



т"оэ

Intro

Today we will בע"ה learn דף קט"ז of מסכת בבא קמא of the topics we will learn about include.

The previous Mishnah taught

שטף נחל חמורו וחמור חבירו שלו יפה מנה ושל חבירו מאתים

If the river washed away two people's donkeys, one was worth 100 Zuz, and the other was worth 200 Zuz. והניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו

הניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו

אין לו אלא שכרו

If the בעל מגם abandoned his own less expensive donkey to rescue his friend's more expensive מאתים donkey, the מאתים pays him only for his efforts but not a מנה for the loss of his donkey.

יאת שלי את לו אני אציל את שלך ואתה נותן לי את שלי חייב ליתן לו

If the בעל מנה stipulated beforehand that he shall be reimbursed completely, the בעל מאתים must pay him a מנה for the loss of his donkey.

שטף נחל חמורו וחמור חבירו שלו יפה מנה ושל חבירו מאתים

> הניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו אין לו אלא שכרו

אם אמר לו אני אציל את שלך ואתה נותן לי את שלי חייב ליתן לו

> ירד להציל ועלה שלו מאליו מהו

The Gemara here asks if ירד להציל ועלה שלו מאליו מהו

If the מאתים rescued the מאתים donkey, and then his donkey emerged on its own, does the בעל מאתים pay him the מנה or not?

OR

ירד להציל ולא הציל מהו

If the בעל מנה attempted to rescue the מאתים donkey but was not successful, does the מנה pay him the מנה for his donkey or not?

The Braisa's Halachah of

שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר ועמד גייס וטרפה

ועמד אחד מהן והציל

If a group of people were traveling through the desert, and a band of thieves robbed them, but then one person in the group recovered all the money,

הציל לאמצע

He returns the money to each respective owner. ואם אמר אני אציל לעצמי

הציל לעצמו

Dedicated By: _

But if this person stipulated to them that he keep all the money that he recovers, he keeps all the money for himself.

ירד להציל ולא הציל מהו

שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר ועמד גייס וטרפה ועמד אחד מהן והציל

הציל לאמצע

ואם אמר אני אציל לעצמי הציל לעצמו







C

פועל יכול לחזור בו אפילו בחצי היום

A Jewish worker can quit his job even in the middle of the workday, because the Pasuk states

כי לי בני ישראל עבדים

ולא עבדים לעבדים

All Yidden are servants only to Hashem.

But a Yid does not become a servant to another Yid, who is also a servant to Hashem.

The Mishnah's Halachah of

הגוזל שדה מחבירו ונטלוה מסיקין

If a person stole someone's field, and the government then confiscated the field from the גולן; it depends;

אם מכת מדינה היא

אומר לו הרי שלך לפניך

If other fields were also taken, the גזלן does not need to pay the owner for the field.

אם מחמת הגזלן

חייב להעמיד לו שדה אחר

If they took the field because of the גזלן, he does pay the owner for the field, as the Gemara explains even if the גזלן did not actually steal the field, but rather

He indicated to the officials to take this field. He is חייב because as Tosfos explains the Mishnah holds דאין דינא דגרמי

One is liable for damages that were caused indirectly.





Dedicated By: _





So let's review ...

The previous Mishnah taught שטף נחל חמורו וחמור חבירו שלו יפה מנה ושל חבירו מאתים

If the river washed away two people's donkeys, one was worth 100 Zuz, and the other was worth 200 Zuz. והניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו

ניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו י

אין לו אלא שכרו

If the בעל מנה abandoned his own less expensive donkey to rescue his friend's more expensive מאתים donkey, the בעל donkey, the מאתים pays him only for his efforts but not a מנה for the loss of his donkey.

ואם אמר לו אני אציל את שלך ואתה נותן לי את שלי חייב ליתן לו

If the בעל מנה stipulated beforehand that he'll save the בעל מאתים's donkey if he will be reimbursed completely, the מאתים must pay him a מנה for the loss of his donkey. 1



שטף נחל חמורו וחמור חבירו שלו יפה מנה ושל חבירו מאתים

If the river washed away two people's donkeys, one was worth 100 Zuz, and the other was worth 200 Zuz.

והניח זה את שלו והציל את של חבירו אין לו אלא שכרו

If the בעל מנה abandoned his own less expensive donkey to rescue his friend's more expensive מאתים donkey, the בעל מאתים pays him only for his efforts but not a מנה for the loss of his donkey.

