



בס"ז

Intro

Today we will בע"ג learn דף קי"ג of מסכת בבא בתרא of ססכת בבא בתרא Some of the topics we will learn about include.

A continuation of the Mishnah's Halachah האיש נוחל את אשתו

A husband inherits the assets of his deceased wife, even if she has sons

בעל

אינו נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק

A husband inherits only those assets that were in his wife's possession before she died, but does not inherit those assets that were to come to his wife after she died. Her sons or other relatives inherit these assets.

The Mishnah's Halachah of

ובני אחיות

נוחלין ולא מנחילין

If a person dies without children, and his father and brothers and sisters are no longer alive, the sons of his sister inherit the assets of their uncle. האיש נוחל את אשתו בעל אינו נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק אינו נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק ובני אחיות נוחלין ולא מנחילין

B The Halachah of

ביום אתה מפיל נחלות

ואי אתה מפיל נחלות בלילה

As the **G**emara explains, this refers to דין נחלות

Bais Din can divide the estate among the heirs only during the day but not during the night, because ירושה is considered דיני ממונות, a monetary case in which a Bais Din of three people is required, and

דנין ביום

וגומרין אף בלילה

The case must begin during the day, but it can be finalized even at night.

The Halachah of

שלשה שנכנסו לבקר את החולה

If three people came to visit a sick person on his deathbed and he gave instructions in how to divide his estate among his heirs, they have two options,

Either

רצו כותבין

They can act as עדים, witnesses, and record the חולה's wishes to testify later before another Bais Din, who will then divide the estate accordingly

OR

רצו עושין דין

They can act now as a Bais Din, and divide the estate according to his wishes.

אין עד נעשה דיין

A person who testifies on a case is disqualified to become ז"ן, a judge on the very same case









So let's review ...

The previous Mishnah taught האיש נוחל את אשתו

The husband inherits the assets of his deceased wife, even if she has sons.

adds רבי

מנין לבעל שאינו נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק

The husband inherits only those assets that were in his wife's possession at the time she died, such as  $ext{@}$  מת אביה

ואח"כ מתה

Her father died with no sons and she inherited his assets, and then she died.

However, the husband does not inherit those assets that were אר, would come to her after she died, such as מתה

ואח"כ מת אביה

She died, and then her father died with no sons and his assets were bequeathed to her after she died.

האיש נוחל את אשתו

The husband inherits the assets of his deceased wife, even if she has sons.

つり

מנין לבעל שאינו נוטל בראוי – כבמוחזק

The husband inherits only those assets that were in his wife's possession at the time she died,

such as

מת אביה ואח"כ מתה Her father died with no sons The husband does not inherit those assets that were ראוי, would come to her after she died,

such as

מתה ואח"כ מת אביה She died, and then her father died with no sons and his assets were bequeathed to her after she died.

2

The husband does not inherit those assets. Her sons - or if she has no children, her closest relatives inherit these assets, because the Pasuk states

ואלעזר בן אהרן מת

ויקברו אותו בגבעת פנחס בנו

אלעזר died and was buried in the field of his son פנחס. פנחס וכי מנין לפנחס

שלא היה לו לאלעזר

How did פנחס get a field that did not belong to his father אלעזר?

מלמד שנשא אלעזר אשה

ומתה בחיי מורישיה

ומתו מורישיה וירשה פנחס

It must be that after אלעזר's wife died, her father died, and her son פנחס inherited the field. However, her husband אלעזר did not inherit this field, because it was אלעזר.

And this is alluded to in the word בנו which implies נחלה הראויה לו

ירשה בנו

The field was meant to go to אלעזר, but was inherited by his son פנחס.

======

Dedicated By: \_

The husband does not inherit those assets. Her sons – or if she has no children, her closest relatives inherit these assets,

because the Pasuk states

ואלעזר בן אהרן מת

ויקברו אותו בגבעת פנוזס בנו

1981/2 died and was buried in the field of his son on o.

וכי מנין לפנחס שלא היה לו לאלעזר

How did פנחס get a field that did not belong to his father אלעזר?

מלמד שנשא אלעזר אשה ומתה בחיי מורישיה ומתו מורישיה וירשה פנחס

It must be that after אלעזר's wife died, her father died, and her son פנחס inherited the field. However, her husband אלעזר did not inherit this field, because it was אר.

And this is alluded to in the word "בכנ" which implies

נחלה הראויה לו וירשה בנו

The field was meant to go to אלעזר, but was inherited by his son פנחם.







3

The previous Mishnah taught ובני אחיות

נוחלין ולא מנחילין

If a person dies without children, and his father, brothers and sisters, are also no longer alive, the sons of his sister inherit the assets of their uncle.

The Braisa adds

תנא בני אחות

ולא בנות אחות

Only the sons of a sister but not the daughters of a sister; And as רב ששת explains;

למאי הלכתא

לקדם

If the sister had both sons and daughters, her sons, the deceased's nephews, come before her daughters, the deceased's nieces.

However, if the sister had no sons, only daughters, they do inherit their uncle's assets.

