

Social Cohesion & Unwritten Rules

A series of six blog posts by Jeff Leitner, The Leitner Project and Jan Johnson, formerly with Allsteel and now Principal of Workplace Collective LLC

Allsteel: Jeff and Jan originally wrote these posts in 2020 and we're pleased to be re-issuing their updated versions in 2023. These insights are even more relevant now as organizations grapple with how to move forward post-pandemic - stymied by "hybrid working" and how they will define and manage it, focused on their physical assets, and anxious to strengthen their culture but not clear on how – with intention – to do that, short of insisting that everyone return to the office.

We promise reading this will be worth your time, and we and our authors look forward to conversations and potential engagements to put these concepts into action.

Part 1: Our Organizations and Workplace Needs a New North Star

Jeff Leitner: We need a new north star. In the midst of unprecedented uncertainty — about our jobs, organizations, communities, and economy — we need something to guide us to and through whatever's next. The old north stars won't do it for us. An article published before normal went off the rails in 2020 said there were eight ways to increase productivity, including "be efficient" and "reduce distraction." Just a few months later, that benign advice was antiquated, as our workforces became distributed and our lives a cacophony of distractions.

Jan Johnson: We have the same challenge in workplace design. Our standard metrics—which were oriented to cost and space, like square footage per employee—don't make sense now. And they never reflected how the built environment contributes to value creation.

Jeff Leitner: So when the chaos was unleashed in early 2020, I looked around for clues as to which organizations would survive this economic meltdown and thrive on the other side. I looked for clues everywhere my clients and friends worked. And a pattern began to emerge. The organizations that seemed to be doing better than others had two things in common: cash and social cohesion. Jan and I will leave it to others to talk about cash. We're here to introduce you to social cohesion.

Jan Johnson: I already knew how important and powerful social cohesion is when Jeff and I started talking about it. Allsteel sponsored a study several years ago which found social cohesion as the factor most tightly linked to knowledge worker teams' productivity. And the more we talked, the clearer it became that social cohesion is that north star we need now — for both organizational success and workplace design.

Jeff Leitner: Here's why social cohesion is so promising: in addition to being the best predictor of team productivity, it's linked to better individual performance, more motivation, increased employee engagement, better learning outcomes (though only among high IQ employees), and more resilience in the face of fear, stress and anxiety. Social cohesion is also negatively correlated with burnout and social loafing, which is scientist-speak for not pulling your own weight.

This is a gold mine of benefits. If there were a single food that made us more productive, improved our performance, increased our motivation, made us smarter, and helped us stay calm in the midst of chaos, we'd all eat it every day.

So what exactly is social cohesion? In short, it's sticking together to chase a common goal. In sports, it's that vague and mysterious "team chemistry" that explains how a group can win without the very best talent. Everywhere else, social cohesion is the science behind a successful culture. Consider the ubiquitous Maslow hierarchy of needs. Social cohesion is the beating heart of his model — the empathy, belonging, and esteem that connect basic human needs and security to ultimate self-actualization.

Scientists have been studying social cohesion for several decades, but not in relation to organizations. Instead, it's been a way to measure countries. In the most recent ranking of the world's nations by social capital — which the researchers associated with social cohesion — the United States ranked number 142 out of 180. This places the world's largest economy 141 slots behind Finland, 101 behind Canada, 57 behind Mexico, and one ahead of South Sudan. I'm guessing that given how chaotic everything feels right now, you're not surprised by this.

Jan Johnson: In subsequent parts in this updated and consolidated version of six previous posts, we'll dig into a few things: how to evaluate social cohesion in your organization, the four critical dimensions of social cohesion, and how we can support social cohesion by adding new tools to the design process. As for the workplace, there's an awful lot of buzz and position-shifting about returning to the office. Let's resist the temptation to focus on the physical environment initially and instead, look to this new north star of social cohesion to help each organization find their own best future. In Part 2, we'll talk about how to assess social cohesion in your organization.

Part 2: How to Know If We're Getting Social Cohesion Right

Jeff Leitner: There is a strong case for social cohesion as the new north star — to help us reshape organizations and workplaces for our present cluster of challenges. But we can only do it if we can measure social cohesion, find where it's lacking, and know when we're getting it right. And up to now, that's been a real problem for scientists.