ואם אמר לו אני אציל את שלך ואתה נותן לי את שלי חייב ליתן לו

If the בעל מנה stipulated beforehand that he'll save the בעל מאתים's donkey if he will be reimbursed completely, the מנה must pay him a מנה for the loss of his donkey.







2

The Gemara asks

בעא מיניה רב כהנא מרב ירד להציל ועלה שלו מאליו מהו

What is the Halachah if the בעל מנה rescued the מאתים donkey, and then his donkey emerged on its own, does the בעל מאתים have to pay him the בעל מאתים?

As Rashi explains, do we say מעיקרא דאפקריה כאבוד דמי ומחייב לו האיך לשלומי והדר זכה ליה מרי מהפקירא

Since the בעל מנה was initially מייאש and his donkey became הפקר, the בעל מאתים became liable, at that time, to pay him for the donkey that he lost. Now, even though the recovered his donkey, it is not considered his original donkey, but rather a הפקר donkey which he now acquires. Therefore

חייב ליתן לו

OR

כיון דסליק סליק

The מנה only if the donkey actually drowned, but since the donkey did not drown,

אין לו אלא שכרו



בעא מיניה רב כהנא מרב ירד להציל ועלה שלו מאליו מהו

What is the Halachah if the בעל מנה rescued the מאתים donkey, and then his donkey emerged on its own, does the בעל מאתים have to pay him the מנה or not?

As Rashi explains, do we say מעיקרא דאפקריה כאבוד דמי ומחייב לו האיך לשלומי והדר זכה ליה מרי מהפקירא

Since the JIN Ks was initially leyn and his donkey became 1909, the porky Ks became liable, at that time, to pay him for the donkey that he lost.

Now, even though the DN No recovered his donkey, it is not considered his original donkey, but rather a DDD donkey which he now acquires.

כיון דסליק סליק

ספ תייב ליתן לו

The בעל מאתים was committed to pay the מנה only if the donkey actually drowned, but since the donkey did not drown - אין לו אלא שכרו





Dedicated By: _





answered

חייב ליתן לו

Because

משמיא רחימו עליה

His donkey was considered lost, and this is a new gift from Heaven.

The Gemara asks further

בעא מיניה רב מרבי

ירד להציל ולא הציל מהו

What is the Halachah if the בעל מנה attempted to rescue the מאתים donkey but was not successful; does the בעל מאתים pay him the מנה for his donkey or not?

מרבי answered

אין לו אלא שכרו

The בעל מאתים pays him only for his efforts but not for his donkey, because

לא עביד שליח שליחותיה

He did not fulfill the task that he undertook to rescue the מאתים donkey.

However, in the Braisa's case of

השוכר את הפועל להביא כרוב ודורמסקנין לחולה

והלך ומצאו שמת או שהבריא

If a person hired a worker to bring cabbage for a sick person, and by the time he got there the patient died or recovered and the cabbage was not needed;

נותן לו שכרו משלם

He must pay the worker the full amount, because עביד שליח שליחותיה

He did fulfill the task that he undertook to bring cabbage.



נותן לו שכרו משלם He must pay the worker the full amount, because עביד שליח שליחותיה







4 The Gemara cites a Braisa

שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר

ועמד עליה גייס לטורפה

A group of people were traveling through the desert, and a band of thieves threatened to rob them, and they appeared them with a ransom;

מחשבין לפי ממון

ואין מחשבין לפי נפשות

Each person pays a percentage of the ransom money in proportion to his assets - the rich pay more and the poor pay less - but they do not pay equally per person, because this was

סכנת ממון

A danger to their assets but not also to their lives; However

ואם שכרו תייר ההולך לפניהם

מחשבין אף לפי נפשות

If they hired a guide to lead them through the desert, each person pays equally, because this was

סכנות נפשות

A danger to their lives if they were to become lost;

The Braisa however adds,

ולא ישנו ממנהג החמרין

They should not deviate from the accepted norms of the caravans in this area.

