

The Daf begins with two statement of שמואל - אמר שמאול כל שבחטאת מתה בפסח קרב שלמים

It is חמש חטאות that there are חמש חטאות – five cases of a קרבן קרבן האת that cannot be used that do not have the Halachah of ירעה עד שיסתעב – to allow it to develop a מום – a blemish, then sell it and use the proceeds for another , קרבן פרבי.

Rather it is locked up in a small area and left to die. They are:

הטאת – the offspring of a female הטאת, קרבן חטאת – an animal exchanged for a הערבן חטאת, קרבן חטאת – an animal exchanged for a הטאת, קרבן חטאת שמתו בעליה whose owner died before bringing it, הטאת שנתכפרו בעליה באחרת – a הטאת שtose owner already brought another animal for the קרבן while the original one was temporarily lost, and קרבן חטאת שעברה שנתה that was lost and only found after it became more than a year old.

2 Shmuel says that in the parallel cases, if these same things were to happen to a למים, it would be brought as a שלמים. שלמים ' disagrees and says that a פסח is only brought as a שחיטה if it is found after the שחיטה, but not if it is found before the שחיטה.

אמר שמאול
כל שבחמאת מתה בפסח קרב שלמים
כל שבחמאת מתה בפסח קרב שלמים
המש הטאות המתות
המתות
That do not have the
Halachah of ירעה עד שיסתעב

5 4 3 2 1

ולד תמורת חמאת חמאת חמאת
חמאת שמתו שנתכפרו שעברה
חמאת שמתו שנתכפרו שעברה
בעליה בעליה שנתה



The אמואל later explains that this means that whereas שלמים holds that whether the פסח will be brought as a שלמים, R' Yochanan holds it depends on whether it was found before or after the actual הקרבן פסח of the replacement הקרבן.

Shmuel also said:

- וכל שבחטאת רועה בפסח נמי רועה

Whenever the הלכה is that the קרבן חטאת would be left to graze, a קרבן פסח in a parallel situation would also be left to graze.









– מתקיף לה רב יוסף

Rav Yosef asks from a בריית that teaches that a חטאת שעברה שנתה – a חטאת that is more than a year old – is left to graze, but a פסח in that same situation is brought as a שלמים?

The גמרא answers כי קאמר שמואל באבודין - Shmuel's rule was not intended to cover all the different cases of a קרבן חטאת, just the case where the animal was lost and the owner brought a different animal for his קרבן.

האבוד מי משכחת לה באבוד מי משכחת לה asks that the case of a lost animal won't fit Shmuel's rule, because the רבנן hold that a חטאת that was lost, and then another animal was designated in its place, and then the first animal was found before the second animal was shechted, is sent out to graze, but the parallel case by קרבן פסח where it was lost and then found after שלמים before the שלמים is brought as a שלמים and not sent out to graze like Shmuel's rule suggested?!

The אמא answers that שמואל holds like הכיז that the הטאת in this case is not sent to graze, but is left to die. This does fit with Shmuel's rule that in a parallel case the קרבן פסח would be brought as a שלמים.

לרבי מתה – how can Shmuel assume like רבי, if after all אבודה לרבי מתה is left to die, yet a lost קרבן הרבן, if found before חצח, is not brought as a שלמים but is left to graze? This doesn't fit שמואל rule either?!

The גמרא answers that אבוד הוא אבוד – if the קרבן פסח קרבן פסח – if the קרבן פסח were found before חצות it is not considered to have ever been lost because it was not – ראוי להקרבה – fit to be brought yet, so it doesn't break שמואל rule.

מתקוף לה רב יופף

שעברה שנתה שעברה שנתה
שעברה שנתה שעברה שנתה
שלניים Brought Left to
as שלניים graze

לי קאמר שמואל

באבודין
בי קאמר שמואל

ואבור מי משכחת לה
ריטאה קרבן פסח
ריטאה sost vas lost
designated designated
other found first animal
after mun run run graze

Brought Left to
as שלמים Eft to die

הא כל אבורה לרבי מתה
חטאת קרבן פסה
Twas lost was lost

designated designated
other other

found first animal
before חצות was found

Left to Left to
graze die

The number of the secure it was not
can be secure it was not can be secure it was not
can be secure it was not can be secure it was not

- אלא רועה לרבי היכי משכחת לה

The גמרא asks if Shmuel holds like בכי that a רבי found after חצות is brought as a שלמים, and if found before חצות it's not considered lost, in which case would Shmuel's second rule apply that a קרבן פסח is sent to graze?

The גמרא therefore concludes;

שמואל נמי חדא קאמר – Shmuel actually only said one rule that whenever a חטאת would be left to die a parallel case of קרבן would be brought as a שלמים, but never said the second rule about a pob being sent to graze.









## פסחים דף צז

לישנא אחרינא – Another version of Shmuel arrives at the same basic conclusion with a slightly different שקלא וטריא. The bootom line is that קרבן agree that a קרבן agree that חטאת הטאת that was lost is left to die even if we find it before the replacement הטאת is shechted. They only argue with regard to a הקרבן פסח at what point it would have to be found to be considered rejected and therefore brought as a שלמים. Shmuel holds that as long as it is still lost when חצות comes around, it is brought as a שלמים, and הי יוחנן holds it would still need to be lost when we bring the replacement קרבן פסח in order for the old one to become a שלמים.

Therefore, according to ר' יוחנן יוחנן the parallel rule that כל the parallel rule that יו יוחנן is not true since a חטאת found before the replacement is shechted is left to die, but a פסח found before the replacement is shechted is left to graze and not brought as a שלמים.