They've long tried to measure the cohesion of groups, teams, and organizations with what are called self-reporting questionnaires. But these self-reporting questionnaires — with questions like "On a scale of 1 to 5, how much do you trust your boss? — are problematic.

First, we don't know how much we trust our boss. And even if we did, we probably don't think about the world on scales of 1 to 5. Second, we would undoubtedly base our answer on all sorts of things that don't have anything to do with trust, like whether we felt appreciated. That's just human nature. Third, we're never sure how honest to be on questions like that, even if we know the survey is anonymous.

Even with all that, the biggest problem for scientists is that self-reports don't actually measure how groups think and feel; they just measure how one member of a group thinks and feels. But teams and organizations aren't just collections of individuals. You can't figure out how an organization works simply by asking each member of the organization how he or she works.

Organizations are complex, dynamic, living things, where what I do and say affects what you do and say and vice versa. Or in stuffy, scientist-speak: cohesion is a relational emergent state that emerges over time as teammates interact.

We need to stop asking about the "I" and figure out how to ask about the "we." Fortunately, there's a new, more effective way to do this. Jan and I have been researching, writing, and touring the country talking about it for the past several years and our audiences agree it's helpful.

The answer is unwritten rules. Every organization has dozens or hundreds of unwritten rules that dictate how things in that organization work. I'm not talking about formal policies. I'm talking about the kind of things you would confide to your best friend before he or she starts work with your company.

Here are some unwritten rules from organizations we've talked to:

- · If the boss can't see you, you're not really working.
- · Act like this job is your everything.
- Titles don't reflect who's really in charge.
- The people who go to the bar with the boss get ahead.

Notice that three of the four examples have to do with management. That's not a coincidence. Most unwritten rules have to do with management. That's because unwritten rules are collective beliefs that run counter to formal policy. Let me say that another way because it's important: unwritten rules are what we all believe to be the real reality when we don't believe formal policies. While a formal policy might state that the best producers who work hardest get ahead, an unwritten rule might say that the people who go to the bar with the boss get ahead. If that were the formal policy and the corresponding unwritten rule in your organization, you know which one you'd believe.

That wouldn't make you cynical. It's just that unwritten rules have a bigger influence on us than formal policies do. Formal policies are transmitted through official structures, communicated through proper channels, and packaged in solemn language. And unwritten rules are whispered in private conversations or repeatedly modeled by our peers, and bundled up with emotion. Of course, we put more stock in unwritten rules; we're people, not machines. And social pressure is just as effective at work as it was in junior high.

So what does this all have to do with social cohesion? Unwritten rules are the DNA of social cohesion. Unwritten rules tell us whether an organization has good cohesion or not-so-good cohesion. Unwritten rules tell us whether people trust the boss or work well together — far better than a self-reporting questionnaire can. If you want to measure social cohesion, find where it's lacking, and know when you're getting it right, uncover the unwritten rules.

Jan Johnson: Surfacing unwritten rules is a critical tool for strategists and designers.

While we're accustomed to asking leaders how their organizations work, the problem is that leaders don't know unwritten rules in their own organizations. For one, the people in charge play by a different set of rules than everyone else does — whether they know it or not. And two, unwritten rules are usually a response to the formal policies leaders have laid out. We must look to a healthy cross-section of workers for the organization's unwritten rules.

I can't say this strongly enough: finding unwritten rules has to be a part of the needs analysis and programming process. Exploring how workers really work will not only give us their functional requirements, it'll give us profound insights into interdependent behaviors and experiences — and get us much closer to the "we." Without these insights, we cannot help our clients strengthen social cohesion.

Beginning in Part 3, we'll break social cohesion down into its four critical elements, so you can begin to shape a strategy for strengthening your organization and designing a better workplace.

Part 3: Life Might Not Be Fair, but Our Organizations Should Be

Jeff Leitner: You don't need to know or care about football to notice there's something odd about the National Football League. You can see it if you turn on a game and watch who's stalking the sidelines in a sweater or a sportscoat. You can see it on the news if you catch who's addressing the media. Chances are very good — just over 90% — that the head coach will be white.

If we weren't talking about the NFL, this wouldn't be a problem. 9% of head coaches are black in a country where about 13% of the population is black. That's not too bad, especially when you consider that African-Americans only comprise 3% of executives across all other organizations in all other industries.

