

בס"ד

Intro

Today we will בע"ה learn דף קכ"ד of מסכת בבא בתרא. Some of the topics we will learn about include.

The father's assets that were in his possession before he died;

ראוי

The father's assets that only came in to his possession after he died:

אין הבכור נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק

A בכור is entitled to פי שנים, a double portion, only in the assets that were מוחזק, but not in those assets that were

The Machlokes רבי וחכמים regarding שבח ששבחו נכסים

לאחר מיתת אביהן

The profits of the father's assets that accrued on their own after his death;

Whether these profits are considered מוחזק and the בכור does get פי שנים, or they are considered בכור and the בכור does not get פי שנים;

All agree regarding

שבח שהשביחו יתומים

לאחר מיתת אביהן

If the profits accrued only through the work of the brothers, they are certainly considered בכור and the בכור does not get פי שנים.

And all agree regarding

דיקלא

ואלים

ארעא

ואסיק שירטון

If the father's date tree grew bigger, or their father's field became fertilized from an overflowing river, the בכור does get a double portion in the שבח, because as the Rashbam explains

עדיין שמו עליו

Since the item still retains its original name, the שבח is considered a part of the item, the tree or the field, that were מוחזק.

The discussion regarding

מלוה בשטר

If the heirs collected a documented loan owed their father; According to קרן, the principal, and even the incurred רבית, the interest, are considered מוחזק and the פי שנים gets בכור

While in the הכמים's opinion there is a Machlokes as to whether the principal is considered ראוי or ראוי.

All agree that a

מלוה על פה

If the loan was only a verbal commitment, the מלוה money is considered ראוי, and the בכור is not entitled to a double portion.

מוחזק אין הבכור נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק שבח ששבחו נכסים לאחר מיתת אביהן



ארעא ואסיק שירטון עדיין שמו עליו

מלוה בשטר

מלוה על פה









So let's review ...

The Braisa in the previous Daf taught

הבכור נוטל פי שנים

בשבח ששבחו נכסים

לאחר מיתת אביהן

The בכור gets a double portion in the profits of the father's assets that accrued on their own after his death; such as הניח להן אביהן פרה מוחכרת ומושכרת ביד אחרים

או שהיתה רועה באפר וילדה

If the father had rented his cow to others who cared for the cow:

Or the father's cow gave birth while grazing in the wild, where it can sustain itself;

בכור נוטל פי שנים

The The Eccit a double portion in the rental payment and in the newborn calf, because

שבחא דממילא קא אתי

ולא קא חסרי בה מזונא

Since the cow was in the father's possession before he died, and these profits accrued solely through the cow and not also through the work and expense of the brothers, the profits are also considered מוחזק for which a בכור is entitled to פי שנים.

However.

אבל בנו בתים ונטעו כרמים

אין בכור נוטל פי שנים

If the brothers built houses or planted vineyards in the father's property, the בכור does not get a double portion in these, because since these profits were through the work and expense of the brothers, they are considered אונים is not entitled to פי שנים.

# הבכור נוטל פי שנים בשבח ששבחו נכסים לאחר מיתת אביהן

The בכור gets a double portion in the profits of the father's assets that accrued on their own after his death; such as

הנית להן אביהן פרה מותכרת ומושכרת ביד אחרים או שהיתה רועה באפר וילדה

If the father had rented his cow to others who cared for the cow; Or the father's cow gave birth while grazing in the wild, where it can sustain itself;

#### בכור נוטל פי שנים

The בכור gets a double portion in the rental payment and in the newborn calf,

because

#### שבתא דממילא קא אתי ולא קא תסרי בה מזונא

Since the cow was in the father's possession before he died, and these profits accrued solely through the cow and not through the work and expense of the brothers, the profits are also considered מוחדק and the פני שנים is entitled to פני שנים.

## אבל בנו בתים ונטעו כרמים אין בכור נוטל פי שנים

If the brothers built houses or planted vineyards the בכור does not get a double portion in these, since these profits were through the work and expense of the brothers, they are considered זאר for which a פי שנים is not entitled to פי שנים.

This Braisa is רבי's opinion...



Dedicated By: \_





2

As the Gemara explains, this Braisa is actually ירבי's opinion in the following Braisa:

The רבנן say אין בכור נוטל פי שנים בשבח ששבחו נכסים לאחר מיתת אביהן

The בכור does not get a double portion in any profits that accrued after the father's death, even those that accrued on their own

רבי disagrees and says that it depends:

בכור נוטל פי שנים

בשבח ששבחו נכסים

לאחר מיתת אביהן

In the profits that accrued on their own, the בכור does get a double portion;

אבל לא בשבח שהשביחו יתומים

לאחר מיתת אביהן

In the profits that accrued through the work of the brothers, the בכור does not get a double portion.









