



т"оэ

#### Intro

Today we will Be"H begin פרק הזורק, the eighth Perek of פרק גיטין, and learn דף ע"ז,

The Perek begins by discussing the requirement of ונתן בידה

The husband should place the גי into his wife's hand. The Gemara will discuss other areas included in the term ידה where he may place the ג, and will describe the valid method of placement.

Some of the topics we will learn about today include: חצרה

The גט may be placed in her courtyard, or any other area she owns. The Gemara discusses whether a חצר acquires the גט on her behalf as

חצרה

A variant of her hand, and therefore must be סמוכה, nearby, or

שליחות

As her agent, and therefore it is only required to be משתמרת לדעתה

Guarded under her control

מה שקנתה אשה קנה בעלה

A husband has the rights to פירות, the usage and income of his wife's possessions.

### B דין ודברים אין לי

One cannot renounce his rights to something already in his possession without a legal transaction.

However, one can say

אי אפשי בתקנת חכמים

One can forego the rights granted to him by a decree that was enacted for his benefit.

### יד עבד כיד רבו

A slave is owned by his master; and therefore whatever he acquires belongs to his master. He cannot acquire anything for himself. Nevertheless, he can personally accept an emancipation document due to the principle of גיטו וידו באין כאחד

The emancipation and his ability to acquire items for himself occur simultaneously. Therefore, the very act of receiving the document creates the domain with which he can accept it.

#### פיסלא

The Gemara discusses scenarios where a piece of wood is not considered part of a חצר that a husband lent to his wife with which to acquire her ג.











So let's review... Zugt di Mishnah

הזורק גט לאשתו

והיא בתוך ביתה או בתוך חצרה

הרי זו מגורשת

If a husband threw a גט into his wife's house or courtyard, the divorce is effective, because her property acquires the גט on her behalf.

The Gemara explains that this is learned from the Pasuk ונתן בידה

Rashi explains that the Pasuk does not say

ובידה יתננו

stressing that the גט must be placed only in her hand; therefore,

ונתן בידה

משמע לן

נתינה כל דהוא

A nominal act of placing suffices - that the  $\ensuremath{\omega}$  can also be placed

בגגה חצרה וקרפיפה

In any area that she owns;

However, the Gemara asks

מה שקנתה אשה קנה בעלה

A husband has the rights to the usage of his wife's possessions; therefore, as Rashi explains,

אכתי לא נפיק גט מידיה דבעל

When he places the גו in her חצר, it has not completely left his domain, and should not be considered a valid נתינה??

2 The Gemara suggests two solutions:

1.

The Mishnah is referring to a case of בכותב לה דין ודברים אין לי בנכסיך

The husband relinquished his rights to the usage of the חצר, and it therefore remains entirely her domain. As רבא established a principle:

האומר אי אפשי בתקנת חכמים

כגון זו שומעין לו

One may forego the rights granted him by a decree that was enacted for his benefit. Therefore, the husband can refuse to accept the right of פירות, the usage and income of his wife's חצר.

However, this can only be done

בכותב לה

ועודה ארוסה

This is only effective if he waived his rights while she was still an ארוסה, before he acquired these rights.

Similarly, רב הונא said;

יכולה אשה שתאמר לבעלה

איני ניזונית ואיני עושה

The הכמים decreed that a husband must support his wife, and he receives her income in exchange. However, a woman can refuse to accept her husband's support, thereby retaining the rights to her earnings, since this exchange was enacted for her benefit.

This can be done even after she's already a השואה, because the חיוב מזונות is a separate obligation every day. However, the right to שירות must be refused while she's still a ארוסה, because it's a one-time acquisition at the time of marriage.











3

A second explanation is

גיטה וחצירה באין כאחד

Her חצר becomes her own domain simultaneously with the divorce taking effect.

As רבי מאיר asserts regarding שחרור עבד כנעני בשטר על ידי עצמו

A slave can be freed by accepting the emancipation document himself. Although

יד עבד כיד רבו דמיא

The slave is owned by his master, and so a document placed in the slave's hand has not left the master's domain. However,

גיטו וידו באין כאחד

The emancipation and his ability to acquire items for himself occur simultaneously. Therefore, the very act of receiving the document creates the domain with which he can accept it.