But we are talking about the NFL, where 70% of the workforce is black. That means that no matter what the NFL says, it's not equitable. Its best employees, no matter how smart, talented, and hardworking they are, stand virtually no chance of being promoted to the top job.

That's the kind of problem that makes it impossible to build social cohesion — which is a key to everything your organization cares about, including productivity, performance, motivation, engagement, learning, and dealing with stress. So if you're not inclined to solve a problem like this just because it's the right thing to do, then do it because it will greatly enhance your organization's odds of success.

The first of four critical dimensions of social cohesion Jan and I will talk about in this series is fairness or equity, which means that an organization believes that its leadership is evenhanded. Fairness has four key attributes:

- Equal opportunity: Are you really giving everybody the same chance to succeed or are you just saying you are?
- Impartial treatment: Are you rewarding and punishing the same things for everyone or are you playing favorites?
- Consistency: Are you predictable or all over the place? Fairness is one of those qualities that has to be universal to exist at all. In other words, you can't be fair if you're only fair 90% of the time.
- Transparent decision-making: Do employees know why you're doing what you're doing or is it
 mystery to them?

Let me clarify something about equity. It is not synonymous with equality, so it doesn't mean that every employee is treated identically. That makes no sense. Every employee is differently equipped, prepared, qualified, and committed. But every employee should have the opportunity to do the most with what they have.

Employees are perceptive. If you're not fair, they'll know it. They probably won't tell you because, well, you're not fair and it will come back to haunt them. But they'll tell each other what's really going on through unwritten rules about how to succeed in your organization — rules like these:

- · Inappropriate jokes are OK if the boss does it.
- Don't upset the old boys club.
- · If you have kids, you get weekends off.
- · Be sure to invite women and minorities to client meetings.

Unwritten rules like those are a giant flashing sign that your organization has problems, specifically problems with being fair to its employees. Problems like that will not only disincentivize your employees but they will sully your reputation in the marketplace — probably worse than any other non-felonious problem you can have.

In organizational management, there's only one strategy to increase fairness: do everything you can to be fair. The unwritten rules will give you the roadmap. Wherever there's an unwritten rule in your organization that suggests you treat some people — men, women, old-timers, newcomers, anybody — better than others, you should stop doing that. The hard part is discovering our unconscious bias; the easy part is what to do about our unconscious bias: just stop acting on it.

You don't need to make a broad, organization-wide proclamation. You don't need to put it on your website or stencil it on the walls of your office. Given that there are unwritten rules that suggest you're not fair, no one will believe you anyway. Once you're fair consistently, the same informal network that said you weren't fair before will deliver the word that you are now.

Jan Johnson: Like unwritten rules, environmental cues are more powerful than written rules and can reinforce behaviors that increase social cohesion. We believe what we see and experience more than what we hear and read.

I continue to be a big advocate of activity-based planning. It's about the "we" and helps us design spaces that support the full range of functional and behavioral activities — which differ from team to team. Accounting and software development are very different - and with an activity-based approach, they can both have exactly what they need.

Activity-based planning to build social cohesion is better than both the one-size-fit-all approach — which requires people to adjust to space, rather than space adapting to its users — or the status-based approach, which reinforces entitlement and ultimately decreases social cohesion.

Part 4: Our Organization Must Get Real

Jeff Leitner: Earlier in my career, I was vice president of a small consulting firm. For reasons I don't recall, we were having cash flow problems. So the president suggested the executive team forgo our paychecks for a while to ensure that everyone else in the company got paid. We all agreed and I remember being proud to do it.

But about two months in, I returned from a long weekend to find that while everyone else on the executive team was forgoing our paychecks, the president wasn't. He was paying himself just as often and just as much as he ever had. That was a heck of a job but I resigned that day."

Whether you would have resigned yourself, you undoubtedly get why I did. There's something about discovering that things are very different than they seem that rocks us and leads us to question everything around us. Can we trust the people we thought we could trust? Are we really in this together like we thought?

In a world of spin and overstatement, we're sadly accustomed to reading and listening skeptically. But that inauthenticity is a big problem when trying to build social cohesion — which is a key to everything your organization cares about, including productivity, performance, motivation, engagement, learning, and dealing with stress.