======

שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר ועמד עליה גייס לטורפה

A group of people were traveling through the desert, and a band of thieves threatened to rob them, and they appeased them with a ransom;

חשבין לפי ממון ואין מחשבין לפי נפשות

Each person pays a percentage of the ransom money in proportion to his assets but they do not pay equally per person, because this was

סכנת ממון

A danger to their assets but not also to their lives;

ואם שכרו תייר ההולך לפניהם מחשבין אף לפי נפשות

If they hired a guide to lead them through the desert, each person pays equally, because this was

סכנות נפשות

A danger to their lives if they were to become lost;

ולא ישנו ממנהג החמרין

They should not deviate from the accepted norms of the caravans in this area.

The Gemara proceeds with a Braisa שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר ועמד גייס וטרפה ועמד אחד מהו והציל

If a group of people were traveling through the desert and a band of thieves robbed them, and then one person in the group recovered all the money;

הציל לאמצע

He must share the money with the others.

ואם אמר אני אציל לעצמי

זציל לעצמו

Dedicated By: ___

But if this person stipulated to them that he is recovering for himself, he keeps all the money for himself.

שיירא שהיתה מהלכת במדבר <mark>ועמד גייס וטרפה</mark> ועמד אחד מהן והציל

If a group of people were traveling through the desert and a band of thieves robbed them and then one person in the group recovered the money

ואם אמר אני אציל לעצמי הציל לעצמו

But if this person stipulated that he is recovering for himself, he keeps all the money.

הציל לאמצע

He must share the money with the others.





5



6 The Gemara asks

היכי דמי

What is the case?

אי דיכול להציל

אפילו סיפא נמי לאמצע

If the other people were also able to recover their money, then even if he did stipulate, he must share with them, because there was no יאוש, they did not despair of recovering their money.

ואי דלא יכול להציל

אפילו רישא נמי לעצמו

And if the other people were not able to recover their money, then even if he did not stipulate, he keeps all the money for himself, because there was איאוש, they did despair of recovering their money.

The Gemara offers three explanations:

1.

רמי בר חמא says,

הכא בשותפין עסקינן

This is a case in which the members of the group were all partners. Therefore, it depends;

אמר

If he stipulated that he is recovering for himself; פליג

He broke off from the partnership, as the Halachah is שותף חולק שלא לדעת חבירו

In the event of a potential loss, one partner can break off from the partnership without the consent of the others. Therefore, as Rashi explains, the interpretation of לעצמו depends on the following:

If the others are יכול להציל, there was no הציל לעצמו and הציל לעצמו means he can keep up to the amount of his interest in the partnership.

If the others are לא יכול להציל, there was יאוש; and הציל לעצמו means he can keep everything he recovers.

However,

לא אמר

לא פליג

If he did not stipulate, he did not break off from the partnership, and

הציל לאמצע

Dedicated By: ____

Even איכול להציל, even though there was איוש, he must share with the others, because as Rashi explains; אורחא למטרח אכולה עיסקא

Partners go out of their way for one another, and it's assumed that his intention was to recover for all partners.

? @CV FW

ואי דלא יכול להציל אפילו רישא נמי לעצמו

And if the other people were not able to recover their money, even if he did not stipulate, he can keep all the money for himself, because there was אוש.

אי דיכול להציל אפילו סיפא נמי לאמצע

If the other people were also able to recover their money, even if he did stipulate, he must share with them, because there was no



לאי בר חאל

הכא בשותפין עסקינן

This is a case where the group were all partners.

Therefore, it depends;

אמר

If he stipulated that he is recovering for himself;

He broke off from the partnership, as the Halachah is

שותף חולק שלא לדעת חבירו

In the event of a potential loss, one partner can break off from the partnership without the consent of the others.

Therefore, as Rashi explains, the interpretation of N37/135 depends on the following;

If the others are יכול להציל, there was no יאוש;

and הציל לעצמו means he can keep

up to the amount of his interest in the partnership.

If the others are לא יכול להציל, there was יאוש; and הציל לעצמו means he can keep everything he recovers.

However,

לא אמר - לא פליג

If he did not stipulate, he did not break off from the partnership, and

הציל לאמצע

Even בלא יכול להציל, even though there was יאוש, he must share with the others,

> As Rashi explains; אורחא למטרח אכולה עיסקא

Partners go out of their way for one another, and it's assumed that his intention was to recover for all partners.