The nephew's preference is derived from that all the Pesukim regarding ירושה begin with the joining letter VAV. Therefore,

מקיש ירושה שניה

לירושה ראשונה

All levels of ירושה are compared to the initial level of children who inherit their father:

מה ירושה ראשונה בן קודם לבת

אף ירושה שניה בן קודם לבת

Just as in the inheritance of a father, the son comes before the daughter, so too, in the inheritance of an uncle, the nephew comes before the niece.

=====

וכני אחיות נוחלין ולא מנחילין

If a person dies without children and his father, brothers and sisters, are also no longer alive, the sons of his sister inherit the assets of their uncle.

> תנא בני אחות ולא בנות אחות

Only the sons of a sister but not the daughters of a sister;

יג שת למאי הלכתא לקדם

If the sister had both sons and daughters, her sons, the deceased's nephews, come before her daughters, the deceased's nieces. However,

if the sister had no sons, only daughters, they do inherit their uncle's assets.

The nephew's preference is derived from...

All Pesukim regarding ירושה begin with the joining letter "1".

Therefore, מקיש ירושה שניה לירושה ראשונה

All levels of ירושה are compared to the initial level of children who inherit their father:

מה ירושה ראשונה בן קודם לבת אף ירושה שניה בן קודם לבת



Dedicated By: \_





4 The Gemara proceeds with a Braisa,

והיה ביום הנחילו את בניו

The word ביום teaches

ביום אתה מפיל נחלות

the Pasuk states

ואי אתה מפיל נחלות בלילה

As the Gemara explains, this refers to

דין נחלות

The division of an inheritance;

Bais Din can divide the estate among the heirs only during the day but not during the night, because the Pasuk that refers to ידושה states

והיתה לבני ישראל לחוקת משפט

אורעה כל הפרשה כולה להיות דין

As the Rashbam explains;

ירושה is considered דיני ממונות, a monetary case, for which a Bais Din of three people is required, and

דנין ביום

וגומרין אף בלילה

The case must begin during the day, but it can be finalized even at night.

======









5

Based on this, the Gemara proceeds with the following Halachah:

שלשה שנכנסו לבקר את החולה

If three people came to visit a sick person on his deathbed and he gave them instructions on how to divide his estate among his heirs, they have two options:

Either

רצו כותבין

They can act as עדים, witnesses, and record the החולה's wishes to testify later before another Bais Din, who will then divide the estate accordingly.

OR

רצו עושין דין

They can act now as a Bais Din, and divide the estate according to his wishes.

As the Rashbam explains, even though אין כאן אדם שמעיד בפניהם כלום

Generally, Bais Din can issue a ruling only through a testimony of עדים, and here no עדים testified before this Bais Din? However

לא תהא שמיעה גדולה מראיה

Since this Bais Din actually saw the חולה dividing his assets, this is superior to testimony that they merely hear from other עדים, and the ראיה of Bais Din alone is considered a תַּבְּלָת עָדוֹת  $\mathbb{R}$ 

#### However

שנים

כותבין

ואין עושין דין

If only two people came to visit the חולה, they can only become עדים, but they cannot become a Bais Din, because a Bais Din must consist of at least three people.

## שלשה שנכנסו לבקר את החולה

If three people came to visit a sick person on his deathbed and he gave them instructions on how to divide his estate among his heirs, they have two options:

#### רצו עושין דין

They can act now as a Bais Din, and divide the estate according to his wishes.

### רצו כותבין

They can act as עדים, and record the מרלה's wishes to testify later before another Bais Din, who will then divide the estate accordingly.

#### Even though

אין כאן אדם שמעיד בפניהם כלום

Generally, Bais Din can issue a ruling only through pay, and here no pay testified before this Bais Din?

However

לא תהא שמיעה גדולה מראיה

Since this Bais Din saw the also dividing his assets, this is superior to testimony from other pay, and the are of Bais Din alone is considered a aray alap



# כותבין – ואין עושין דין

If only two people came to visit the תולה, they can only become עדים, but they cannot become a Bais Din, because a Bais Din must consist of at least three people.



Dedicated By: \_





6

רב חסדא makes the following distinction לא שנו שלשה עושין דין

אלא ביום

Only during the day, three people can become a Bais Din and rule based on their ראיה, because as the Rashbam explains

ראו בשעה שיכולין לעשות הדין

Their ראיה was during the day when they are able to act as a Bais Din, and therefore their פְבַלת is considered a קבלת. However,

בלילה

אפילו שלשה

כותבין ואין עושין דין

During the night, even three people can only become עדים to testify in another Bais Din, but they cannot become a Bais Din afterward and decide the case based on their own האיה.

because

ראו בשעה שאין כולין לעשות הדין

Since their איז was during the night when they were unable to act as a Bais Din, it cannot be considered a קבלת, and therefore

הוו להו עדים

ואין עד נעשה דיין

A verbal testimony is required during a following day, and once they do so and became עדים, they are disqualified to become דיינים on the same case.

The Rashbam adds:

ונראה בעיני דגזירת הכתוב היא דכתיב

'ועמדו שני האנשים לפני ה

אנשים refers to the עדים and 'refers to the דיינים, and this teaches that the עדים testify before other עדים, but the עדים the טעדים, but the עדים the כמחתס become the יינים.