And the Gemara explains their Machlokes as follows: The רבנן hold

אין בכור נוטל פי שנים

בשבח ששבחו נכסים

בשבון ששבווו נכס ם

Because the Pasuk states

לתת לו פי שנים

מתנה קרייה רחמנא

מה מתנה עד דמטיא לידיה

אף חלק בכורה עד דמטיא לידיה

The בכור additional portion is compared to a gift. Therefore, just as one can give a gift only if the item is currently in his possession, so too, the בכור is granted an additional portion only in the assets that were in his father's possession, but not in profits that were not yet in his possession, even if they accrued on their own.

#### While רבי holds that it depends

בכור נוטל פי שנים

בשבח ששבחו נכסים

Because the Pasuk states פי שנים

מקיש חלק בכורה לחלק פשוט

The בכור additional portion is compared to his regular portion. Therefore,

מה חלק פשוט אע"ג דלא מטא לידיה

אף חלק בכורה אע"ג דלא מטא לידיה

Just as the regular portion is given to all heirs even from אר, assets that were not in his father's possession, so too, the additional portion is given to a שבח from שבח that are partially שבח, because this שבח came through the assets that were מוחזק. However,

אבל לא בשבח שהשביחו יתומים

The additional portion is not given to a שבח from שבח that is completely אוי and came through the work of the brothers, because the Pasuk states

בכל אשר ימצא לו

The word ימצא means, in all that is found in his possession. Therefore,

אין הבכור נוטל בראוי כבמוחזק

A בכור is entitled to a double portion only in the assets that were in his father's possession before he died, but not in those assets that came to his possession after he died.









4

The Gemara continues to explain that according to the רבנן, the words פי שנים come to teach

למיתבא ליה אחד מצרא

The בכור gets the two portions side by side, not in separated areas.

And according to רבי, the word לתת לו comes to teach שאם אמר איני נוטל ואיני נותן רשאי

The additional portion is compared to a gift in that the בכור has the right to reject it if this was detrimental to him; As in the following case;

ירשו שטר חוב

בכור נוטל פי שנים

If the brothers inherited a documented loan owed their father, the בכור gets a double portion in the money that they collect from the ...

However, vice versa

יצא עליהן שטר חוב

בכור נותן פי שנים

If a מלוה came to collect money owed by their father, the מלוה must pay a double portion in the money that they pay to the מלוה, because all the assets are equally mortgaged for the loan.

And in a case where the other brothers traveled abroad and the מלוה cannot collect from them, the שכנור would then be obligated to pay his part in the loan in proportion to his double portion.

In this case, he can reject the privilege of פי שנים and he would then only be obligated to pay his part in the loan in proportion to one regular portion.

=====

According to רבי,

לתת לו פי שנים

איני נוטא איני נוטא איני נוטא איני נוטא - נאאי דאיני נומן - דאאי The additional portion

The additional portion is compared to a gift in that the בכור may reject it if it is detrimental to him. According to the רבנן

פי שנים

KISN FIR DIK KANINI

The בכור gets the two portions side by side, not in separated areas.

## ירשו שטר חוב בכור נוטל פי שנים

If the brothers inherited a documented loan, the בכור gets a double portion in the money that they collect from the לנה

However,

# יצא עליהן שטר חוב בכור נותן פי שנים

If a מלוה came to collect money owed by their father, the בכור must pay a double portion in the money, because all the assets are equally mortgaged for the loan.

And in a case where the other brothers traveled abroad and the מלוה cannot collect from them, the בכור would then be obligated to pay his part in the loan in proportion to his double portion.

In this case, he can reject the privilege of פי שנים and he would then only be obligated to pay his part in the loan in proportion to one regular portion.



Dedicated By: \_





5 Regarding

שבח ששבחו נכסים

רב פפא explains there is no Machlokes regarding

דיקלא

ואלים

Or

ארעא ואסיק שירטוו

If the father's date tree grew bigger, or the father's field became fertilized from an overflowing river, even the רבנן

בכור נוטל פי שנים

The בכור gets a double portion in the שבח gets as the Rashbam explains,

עדיין שמו עליו

Since the item still retains its original name, the שבח is considered a part of the item, the tree or the field, that was מוחזק.

The Machlokes is merely regarding

בחפורה

והוה שובלי

Or

שלופפי

והוו תמרי

If their father's hay grew into wheat, or the blossoms on his palm tree grew into figs;

The רבנן hold

אין בכור נוטל פי שנים

Because

אישתני

Since the item took on a new name because of the שבח, it is considered מוחזק.

While רבי holds

בכור נוטל פי שנים

Because

שבחא דממילא

Since the שבח came only through the tree and field, it is considered ראוי not יוחזק.