רבא says

הכא בפועלין עסקינן

This person was hired to work for the entire group. And as Rashi adds this is a case of

הפקר and it's לא יכול להציל

Therefore, it depends;

אמר

הדר ביה

If he stipulated, it is considered that he quit; and as are says פועל יכול לחזור בו

אפילו בחצי היום

A worker can quit his job even in the middle of the workday, because the Pasuk states

כי לי בני ישראל עבדים

The Yidden are servants only to Hashem

ולא עבדים לעבדים

But a Yid does not become a servant to another Yid, who is also a servant to Hashem.

Therefore,

הציל לעצמו

He keeps all the money, because it was הפקר and he was not their worker.

However

לא אמר

לא הדר ביה

If he did not stipulate, he remains their worker, and הציל לאמצע

As their worker, he recovered for all of them equally.



הכא בפועלין עסקינן

This person was hired to work for the entire group. And this is a case of

לא יכול להציל

Therefore, it depends;

אמר – הדר ביה

If he stipulated, it is considered that he quit;

and as 27 says

פועל יכול לחזור בו אפילו בחצי היום

A worker can quit his job even in the middle of the workday, because the Pasuk states

Therefore - הציל לעצמו He keeps all the money, because it was הפקר and he was not their worker.

However

לא אמר – לא הדר ביה

If he did not stipulate, he remains their worker, and הציל לאמצע As their worker he recovered for all of them equally.



Dedicated By: _





9 3.

רב אשי says

כשיכול להציל ע"י הדחק

The other people were able to recover their money only with difficulty.

And as Rashi adds:

באיניש דעלמא

He is an independent person; Therefore, it depends; גלי דעתיה

לעצמו

If he stipulated that he's recovering for himself and the others were were silent, he keeps it, because as Rashi explains

גלו דאייאוש ולא בעו ממסר נפשייהו

Their שתיקה indicates that they were מייאש and they do not want to overexert themselves. However

לא גלי דעתיה לאמצע

If he did not stipulate, they all share equally, because לא גלו דאייאוש

There is no indication that they were מייאש.

And as Rashi explains however in a case of יכול להציל

Even if גלי דעתיה

It is לאמצע, because

בסתמא לא אייאוש בסתמא

They were not מייאש since they do not need to exert themselves.

======

9



ilk po

כשיכול להציל ע"י הדחק

The other people were able to recover their money only with difficulty.

And as Rashi adds;

באיניש דעלמא

He is an independent person;

Therefore, it depends;

גלי דעתיה - לעצמו

If he stipulated that he's recovering for himself and the others were שותק, they were silent, he keeps it,

because as Rashi explains גלו דאייאוץ ולא בעו ממסר נפשייהו

Their spent indicates that they were level and they do not want to overexert themselves

However

לא גלי דעתיה – לאמצע

If he did not stipulate, they all share equally, because לא גלו דאייאוש

There is no indication that they were מייאש.

As Rashi explains however in a case of יכול להציל

Even if אניפ אייאוש - it is און אניפן, because

They were not law since they do not need



Dedicated By: ___







Zugt Di Mishnah

הגוזל שדה מחבירו ונטלוה מסיקין

If a person stole someone's field, and the government then confiscated the field from the גזלן, it depends;

אם מכת מדינה היא

אומר לו הרי שלך לפניך

If other fields in this province were also taken and this was not because of the גזלן, the גזלן does not need to pay the owner for the field.

אם מחמת הגזלו

חייב להעמיד לו שדה אחר

If they stole the field because of the גזלן, he does pay the owner for the field.

As the Gemara explains, even if the גזלן did not actually steal the field, but rather

דאחוי אחוויי

He indicated to the officials to take this field.

And he is חייב, because, as Tosfos explains, the Mishnah holds

דאין דינא דגרמי

One is liable for damages that were caused indirectly.



הגוזל שדה מחבירו ונטלוה מסיקין

If a person stole someone's field, and the government then confiscated the field from the גזלן

אם מכת מדינה היא אומר לו הרי שלך לפניך

If other fields in this province were also taken and this was not because of the גדלן, the מספר does not need to pay the owner for the field.

אם מחמת הגזלן חייב להעמיד לו שדה אחר

If they stole the field because of the גדלן, he does pay the owner for the field.

As the Gemara explains, even if the גזלן did not actually steal the field, but rather

דאחוי אחוויי

He indicated to the officials to take this field.

And he is הייה, because, as Tosfos explains, the Mishnah holds דאין דינא דגרנני One is liable for damages that were caused indirectly.



