferend × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🗟 💾 🖶 🌣 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method ? Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 \lor Sound System Fix Means (
) MinXEnt O Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...

Ð × _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inferend × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🗟 💾 🖶 🌣 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method ? Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 \lor Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...



Optimization & Joint Probability



Optimization & Joint Probability



Ð × _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inferend × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🗟 💾 🖶 🌣 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method ? Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 \lor Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt O Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Economy Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...

D X _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inference × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🗟 💾 🖶 🔅 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T Profile Search Criterion Search Method Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean Domain
 O Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 \lor Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) Maximum Size of Evidence 3 🌲 C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Qual Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < **∽₀ ⊟ ●** 0:0 Associated ... Associated ...

Ð X _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inferend × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🗟 💾 🖶 🌣 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method ? Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Be Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 First State 1.5 Second State 3.5 Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt O Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 2 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...

Ð X _ Network Data Edit View Learning Inference × Dynamic Profile 🛢 🖪 💾 🖶 🖄 Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T - - - × Profile Search Criterion Search Method ? Probability State All Hard Evidence Controls Mean: 7.815 Dev: 1.259 Value: 7.815 Numerical Evidence Proportional to: Mean Reliabilit C1 (5.721) C2 (7.411) Mean O Domain O Progression Margins Edit Constraints C3 (9.182) Probability Difference Between Two States Distribution Estimation Method: Design & Details Mean: 7.793 Dev: 1.373 Value: 7.793 Second State 3.5 First State 1.5 Sound System Fix Means

MinXEnt O Binary C1 (5.775) C2 (7.468) Criterion Optimization C3 (9.345) Fix Probabilities () MinXEnt () Binary () Value Shift Maximization Interior Quality & Materials Mean: 7.731 Dev: 1.387 Value: 7.731 100 ≑ Intermediate Points C1 (5.584) C2 (7.432) Safetv Minimization C3 (9.253) Direct Effects Take Into Account the Joint Probability Refinement Mean: 7.582 Dev: 1.337 Value: 7.582 Search Stop Criteria C1 (5.467) Utilize Evidence Cost C2 (7.317) 3 🌲 Maximum Size of Evidence C3 (9.197) Fuel Econom Options 4 Minimum Joint Probability Restrict Search to the Selected Nodes Use the Automatic Stop Criterion Compute Only Marginal Effects Interior Quality & Associate Evidence Scenario File Materials Use Node Long Name Design & Deta Use State Long Name OK Cancel < 0:0 😨 🥖 🗖 🔜 😋 **∽₀ ⊟ ●** Associated ... Associated ...



😰 BayesiaLab - C:\Users\StefanConrady.AzureAD\OneDrive - Bayesia USA\Presentations\2019-11-13 Webinar Target Dynamic Profile\MC4\Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T_2.xbl



o x





Edit Constraints

Constraint Editor						×
_						
Nodes	Current Mean	Negative Variations (%)	Mi imum Mean	د ۹ Positive Variations	Maximum Mean	Import
Cargo/Utility	7.711171	4.507076	7.363622	5.01105	1 8.097581 🔺	Export
Controls	7.815094	1.27923	7.715121	ó.15845	1 8.296383	Export
Design & Details	7.793209	1.752 35	7.656597	5.58857	2 8.228738	1
Design & Proportions	8.120475	2, 197 107	7.942059	4.06814	2 8.450827	1
Display & Intstruments	7.884715	3.073821	7.645112	5.26926	8.300182	
Fuel Economy	7.545114	2.536457	7.353736	4.01035	4 7.8477	
nterior Quality & Materials	7.731142	1 546875	7.60382	4.82825	7 8.104421	
nterior Roominess	7.696836	7.420964	7.510498	7.38263	5 8.265065	
erformance/Handling	7.89195	3.102914	7.647069	4.16659	5 8.220775	
Price & Value	7.461763	2.44886	7.279035	3.11333	1 7.694073	
Refinement	7.581751	2.728347	7.374894	6.63020	5 8.084437	
Reliability	8.021935	2.416874	7.828055	3.59204	7 8.310087	
Safety	8.048477	1.943267	7.892073	2.91150	1 8.282808	
Seat Comfort & Adjustability	7.529636	2.538983	7.33846	3.47055	5 7.790956 🗸	1

😰 BayesiaLab - C:\Users\StefanConrady.AzureAD\OneDrive - Bayesia USA\Presentations\2019-11-13 Webinar Target Dynamic Profile\MC4\Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T_2.xbl



o x

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



0 X

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help



o ×

🖺 ≼ 🛧 🎢 🗎 🍳 Q Q 🔀 🖉 🗶 🔘 🖉 🔵 🖊 🔤 🖗

Dynamic Profile (Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADF

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

🗟 💾 🖶 🔅

Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T_2.xbl

A 🖬

Total Effects on Target (Associated graph 2_Final_MULTI_QUADRANT_T_2)

Analysis Context

No Observation

Reliabili	Analysis Context				Total Effects on Target Ov	erall New Vel	icle Experienc	e				
	No Observation				Node	Prior Value/Mean	Standardized Total Effects	Total Effects	G-test	df	p-value	G-tes (Data
Sound System	Dynamic Profile Overall N	lew Vehicle E	xperience: Va	lue/	Interior Roominess	7.697	0.525	0.623	314.238	8	0.000%	315.5
	Search Method: Numerica	al Evidence P	Proportional		Display & Intstruments	7.885	0.523	0.659	277.259	8	0.000%	278.2
			Over an New	Veh	Safety	8.048	0.517	0.654	281.721	8	0.000%	282.0
	Nede	Prior	Va ue/Mean	Po	Price & Value	7.462	0.504	0.616	280.643	8	0.000%	278.3
Safety	Node	Value/Mean	at T 🛛	/alu	Seat Comfort & Adjustability	7.530	0.503	0.587	265.175	8	0.000%	262.8
		A priori			Sound System	7.662	0.497	0.532	261.057	8	0.000%	261.5
	Display & Intstruments	1.885	1.885		Performance/Handling	7.892	0.496	0.647	253.500	8	0.000%	253.6
	Design & Details	7.793	7,939		Fuel Economy	7.545	0.474	0.563	233.473	8	0.000%	230.1
Fuel Economy	Controls	785	8001		Cargo/Utility	7.711	0.470	0.585	224.046	8	0.000%	224.6
	Sound System		2 055		Design & Proportions	8.120	0.470	0.600	254.379	8	0.000%	255.0
	Deferment	7.502	8.055		Visibility	7.559	0.470	0.548	222.707	8	0.000%	220.6
	Refinement	7 582	7.975		Reliability	8.022	0.456	0.612	230.496	8	0.000%	230.7
Interior Quality &	Interior Quality & Materials	7 721	8.050		Controls	7.815	0.439	0.547	199.666	8	0.000%	200.9
Materials	Cargo/Utility	1.1			Interior Quality & Materials	7.731	0.422	0.478	178.012	8	0.000%	178.8
	Performance/Handling	7.892	8.107		Refinement	7.582	0.399	0.469	170.679	8	0.000%	171.6
Design & De					Design & Details	7.793	0.390	0.446	180.172	8	0.000%	178.2
		Clos	e Save As									
				-		Close	Save As.	Print	Quad	Irant	s	
				>						0.0	😟 🗗 🥷	
Associated												

Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

R 💾 🖶 🔆

Associated graph 2 Final MULTI OUADRANT T 2.xbl *



Network Data Edit View Learning Inference Analysis Monitor Tools Window Help

R 💾 🖶 🗘

Associated ...



٥ × _

Thank You!



stefan.conrady@bayesia.us



BayesianNetwork



linkedin.com/in/stefanconrady



facebook.com/bayesia