=====

#### שבח ששבחו נכסים

KOO 27

There is no Machlokes regarding

דיקלא – ואלים

0

ארעא – ואסיק שירטון

If the father's date tree grew bigger, or the father's field became fertilized from an overflowing river, even the מ קבים agree that

בכור נוטל פי שנים

The שבח gets a double portion in the שבח, because

עדיין שמו עליו

Since the item still retains its original name, the not is considered a part of the item, the tree or the field, that was pony.

The Machlokes is only regarding

בחפורה והוה שובלי

or

שלופפי והוו תמרי

If their father's hay grew into wheat, or the blossoms on his palm tree grew into figs;

127

בכור נוטל פי שנים

Because

שבחא דממילא

Since the שכת came only through the tree and field, it is considered מתחדק. אין בכור נוטל

פי שנים

Because

אישתני

Since the item took on a new name because of the שבח, it is considered .







The Gemara proceeds with a discussion regarding מלוה בשטר

If the heirs collected a documented loan owed their father; אומר רבי

בכור נוטל פי שנים

בין במלוה בין ברבית

קרן holds the בכור gets a double portion both in the principal, and even in the רבית, the interest, that a non-Jewish לוה became obligated to pay, because as the Rashbam previously explained

כיון דמוחזק בשטר

ועל פי השטר גובין את המלוה

הרי הוא כאילו השטר השביח

דהיינו נכסים ששבחו ממילא

Since the loan document through which the loan is collected was already in the father's possession, all the monies collected through the שטר are also considered מוחזק.

The Rashbam adds, however

אבל מלוה על פה ואפילו בעדים

אפילו רבי מודה דבכור לא שקיל פי שנים

If the loan was only a verbal commitment but there was no אטר, even if there were witnesses to the loan, רבי agrees that a בכור does not get a double portion, because כיון דליכא שטרא מצי טעין פרעתיה לך

ואין מוחזקין בחוב זה כלל

Since the לוה can claim that he already paid the loan, the money is considered אור and not in the father's possession.

מלוה בשטר

If the heirs collected a documented loan owed their father;

127 TNIK

בכור נוטל פי שנים בין במלוה בין ברבית

The בכור gets a double portion both in the קרן, the principal, and even in the הביח, the interest, that a non-Jewish לוה became obligated to pay,

herause

כיון דמוחזק בשטר ועל פי השטר גובין את המלוה הרי הוא כאילו השטר השביח דהיינו נכסים ששבחו ממילא

Since the 16th through which the loan is collected was already in the father's possession, all the monies collected through the 16th are also considered 250W.

The Rashbam adds, however

אבל מלוה על פה ואפילו בעדים אפילו רבי מודה דבכור לא שקיל פי שנים

If the loan was only a verbal commitment, even if there were witnesses to the loan, agrees that a 1/22 does not get a double portion, because

כיון דליכא שטרא מצי טעין פרעתיה לך ואין מוחזקין בחוב זה כלל

Since the all can claim that he already paid the loan, the money is considered ups and not in the father's possession.



Dedicated By: \_\_\_







The Gemara cites a Machlokes in the רבנן's opinion: ארבנן says

אין בכור נוטל פי שנים במלוה

The בכור hold that a בכוד does not get a double portion in the money collected through a מלוה בשטר, even in the principal, because as the Rashbam explains דאינו מוחזק כלל במעות אלא בשטר

דהוי ניירא בעלמא

The actual money was not in the father's possession, only the שטר, which is merely a piece of paper. Therefore, the money is considered ראוי.

#### While

שלחו מתם בכור נוטל פי שנים במלוה אבל לא ברבית

The בני מערבא ruled that according to the בכו, a ככום does get a double portion in the principal, but not in the interest, because

מלוה כמאן דגביא דמיא

As the Rashbam explains, the loaned money that was originally in the father's possession was transferred into the שטר, and the שטר serves as a מוחזק, the principal is also considered.

But the interest that was never in the father's possession, is not a part of the שטר, and is therefore considered חלוי not מוחזק:

This discussion continues in the next Daf.

A Machlokes in the 1/22's opinion

#### לחו מתפ

## בכור נוטל פי שנים במלוה אבל לא ברבית

According to the רבכן, a בכור gets a double portion in the principal, but not in the interest, because

מלוה כמאן דגביא דמיא

The loaned money that was originally in the father's possession was transferred into the 160, and the 160 serves as a 1124 until the loan is repaid, and since the

oll was psow, the principal is also considered psow.

פי שנים במלוה
The רבכן hold,
a בכור a does not get a double
portion in the money
collected through a מלוה בשטר.

וב יפודם אמנ שע

אין בכור נוטל

# even in the principal, because

דאינו מוחזק כלל במעות אלא בשטר דהוי ניירא בעלמא

The actual money was no in the father's possession only the v.c.

But the interest that was never in the father's possession is not a part of the 160, and is therefore considered 11,25 not 250,N;



