Chagigah Daf 24 is Dedicated

לע"נ בילא בלימא בת חיים ישראל יעקב



הערות של רב יחיאל גרינהויז

<u>חגיגה דף כד</u>

- 1. The גמרא says that a כלי which holds the contents "inside" combines its contents and makes them as one. או"ל added a כלי even if if doesn't hold the contents. Why would they add this הומרה? The other שנים were guided by a specific אזרא, like הציצה, but here there is no obvious reason.
- 2. Since the source for this דין is from כף אחת מלאה, why would we assume that this needs a כלי שרת? The כלי שרת have been donated but it was never a כלי
- 3. תוס' ד"ה דאוריתא didn't suggest a כלי חול which holds the contents inside of it, but is different than the כלי שרת which was a כלי שרת בלי שרת become a כלי שרת? The פסוק only describes how the קטורת was brought in a כף?
- 4. הכלי מצרף something which is קודש, in a כלי שרת. The משנה lists this property as one where קודש is on a higher level than הרומה. Since even in קודש the only time it works is when it's in a כלי שרת, how is this considered a higher level? מרומה doesn't have a כלי שרת which is why it can never be in a similar situation?
- 5. When discussing the difference between תרומה and קודש concerning one hand being משנה says that both hands need קודש for קודש. Why didn't the משנה stick to the effect on the קודש itself, namely if he touched אול שור with the hand that's סכול but if he touched, פסול it's חרומה it's not effected?
- 6. ע"ב The Gemara explains that an מהוסר כפורים ומחוסר טבילה for טבילה and not for תרומה, because they were able to eat תרומה while being an אונן מחוסר כפורים, but not קודש. Why is this a מעלה in the מדושה of one over the other? If אסור was אונן he would also require טבילה? And if the מעלה is the fact that they can't eat איסור, why did the משנה mention the טבילה and not the איסור אכילה?

If you have any comments or suggestions please email me at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com

לע"נ אבי מורי הרב יעקב בן ר' קיים משה יצחק ז"ל