## Yevamos Daf 4 is Dedicated

לרפ״ש אחינו בני ישראל



הערות של רב יחיאל גרינהויז

1. The Gemara discusses wearing ציצית containing כלאים, and brings a drasha that the mitzva of מצות supersedes the איסור. We know that some מצות are obligatory while some are not, for example wearing תפילין is obligatory, but wearing ציצית is only obligatory if one wears a four corner garment. We have no obligation to wear a four corner garment. Why than is the mitzva of ציצית דוחה the mitzva of?

2. When a מוכה שחין, she is allowed to refuse and say no. What would be if she doesn't like him or feels uncomfortable marrying the yavam, for other reasons? Is the Mitzva only on the Yavam, or does she also have a Mitzva?

3. תוס׳ ד״ה דכתים suggests that women may be obligated in wearing Tzitzit since they are forbidden from wearing כלאים. They bring a תכלת bring from מנחות that מנחות tied לאים tied ראיה bring from that they are the bring. This shows that Rav Yehuda held that his daughters were obligated to wear Tzitzit. Why can't we say that they are not obligated, but can still do the mitzva? Just like sitting in a Sukkah and many similar mitzvos?

4. The גמרא says that the איסור of wearing כלאים is only if someone intends to benefit from the garment. מתכון להנאת חימום. Would this help according to רב יהודה who holds that מלאכות שבת is? in איטור אינו מתכון אינו מתכון.

5. Based on the need to have הנאה from כלאים, how can we explain the need for עשה מצות לאו להינות ניתנו since we hold מצות לאו להינות לאו להינות ניתנו?

6. When evaluating whether a Mitzva is לאו ה דוחה we always consider ways of fulfilling both (אפשר לקיים שניהם), why don't we say the same regarding ציצית? If one has 2 garments, one wool where he doesn't violate שטנז, and the other linen where he would violate it, should he have to wear the wool garment, rather than violate the ?

## If you have any comments or suggestions please email me at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com

לע"נ אבי מורי הרב יעקב בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל