

NORTH CAROLINA BIOTECHNOLOGY CENTER

Risk Analysis of PFAS Contamination in Private Water Wells: a Bayesian Network Model

Javad Roostaei, PhD Jacqueline MacDonald Gibson, PhD

10/10/2019

UNC GILLINGS SCHOOL OF GLOBAL PUBLIC HEALTH

Outline

Introduction

- > PFAS, forever chemicals
- ➢ PFAS in NC private wells
- > Objectives

Methods

- Bayesian network model development
- Model evaluation and cross-validation

Results

- Insights on risk factors
- Bayesian network model accuracy

Conclusion

> Intervention to decrease risk in private wells



ÎUNC 2





Per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) PFAS are found in many consumer products



http://www.sixclasses.org/

Introduction

 \rightarrow

Results

Conclusi

Forever chemicals!!!

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substar



Jarbon (C) atoms Junded by fluorine (F) atoms

PFAS)

Water-repellent
 (hydrophobic body)

- Stable C-F bond
- Some PFAS include oxygen, hydrogen, sulfur and/or nitrogen atoms, creating a polar end.

Introduction

€PA

Methods

Results

Conclusi

PFAS can reach to our drinking water!!



PFAS exposure and the health effects

Human studies suggest PFAS exposure may...

increase risk of thyroid disease

increase blood cholesterol levels

decrease the body's response to vaccines

decrease fertility in women

increase risk of high blood pressure & preeclampsia

> lower infant birth weight

> > in pregnant

women

<u>m</u>l J

in children

in adults

Information sourced from Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Introduction

It has been detected in many site in the US



And PFAS can be found in other countries too !!!



Introduction

UNC

9

Private wells around the Chemours Chemical Plant are contaminated with PFAS

During 2017-2018, PFAS (including GenX), were detected in more than 75% of 803 private water supply wells near the Chemours facility



M

10

[NC DEQ, 2017-18, Scruggs, 2019]

Introduction

GenX concentrations vary widely from well to well

- 803 wells tested
 - 23% > health goal
 - 23% non-detect
- Map key
 - Red = > 140 ng/L
 - Yellow = 0- 140 ng/L
 - Green = non-detect
- Figure courtesy of DEQ



Introduction

Methods

Result

Conclusio

IUNC 11

Objectives



- Overall: To uncover **factors** influencing the risk of PFAS contamination in water supply wells near the Chemours plant
 - Determine why some wells are contaminated and others are not. What features of the wells, landscape, geology, weather, and geographic location influence risks to wells?
 - Build model to prioritize private wells for future testing.
 - Develop user-friendly web site to help private well owners assess risks.



Methods



Many variables may influence the PFAS showing up in a private water well



Impervious Layer

Soil Zone

Intermediate Zone

Water Level

Image from: cleanwaterstore.com

🔟 UN() 14

We built a database of multiple factors that might influence GenX in well water



Our curated data set imported to BayesiaLab

240 potential predictors

A matrix of 803 rows*240 columns



Tests from 803 Wells

Land Cover

Finding influencing variables among this data set could be complicated



Introduction

Methods

Conclusi

UNC 17

We used Bayesian network to cluster the variables and find pattern in the data set



Introductior

Methods

Results

Conclusi

2 18

Multiple models built and tested Using BayesiaLab software

Modeling goals:

Predict which untested wells are at risk of having GenX above NC health goal (140 ng/L)

Identify factors most influencing risk

Model building steps (in BayesiaLab)

- 1. "Unsupervised learning" and "variable clustering "to discover which variables are most closely related to GenX
- 2. Elimination of unrelated variables
- 3. "Supervised learning" to build a predictive model
 - Augmented naïve Bayes algorithm often used for classification problems

Area Under Receiver-Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve used as performance metric



Prediction Accuracy = Area Under Curve (1.00 is perfect)



Accuracy tested in cross-validation



21

- Five-fold cross Validation
- Repeated 20 times
 - Different random train/test split each time





Main variables that influencing higher risk of GenX





Mutual information with the GenX as target node





Knowing "Air Deposition Rate" provides the most information about GenX risk



Introductior

Methods

Results

Conclus

IIIN() 25

Distance from Chemours is second-most important predictor



Introductior

Methods

Results

Conclus

 $\square UNC 26$

Cation Exchange Capacity is third-most important predictor



Introduction

Methods

Results

Conclusio

UNC 27

Soil pH in KCL is forth-most important predictor



For 25% of houses we have additional information



- ✓ Well depth
- ✓ Year of construction
- ✓ Method of construction

29

Main variables that influencing higher risk of GenX for the smaller dataset



UNC 30

Mutual information with the GenX as target node





Well depth can reduce the risk of Genx contamination





Increase in Well age may cause higher risk of Genx





Our ML model confirmed the source and how it reaches to the houses





Predictive risk in the area of study





ROC curve for model 1 – whole dataset



UNC 36

Tornado graph shows variables with most influence on risk – model 1





ROC curve for model 2 – 25% of data set



UNC 38

Tornado graph shows variables with most influence on risk – model 2



DUNC 39

The web simulator for model 1





The web simulator for model 2









Conclusion

- Bayesian network identified factors associated with the GenX Concentration
 - Proximity to Chemours Plant
 - Air Deposition
 - Soil pH
 - Cation Exchange Capacity
- BN model can classify the private wells with above average sensitivity (84%) and reasonable false-positive rate.
- Adding more well permit data and more measurement to the model can help increase ROC index.

43

Main takeaways

Although PFAS issue is complicated, we have tools and datasets to build ML models which can help us understand PFAS better.

Bayesian network modeling has the capability to show us the influencing variables in PFAS contaminations

The accuracy of the ML model is reasonably good, however more data (such as well-depth, age, and measurements) can help it increases the accuracy.

Introduction

 $\widehat{\Pi}$

Acknowledgement

I Funding Source

North Carolina Policy Collaboratory

Collaborators

- > Dr. Detlef Knappe, NCSU
- Dr. David Genereux, NCSU
- Riley Mulhern, UNC
- Dr. Frank Stillo, UNC
- Ariel Lam, UNC
- Alex Shoaf, UNC

Organizations

- Local Health Department at Cumberland, Robeson and Bladen County
- > NC DEQ













Questions?





References

S. Conrady;, Bayesian Networks & bayesialab. 2015.

SHEENA SCRUGGS, 2019, PFAS — a problem in North Carolina drinking water, <u>https://factor.niehs.nih.gov/2019/3/feature/2-feature-pfas/index.htm</u>

Urban Forest Data for North Carolina <u>https://www.nrs.fs.fed.us/data/urban/state/?state=NC</u>

Soil survey , <u>http://www.ncmhtd.com/arcgis/rest/services/NRCS/NRCS_SoilData/MapSe</u> <u>rver/4</u>

National Land Cover Database (NLCD) <u>https://www.usgs.gov/centers/eros/science/national-land-cover-database?qt-</u> <u>science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects</u>

Fire Detection GIS Data https://fsapps.nwcg.gov/gisdata.php

