BEHAVIOR CHANGE TECHNIQUE ANALYSIS OF DIGITAL INTERVENTIONS FOR RECREATIONAL CANNABIS USE AMONG YOUNG ADULTS

Gabrielle Chicoine^{1,2}, José Côté²⁻⁴, Billy Vinette^{2,3}, Patricia Auger^{2,4}, Geneviève Rouleau^{2,5}, Guillaume Fontaine⁶⁻⁹ & Didier Jutras-Aswad^{2,10}

1Knowledge Translation Program, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada 2Research Chair in Innovative Nursing Practices, Montreal, QC, Canada 3Faculty of Nursing, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada 4Research Centre of the Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada 5Department of Nursing, Université du Québec en Outaouais, Saint-Jérôme, QC, Canada 6Ingram School of Nursing, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada 7Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Montreal, QC, Canada 6Ingram School of Nursing, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada 7Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Montreal, QC, Canada 8Centre for Nursing Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada 9Centre for Implementation Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada 10Department of Psychiatry and Addictology, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada



review and meta-analysis using the Cochrane guidelines¹³

Protocol registration: PROSPERO CRD42020196959

- Search strategy: Free-text keywords and Medical Subject Headings revolving around 3 concepts: cannabis use, digital interventions, and young adults
- Information sources: 7 electronic databases of published literature (CINAHL, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, MEDLINE, PubMed, and PsychINFO)

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA:

- Type of publication: Original research articles published in peer-reviewed journals
- Study design: Experimental study designs
- **Population:** Young adults (aged 16-35 years)
- Intervention: Digital interventions aimed at preventing, reducing or ceasing cannabis use
- **Comparison:** Control group, wait-list, delayedtreatment or assessment only
- Outcomes: Cannabis use, by frequency or quantity BCT CODING PROCEDURES:
- Double-coding process using the BCT Taxonomy v1

were included (see Figure 1)

STUDY CHARACTERISTICS (N=19):

- Published between 2010 and 2023
- 53% (n=10/19) conducted in the United States (other countries included Canada, Australia, Germany, Switzerland, and Sweden)
- **79%** (*n*=15/19) were **randomized controlled trials** and 21% (*n*=4/19) were pilot randomized controlled trials
- Interventions: Mainly web-based (asynchronous) interventions using a self-guided approach (68%, *n*=13/19) and based on motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioral therapy (47%, *n*=9/19)

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY OF BCTs USED IN INTERVENTIONS:

- Total of 184 individual BCTs targeting cannabis use in young adults identified across all studies
- Range 5-19 BCTs/study; mean 9.68
- Covered 38% (n=35/93 BCTs) of all BCTs listed in the BCT Taxonomy v1^{14,15}
- At least 1 BCT fell into 13 of the 16 possible BCT clusters
- Most frequently coded BCTs:
 - 2.2 Feedback on behavior (n=17/19 studies)
 - 3.1 Social support (unspecified) (n=15/19)
 - 9.2 Pros and cons (n=14/19)
 - 6.2 Social comparison (n=12/19)
 5.3 Information about social and environmental consequences (n=11/19)

- interventions for cannabis use
- Selecting BCTs that match the targeted determinants is crucial when planning and designing behavior change interventions¹⁶
- Considering the needs and characteristics of the targeted population is essential to tailor intervention strategies for successful behavior change
- Future research: develop new methods to assess the dosage of individual BCTs in digital health interventions and characterize the quality of their implementation to assess their effectiveness

SCAN TO READ OUR PAPER



tool^{14,15}

- Performed independently by two reviewers who completed the web-based training on how to use the BCT Taxonomy v1 tool^{14,15}
- Calibration exercise with a sample of the articles (20%) to minimize variations between reviewers' coding and ensure inter-rater reliability
- Disagreements resolved through discussion or independently, by a third author
- 2.1 Problem solving (n=10/19)
- 5.1 Information about health consequences (n=10/19)
- Most frequent BCT clusters:
 - 2. Feedback and monitoring (n=32/184 BCTs)
 - 1. Goals and planning (n=30/184)
 - 5. Natural consequences (n=28/184)
 - 9. Comparison of outcomes (n=22/184)

REFERENCES: 1. Rotermann M. What has changed since cannabis was legalized? 2020;31(2):11-20. 2. Degenhardt L et al. The increasing global health priority of substance use in young people. 2016 Mar;3(3):251-64. 3. Buckner JD et al. Marijuana use motives and social anxiety among marijuana-using young adults. 2007;32(10):2238-52. 4. National Academies of Sciences E, and Medicine,. The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research. 2017. 5. Hall WD et al. Why young people's substance use matters for global health. 2016;3(3):265-79. 39. 6. Perski O et al. Technology-mediated just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAIs) to reduce harmful substance use: a systematic review. 2022;117(5):1220-41. 7. Kazemi DM et al. A Systematic Review of the mHealth Interventions to Prevent Alcohol and Substance Abuse. 2017;22(5):413-32. 8. Nesvag S et al. Feasibility and Effects of Digital Interventions to Support People in Recovery From Substance Use Disorders: Systematic Review. 2018;20(8):e255. 9. Hoch E et al. Digital Interventions for Problematic Cannabis Users in Non-Clinical Settings: Findings from a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. 2016;22(5):233-42. 10. Olmos A et al. The efficacy of computerized interventions for cannabis use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. 2016;22(5):233-42. 10. Olmos A et al. The efficacy of cannubic user interventions for cannabis use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. 2013;79:52-60. 11. Tait RJ et al. Internet and computer-based interventions for cannabis use: a meta-analysis. 2013;133(2):295-304. 12. Beneria A et al. Online interventions for cannabis use among adolescents and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. 2021. 13. Chandler J et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.4 (updated August 2023). 14. Michie S al. The Behavior Change Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically Clustered Techniques: Building an International Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change Interve