

I. The גמרא began by teaching that even similar types of actions are counted as their own independent - מלאכה - if they were done in the משכן - AND are critical parts of a process.

Similar types of actions are counted as their own מלאכה if They were CRITICAL done & parts in the משכן

A. That is why זורה בורר and מרקד, which are all forms of sorting bad from good, each count as their own אב מלאכה.



B. This also explains why כותש (crushing the grains) does not count as a אלאכה, since it is not a critical part of the process because עני אוכל פתו בלא כתישה – poor people will eat bread without כתישה.









- II. The גמרא then quotes a ברייתא with a cryptic line about בורר ומניח בורר ואוכל בורר ומניח one may sort and eat, and also, sort and leave over. The גמרא offers five suggestions as to what this means:
 - A. עולא says the breisa is referring to לבו ביום that you may sort to use that same day.
 - 1. ר' חסדא rejects this and says: וכי מותר לאפות (ולבשל) וכי מותר לאפות (like cooking) for the same day?!



- B. ר' חסדא instead suggests that the breisa means to say
 you can sort a very minimal amount.
 - 1. יוסף ה' יוסף '' however rejects this and says: וכי מותר לאפות יוסף - is it then permissible to bake (or do any other פחות מכשיעור!!



- C. קיד instead suggests ביד that the breisa means to say that you may sort with your hand in other words using utensils not meant for sorting would only be אסור אסור אסור אסור.
- 1. While the אמרא explicitly accepts this הלכה later on, rejects this as an interpretation of the ברייתא since ברייתא ברייתא never mentioned anything about the utensils used in sorting.









- 7 D. המנונא instead suggests that the breisa is referring to אוכל מתוך פסולת that you may sort by choosing the desired item from the undesired.
 - 1. While the אמרא is not certain whether ה' ביב' accepts this idea as a true הלכה, אביי rejects it as the explanation of the ברייתא because ברייתא מידי אוכל מתוך פסולת קתני the העיתא never mentioned anything about choosing the desired item from the undesired.



E. אב" therefore suggests that the breisa is referring to לאלתר, if you sort for immediate use it is permissible, but sorting אסור מדאור"תא, for later that day, would be אסור מדאור"תא. The gemora then tells us that רבא agreed with this explanation, declaring "שפיר אמר נחמני" – Abayei said good!









9 The גמרא then moved on and made two statements about the טוחן of טאכה:



A. First, that chopping beets is a violation of יטודן – even though chopping them doesn't change its consistency like it does to grain.



B. Second, that chopping wood is טוחן, and if cut to precise sizes is also a violation of מחתר.









IV. The גמרא then makes two comments about the מלאכה of אופה of מלאכה:



A. First, the מלאכה is called "אופה" and not "בישול" which was really done in the Mishkan - because the mishna wished to emphasize סידורא דפת, the process of making bread, as opposed to בישול סממנין, cooking of the dyes.



B. Second, hardening a peg in an oven is violation of בישול, since it actually softens the peg before it hardens it.









V. The גמרא then goes on and gives several examples of how a person can produce a single item and violate 7, 8, 11 or even 13 different מלאכות.

VI. The final part of the דף involves four different discussions of מלאכות related to clothing:

A. If someone is אוז מנפץ וטווה (shearing, untangling through combing and spinning) on the back of an animal there is a חייב קרבן חטאת whether he is חייב קרבן חטאת. On the one hand this is the actual way it was done in the סודבר, but on the other hand it is אין דרך בכך it is not the normal way of doing it - since most people are not endowed with חכמה יתירה - the added measure of wisdom necessary to do this.

B. Removing feathers can involve three מלאכות: תולש – plucking feathers is shearing. קוטם חייב משום - plucking feathers is a violation of cutting. - מחתף – clipping ends of the feathers is a violation of cutting. And... – ממרט חייב משום ממחק – removing the little hairs is a violation of smoothing.

A person can produce
a SINGLE item
and violate
7, 8, 11 or even 13
different מלאכות!



C. The אמרא suggests several possibilities of when they did קושר ומתיר, tying and untying, in the משכן, (including the possibility that it was done to correct shoddy craftsmanship) before concluding that it was done in tying and untying the nets used to catch the זהלזון.

And finally... תופר שתי תפירות - sewing two stiches only violates a מלאכה if one ties the end down so that it does not become undone.





Review