The first critical dimension of social cohesion is fairness or equity, which Jan and I talked about in Part 3. The second is authenticity, which means that an organization believes that its leaders mean what they say. The four key attributes of authenticity are:

- Clarity Are you being clear about what you expect or do you leave expectations just fuzzy
 enough so that you can be impulsive later?
- Completeness Are you telling employees everything they need to know or are you just giving them part of the picture?

- Straightforwardness Are you saying what you mean or are you intentionally leaving employees with a misleading impression?
- Consciousness of power Are you mindful that by being in charge, what you do and say carries a disproportionate amount of weight? No, you're not just part of the gang.

If you say in formal policies, town hall meetings, or one-on-one conversations that you don't care when employees get to work in the morning, that has to be true. You can't then nick employees in performance reviews or deny them promotions and raises because they come in a half hour later than everyone else. If you say in the mission statement and on the company website that you prioritize quality work above everything else, you can't penalize employees for taking the time to get everything right.

When leaders say one thing and hold employees accountable for another, it gives rise to unwritten rules. You've heard rules like this:

- Don't be honest about why you're calling off work.
- · Never leave the office before the boss does.
- · Optional events are not really optional.
- When the boss says "It's your call," it isn't.

These rules are red flags that an organization's leadership is inauthentic and a giant obstacle to building social cohesion. And notice that inauthenticity has nothing to do with whether we're back in the office or working remotely — inauthenticity is a problem that permeates any and every working arrangement.

As for organizational management, there are a few critical steps to boosting authenticity.

- One, replace the inauthentic with the real. If you're doing or saying things that are intentionally fuzzy, incomplete, or misleading, stop. There may be good reasons not to share information with employees, but there's never a good reason to share inauthentic information.
- Two, align your formal policies with the unwritten rules. This doesn't mean turning unwritten rules
 into formal policies, but you do have to account for the discrepancy between the two. Maybe it's
 worth eliminating formal policies that nobody follows or believes in. That's better than having official
 rules on the books that everybody knows are bunk.
- Three, align your formal organizational structure with your informal organizational structure. Every
 organization has an unofficial, organic org chart. It's populated not by people with the right titles,
 but by people employees trust to look out for them and give them the best information. Again, you
 don't have to turn the informal structure into the formal structure, but you do have to account for
 the discrepancy between the two. If there are people in your organization who are really running the
 show and trust us, there are you would be wise to leverage them.

Jan Johnson: The workplace can also help organizations be authentic. Designers have two responsibilities here: help clients use space to send authentic cues and stop them from using space to say things they don't really mean.

It starts with digging into the unwritten rules, which tell us about workers' authentic experiences. We need to respect what we learn and use it to balance out what we hear from leadership. If we don't, we'll design spaces that are inconsistent with how organizations work, which will ultimately decrease social cohesion.

We then need to pay attention to what artifacts mean. Do glass walls really represent transparency, like leadership says, or are they used to keep an eye on employees? Do ping pong tables build camaraderie or send a fake signal about playfulness? Do leaders really believe employees are their greatest asset or are they prioritizing real estate efficiency over worker experience?

Part 5: We're All in this Together—or We Should Be

Jeff Leitner: About 10 years ago, I launched a project in which I'd invite really experienced strategists, scientists, artists, and other intimidating people to tackle social problems. We'd get together for one day to work with a prominent organization, like NASA, TED, or the U.S. State Department. And nobody — not me and not anybody I invited — got paid.

So when the project started showing up in people's bios and on their LinkedIn pages, I was confused. They participated for a single day and were paid nothing. But there it was, alongside their already impressive credentials. I didn't understand until I started digging into social cohesion.

As we've discussed, social cohesion is the key to everything your organization cares about, including productivity, performance, motivation, engagement, learning, and dealing with stress. It has four critical dimensions. The first two are fairness and authenticity, which we addressed above.

The third dimension is interdependence, which is the degree to which employees in your organization are mutually reliant on each other. The enigmatic basketball coach Phil Jackson said it this way, "The strength of the team is each individual member. The strength of each member is the team." The six key attributes of interdependence are:

- Receptivity: Do employees really listen and take in each others' ideas or just nod and do what they were going to do anyway?
- Reciprocity: Do employees naturally share ideas, efforts, resources with each other or hoard and keep score?
- Frankness: Are employees candid and straightforward with each other or are they playing office politics?
- Shared Obligation: Do employees believe that they should have each other's backs or believe it's every person for themselves?
- Shared Benefit: Do employees believe they succeed when everyone succeeds or believe success in your organization is a zero-sum game?
- Psychological Safety: Do employees believe they can speak up or fear they'll be mocked or degraded?