======



The Gemara now clarifies the Mishnah's phrase והיא בתוך ביתה

אמר עולא

והיא שעומדת

בצד ביתה ובצד חצרה

Her courtyard can only acquire the גט if she is literally standing nearby.

However, ר' אושיעא maintains

אפילו היא בטבריא

וחצרה בציפורי

Her courtyard can acquire the va even if she is in a different city; and he interprets this phrase as

והיא כמי שבתוך ביתה

והיא כמי שבתוך חצרה

It is considered as if she is nearby;

דכיון דחצר משתמרת לדעתה היא

מתגרשת

As long as she ensures that the courtyard is protected, as Rashi explains

על פיה ועל צוויה

It must be protected under her control.

However,

נתן גט ביד עבדה ניעור

אינו גט

Her slave cannot accept the va, because

הוא משמר עצמו לדעתו

He guards himself independent of her instruction.

הזורק גם לאשתו והיא כתוך ביתה או בתוך חצרה הרי זו מגורשת אתר צוא כי אופצא א שעומרת אפילו היא במבריא

Her courtyard can acquire the גע even if she is in a different city;

וחצרה בציפורי

והיא כמי שבתוך ביתה והיא כמי שבתוך תצרה

It's as if she is nearby;

דכיון דתצר משתמרת לדעתה היא מתגרשת









The Gemara at first explains that this מחלוקת derives from the essential nature of a חצר's ability to acquire for its owner:

מר סבר

חצר

משום ידה אתרבאי

עולא holds that her courtyard acquires on her behalf by acting as her hand; therefore,

סמוכה לה בעינן

Like her hand, it must be at her side; while

מר סבר

חצר

משום שליחות אתרבאי

ר' אושיעא maintains that her courtyard acts as her agent; therefore,

לא בעינין סמוכה

Like a שליח, it can acquire the גע even when she is elsewhere.

However, the Gemara offers an alternate explanation. Everyone agrees

חצר

משום ידה איתרבאי

However, the מחלוקת concerns the degree of similarity required:

מה ידה בסמוכה

אף חצרה בסמוכה

שולא says that the courtyard must literally be nearby, similar to her hand.

However, ר' אושיעא argues

מה ידה בדבוקה

אף חצרה בדבוקה

Her courtyard is not attached to her, as is her hand? Rather, he maintains that the comparison is merely figurative:

מה ידה

משתמרת לדעתה

אף חצרה

המשתמרת לדעתה

The courtyard must merely be guarded under her control as is her hand, and not by other means.

=======

Dedicated By: \_









The Gemara discusses placing the או in a borrowed חצר:

The husband can lend her the usage of part of his חצר to acquire her ג. However,

נפל בפיסלא

If the גט landed on a piece of wood in the חצר, אי הוי ד' אמות על ד' אמות על ד' אמות על ד' אמות פלג רשותא לנפשיה

If it is four אמות א by four אמות it is considered its own separate area, and the גו is not effective, because חד מקום מושלי אינישי

תרי מקומות לא מושלי אינישי

We assume that he only meant to lend her one area, and so she cannot use the wood, which is a separate area, to acquire her υλ.

#### The Gemara adds

גבוה עשרה

אע"ג דלא הוי ד' אמות

If the wood is ten טפחים high, it is considered a separate area even if it occupies less than four square אמות.

#### Furthermore,

אית ליה שם לווי אע"ג דלא גבוה עשרה ואע"ג דלא הוי ד' אמות

If it is referred to by a special appellation, it is considered a separate area even if it is less than ten טפחים high and less than four square אמות.

