The origin and motivation(s) of Alpine preconsonantal s-retraction

Joachim Kokkelmans – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano (Université Lëdia de Balsan)

Germanic (e.g. Swiss/Tyrolean German) and Romance (e.g. Ladin/Rumantsch) varieties in and around the Alps exhibit a phonological pattern where a preconsonantal alveolar /s/ or retracted alveolar /s/ (Basque <z> and <s>) became palatoalveolar / \int / (Basque <x>) at least word-initially (e.g. [\int p] in *Spanien/Spagna* 'Spain'; Schmid 1956; Rohlfs 1966; Benware 1996; Alber et al. 2021). Several of its aspects are still calling for an explanation, for instance:

- 1. Why was a preconsonantal sibilant fricative retracted to $/\int/$ at all?
- 2. Is /sC/ retracted more readily than /sC/? If yes, can preconsonantal s-retraction start to occur in an inventory with only /s/ and /ʃ/, or does it need /s/ to act as an initial trigger?

W.r.t. [1], it has been hypothesised (though not tested) by Flemming (2020) that preconsonantal s-retraction is motivated perceptually by the higher intensity of posterior ([\int]-like) sibilants, serving to better distinguish /SC/ from /ØC/ (e.g. so that German *fest* is not misheard as *fett*). Preconsonantal sibilants would thus be retracted to reduce their likelihood of being too weak to be heard, especially word-initially where they lack some acoustic cues found word-medially. **Study 1**: We test Flemming (2020)'s hypothesis with acoustic measurements in Praat (Boersma & Weenink 2020) from recordings made for the AThEME (2019) project (Alber & Kokkelmans in prep.). Languages in the sample include Germanic, Ladin and Gallo-Italic dialects that have [s] and/or [s] and/or [\int]. Out of 4148 sibilants, 1743 preconsonantal sibilants (out of which 550 word-initial) were compared to 492 word-initial prevocalic sibilants. It results that word-initial



Figure 1: *Above:* Relative intensity (dB) of alveolar (left), retracted alv. (centre) and palatoalv. (right) word-initial sibilants w.r.t. the max. vowel intensity in the preceding/following 0.44 sec., preconsonantally vs. prevocalically. *Below:* Centre of gravity (ERB) of the same sibilants.

preconsonantal sibilants are **weaker in intensity** w.r.t. the nearby vowel than word-initial prevocalic sibilants (t = -4.069, p < 0.001), irrespectively of the place of articulation. They are also weaker than word-medial preconsonantal sibilants (t = -3.892, p < 0.001). There is no significant difference in relative intensity word-medially between preconsonantal and prevocalic sibilants, suggesting that Flemming (2020)'s explanation holds **only word-initially**. On the other hand, the **centre of gravity** of frequencies between 1000 and 11000 Hz is lower i.e. more $[\int]$ -like preconsonantally **both initially and medially** (t = -14.982, p < 0.001) within each language group (Germanic, Ladin, Gallo-Italic). Acoustic biases towards the perception of /SC/ as more retracted (cf. Baker et al. 2011; Stevens et al. 2015) are thus both stronger than their potential intensity-related motivation and extended to more contexts (not only word-initially), meaning that another explanation is needed for word-medial preconsonantal s-retraction.

Even if preconsonantal [s] is acoustically nearer to $[\int]$ than prevocalic [s] is, the acoustic distance between [s] and $[\int]$ remains large. [s] however is located in between the two and is therefore easily confusable with both (Adams 1975). W.r.t. question [2], Alber et al. (2021) thus argue that preconsonantal s-retraction occurred only in varieties that originally possessed [s] and [\int] (e.g. almost all Alpine varieties, European Portuguese), where [s] could be perceived as [\int], but not in varieties with only historical [s] and [\int] (e.g. Slovene).

Study 2: In German, historical /[s]C/ is rare because it originates in /tC/ > /tsC/. Nevertheless, placenames offer such contexts across morpheme boundaries where analogy is weak and the outcomes of /sC/ and /sC/ can be compared. This was done in a sample of 65 Southwestern German placenames (letters A-MIE in Niemeyer 2012) with their local dialectal version (e.g. *Innschprugg* for *Innsbruck* with historical [s] but *Schdroossburi* for *Straßburg* with historical [s]). Only placenames that already had /sC/ or /sC/ in the 1300s and with no /r/ preceding the sibilant were considered. It results that 29 out of 43 occurrences (67%) of /sC/ have become / C/ as predicted, while 20 out of 22 (91%) of /sC/ have been preserved as predicted.

References

- Adams, Douglas Q. 1975. The Distribution of Retracted Sibilants in Medieval Europe. *Language* 51(2). 282–292.
- Advancing the European Multilingual Experience. 2019. Project financed in the 7th EU framework program, grant number 613465.
- Alber, Birgit & Joachim Kokkelmans. in prep. A DCT analysis of sibilant contrasts in Northeastern Italian varieties Ms., Free University of Bozen-Bolzano.
- Alber, Birgit, Joachim Kokkelmans & Stefan Rabanus. 2021. S-retraction in the Alps: Germanic, Romance, Slavic. In Thomas Stolz (ed.), Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung (STUF - Language Typology and Universals), vol. 74, 17–38. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.
- Baker, Adam, Diana Archangeli & Jeff Mielke. 2011. Variability in American English s-retraction suggests a solution to the actuation problem. *Language Variation and Change* 23(3). 347–374.

Benware, Wilbur A. 1996. Processual Change and Phonetic Analogy: Early New High German <s> > <sch>. American Journal of Germanic Linguistics and Literatures 8(2). 265–287.

- Boersma, Paul & David Weenink. 2020. Praat: doing phonetics by computer. Version 6.1.09.
- Flemming, Edward S. 2020. Sibilant Retraction. Poster presented at the Annual Meeting on Phonology (AMP) 2020, UCSD.
- Niemeyer, Manfred. 2012. Deutsches Ortsnamenbuch. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter.
- Rohlfs, Gerhard. 1966. *Grammatica storica dell'italiano e dei suoi dialetti: Fonetica*. Turin: Einaudi.
- Schmid, Heinrich. 1956. Über Randgebiete und Sprachgrenzen. *Vox Romanica* 15(2). 19–84.
- Stevens, Mary, Véronique Bukmaier & Jonathan Harrington. 2015. Pre-consonantal /s/-retraction. In Proceedings of the 18th ICPhS, Glasgow: University of Glasgow.