When we create the right environment — like I did accidentally with those one-day, no-pay projects — people bond to the organization, the work, and each other. When we don't, it gives rise to all sorts of problematic unwritten rules like:

• Be sure to talk about how busy you are.

- · Act like you know what you're talking about.
- We want your creative contributions, but not too much.
- Just do it yourself.

I wish unwritten rules like these were unfamiliar, but I'm willing to bet you have encountered them somewhere along the way. I absolutely have. But it doesn't have to be this way. There are ways to increase interdependence in organizations. Here are two:

- Make the work a stretch. Too many people are checked out at work and watching the clock because
 the work they do isn't challenging. Challenging isn't bad; it's what keeps us engaged and pushes us
 to work in partnership with others. It's what makes time fly and makes us feel good at the end of the
 day.
- Make the work meaningful. This isn't a platitude. Sadly, too many people in too many organizations
 spend too much time doing stupid stuff. By stupid stuff, I mean tasks that don't serve clients or
 customers and don't really serve the organization. If you've seen the movie Office Space, I'm talking
 about TPS reports. You need to strip away as much of this meaningless work as possible to keep
 employees engaged and mutually supportive.

Human beings are naturally social creatures, biologically inclined to work together to get complex tasks done. Among the things that suppress our natural drive towards interdependence is rote, meaningless work.

Jan Johnson: Knowledge work is a team sport. Workplace strategists and designers came to understand this a while ago and have been transitioning away from the traditional emphasis on individual space (remember when private offices and workstations were 80% of a floorplan?) to more deeply understanding collaborative activities and how best to support them with space and tech.

The massive shift to hybrid working is catalyzing this even more. Many recent articles reporting on worker sentiments are suggesting that the majority are coming to the office to collaborate and socialize. If—through engaging teams in needs assessments, and encouraging them to negotiate among themselves as to how they intend to work, to use the office, and to effectively support each other—we get those spaces and their task-supporting tech right, we have validated the worth of the team's input, and these spaces act as both a magnet and evidence that the organization is invested in enabling work processes and strengthening human connections. And bringing them along on the design journey diminishes the need for "resistance mitigation" — as Kay Sargent refers to poorly conceived change management.

Environmental psychology tells us that encouraging teams to exercise "ownership" or spatial identity over their neighborhood — perhaps by displaying artifacts that represent their interests or work-in-progress — is highly correlated to performance.

That means we strategists/designers can't be precious about our designs; these aren't our environments and we can't insist they stay pristine. Teams and whole organizations should use the spaces the way they need to. Let's take photos before move-in and then enjoy watching those spaces come to life.

These types of team expression and transparency also tick the authenticity dimension of social cohesion.

Returning to Jeff's basketball reference, socially cohesive teams know what plays they're running and what each player's doing. When we explore each teams' unwritten rules, as well as their functional requirements, we more fully understand how they work and can design more relevant and effective spaces.

Part 6: When We Belong, We Prosper

Jeff Leitner: It might be a weird time to bring it up, now that we're mostly through the pandemic, but a former U.S. Surgeon General says that loneliness is a public health crisis. Or maybe it's a perfect time to bring it up, as so many of us are working from home or not working at all.

"Most people go to work wanting to enjoy their relationships with the people they're working with, wanting to feel like they are contributing to something meaningful in the world. But that is not the experience many people have," Surgeon General Vivek H. Murthy told The Washington Post.

"Many people feel that the folks they're working with are work colleagues, but they wouldn't call them friends. They wouldn't describe them as people they can trust. And there's a real lost opportunity there, because when people have strong connections with the people they're working with that can not only improve productivity and the overall state of the company, but it can also improve their own health."

I'm not qualified to talk about health, but I'm struck by what he said about productivity. It appears Dr. Murthy might endorse social cohesion as the new north star for our organizations, as it's a key to productivity, performance, motivation, engagement, learning, and dealing with stress.