=======

The Mishnah's first case duscussed HER property; הזורק גט לאשתו והיא בתוך ביתה או בתוך חצרה הרי זו מגורשת

Now, the Mishnah discusses HIS property;

## Placing the תצר in a borrowed תצר

# נפל בפיםלא

If the גט landed on a piece of wood in the תצר

# אושלה מקום

The husband can lend her the usage of part of his תצר to acquire her

אי הוי ד' על ד' אמות - פלג רשותא לנפשיה If it is 4 by 4 אמות it is considered a separate area, and the גע is not effective, because

> תד מקום מושלי אינישי תרי מקומות לא מושלי אינישי

We assume that he only meant to lend her one area, and so she cannot use the wood, which is a separate area, to acquire her vs.

אית ליה שם לווי אע"ג דלא גבוה עשרה ואע"ג דלא הוי ד' אמות

If it is referred to by a special appellation, it is considered a separate area.

גבוה עשרה אע"ג דלא הוי ד' אמות

If the wood is ten prod high it is considered a separate area even if it occupies less than four square NNX.

זרקו לה בתוך ביתו או בתוך חצירו אפילו הוא עמה בממה אינה מגורשת



Dedicated By:





זרקו לה בתוך ביתו או בתוך חצירו אפילו הוא עמה במטה אינה מגורשת

If he throws her the א while she is in HIS house or HIS courtyard, even if he throws it into the bed she's on, she is not divorced. The Gemara points out מטה שלו

If it's HIS bed, she is NOT divorced; but, במטה שלה

If SHE owns the bed, she IS divorced.

The Gemara offers two explanations:

The Mishnah follows the opinion כליו של לוקח ברשות מוכר

קנה לוקח

The buyer's vessels can acquire on his behalf even when they are in the seller's domain.

And according to the opinion

כליו של לוקח ברשות מוכר

לא קנה לוקח

The buyer's vessel CANNOT acquire on his behalf while in the seller's domain; however here,

We are discussing a bed that is ten טפחים high. Therefore, as Rashi explains,

הוי רשותא לנפשיה

It is considered a separate domain, and the Gemara adds אמקום כרעי לא קפדי אינשי

People do not mind if someone else's bed is in their property, because as Rashi explains

נוח להשתמש תחתיה

Since it is high, he can use the space under it. Therefore, the bed remains solely her domain, even though it is in the husband's property.

=======

זרקו לה בתוך ביתו או בתוך חצירו אפילו הוא עמה בממה אינה מגורשת If he throws her the Law while she is in HIS house or HIS courtyard, even if he throws it into the bed she's on, she is not divorced. The Mishnah follows

the opinion that... כליו של לוקח ברשות מוכר

The buyer's vessels can acquire on his behalf even when they are in the seller's domain.

קנה לוקח

And even according to the opinion that ...

כליו של לוקח ברשות מוכר לא קנה לוקח

The buyer's vessel CANNOT acquire on his behalf while in the seller's domain:

However here, we are discussing a bed that is ten הוא לנפשיה
הוי כשותא לנפשיה

It is considered a separate domain, and... אמקום כרעי לא קפדי אינשי



Dedicated By: \_







The Mishnah concludes לתוך חיקה או לתוך קלתה

הרי זו מגורשת

If he throws it into her lap or basket, she is divorced.

The Gemara suggests several explanations for why she acquires the Gett according to the opinion that כליו של לוקח ברשות מוכר

1.

היתה קלתה תלויה בה

The basket was hanging from her body. Or,

קשורה

אע"פ שאינה תלויה

Even if it's on the ground, but it's tied to her;

Therefore, the basket is considered part of her.

2.

אינו מקפיד על מקומה

The basket was in an area that she is allowed to use, such as

בין ירכותיה

Between her legs while sitting on the ground; or שהיה בעלה מוכר קלתות

Her husband sold baskets, and her basket was in the area designated for the baskets.

3.

מקום חיקה קנוי לה

מקום קלתה קנוי לה

She is always entitled to the place of her lap and basket, because

אין אדם מקפיד

לא על מקום חיקה

ולא על מקום קלתה

He allows her the use of these places.

Therefore, the Gemara adds,

כל דבר שהוא כקלתה

Other small items of personal use, such as

טסקא דאכלה בה תמרי

A bag of snacks is also considered completely in her domain