Over the previous sections, we've introduced the first three critical dimensions of social cohesion: fairness, authenticity, and interdependence. The fourth and final dimension is belonging, which is the degree to which employees feel accepted and supported. Here are its critical elements:

- Collective Interests: Are employees chasing the same goals or is everybody running their own race?
- Validation and Affirmation: Does your organization signal to employees that they're important to what you're doing or just pay lip service to the idea?
- Valuing the Group: Does your organization treat its own better than outsiders?
- Encouragement of Employees' Whole Selves: Can employees be themselves in your organization or are they discouraged from bringing that into work?
- · Encouragement of Professional Growth: Does your organization push employees to maximize their professional potential or just use people to do what needs doing?
- · Encouragement of Personal Integrity: Does your organization allow employees to be true to their values or expect them to swallow their concerns?

Big, bold declarations from leadership — either captured in formal policies or promised at company meetings — don't tell you much about how an organization really works. Too many organizations have tried to use shortcuts like mission statements to transform themselves in employee paradises, where everyone is fulfilled and happy to be there. Of course, that never works. You need only surface a few unwritten rules to discover that people feel like they don't belong, like:

- Don't stick your neck out.
- If the boss wants to gossip about people in the office, do it.
- If you use all of your paid time off, you're not serious about your career.
- Never say what's really on your mind.

Allsteel*

Solving this problem — the employees' sense that they don't belong — is hard. It requires dialing up the other dimensions we've talked about: fairness, authenticity, and interdependence. But in the meantime, there is something you can do. Identify something the organization is doing to signal that employees aren't important and stop it.

There are hundreds of these things, such as celebrating people who don't take time off, pitting one group of employees against another, raving about another organization's employees, not involving a group who will be affected by a new initiative in the development of that initiative, and taking employees' contributions for granted. If this sounds like being a good parent, it should. The sense of belonging is an intensely personal feeling — maybe the most personal feeling — and should be addressed with care.

Jan Johnson: Our workplaces can and should enhance belonging. The most obvious examples are those which thoughtfully make accommodation for diverse needs and preferences.

Less obvious, but no less impactful are those "moments of belonging" describe in the Stanford d.school guide book "Design for Belonging" - some of which can have a physical manifestation in 'place.' One of those place-based moments they call out is "Entering" or the expectations or intentionality we have about our experience on the other side as we cross a threshold. Jeff and I saw a wonderful physical example of this when we briefly toured a Microsoft campus a few years ago, and appreciated how each team's neighborhood was accessed through a wide and deep 'portal' wrapped with expanses of chalkboard on three sides. Each team collectively decided how they would use that vertical area to express their collective and individual identities and set expectations for who we'd encounter and what they wanted us to know about them and their work.

The d.school book also quotes Kat Holmes, the author of Mishmatch: How Inclusion Shapes Design as reminding us that, "An inclusive environment is far more than the shape of its doors, chairs and rampways. It also considers the psychological and emotional impact on people." Think Walmart greeters.

Individuals also benefit from being able to rearranging or repurposing the furniture in their neighborhood to better suit their task or personal preferences. Being able to exert a certain measure of personal control over their environment to make it work better for them adds to their sense of belonging. Personalizing their spaces, too, even if that means Star Wars memorabilia or cat memes, lets people set the stage for sharing aspects of themselves at work — which in turn, helps them build rapport, and feel they belong. Brene Brown says it beautifully, "True belonging doesn't require you to change who you are; it requires you to be who you are."

Allsteel believes in designing for humans. We consider what workers need to work in a healthy and productive way, and how to support them physically, mentally, and emotionally. Our products are designed to fit people, including their physical needs, like body shape and size, as well as their expectations about how they want to work. Ergonomics is a core design criterion, along with choosing healthy, low-emitting materials. From individual to group environments, work settings can enhance a person's emotional well-being. We have thoughtfully designed the space with wellness principles in mind, allowing spaces for community and respite, providing access to healthy air and natural light, and giving users ways to connect with nature.

Looking for more?

<u>Jeff Leitner</u> is a social innovator and theorist. He is founder and lead researcher at The Leitner Project, a fellow at New America, and former innovator in residence at the University of Southern California.

Jan Johnson is a workplace strategist and most recently led Allsteel's Workplace Advisory team. She is also a former chair of the Council for Interior Design Accreditation and regularly teaches the three MCR.w classes for CoreNet Global. She is also the founder of Workplace Collective, which helps workplace strategists to develop more relevant and effective human-centric work-enabling strategies.