



# **MATTERS**

שבת קודש פרשת ויקרא | מסכת יבמות דף מ"ד

In honor of Rabbi Uri Greenspan and the First Seder Daf Hashavua Chabura of Greater Philadelphia

### INSIGHTS FROM OUR CHABUROS

### The Mitzvah of Yibum of Yehuda and Tamar

#### בית אחד הוא בונה ואין בונה שני בתים

amban on Chumash (to Bereshis 38:8) explains that with Yehuda taking Tamar, he fulfilled the mitzvah of yibum. This is because before the giving of the Torah yibum was able to be fulfilled by any member of the family and not necessarily only by the brother of the deceased.

We might wonder why destiny determined that in this case, it was the father, Yehuda, who was to perform the mitzvah with Tamar.

Alshich explains that with the fulfillment of yibum, and with the subsequent birth of a son to the new family, the name of the deceased brother is revived and sustained. Here, with the birth of Peretz and Zerach, the twin sons of Tamar, the name of Er and Onan, the sons of Yehuda, were perpetuated. If Shelah, the remaining son of Yehuda was to fulfill the law of yibum, his building of a household would have only been on the behalf of Onan, based upon the rule that a brother can only build one house with the widow of a brother, and not two houses. This would have left Onan without any salvation. Therefore, destiny resulted in Yehuda being the one who sustained the name of both of his sons. Yehuda, the father, was the source from which both Er and Onan originated, and with his taking of Tamar, a remnant and continuation of both sons could be realized. In this way, Peretz filled the role of Er, and Zerach perpetuated the life mission of Onan.

#### **PARSHA CONNECTION**

In this week's daf we learn that one should always consider someone else's needs, and one should therefore not spill out water that may be useful to someone else. In this week's Parsha we find a similar message of the need to be mindful of others. The Passuk states 'ואדם כרי 'יקריב מכם קרבן וכו'. The word בי 'יקריב אדם מכם "from you" seems extraneous and out of order, because if it means one of you will bring a sacrifice it should say אדם מכם בי 'יקריב'. The Alshich Hakadosh explains that the possuk reflects our collective responsibility for each other, therefore if one sins, everyone bears responsibility inasmuch as they should have helped him avoid the sin. The Possuk is articulating that it is not one person bringing a sacrifice, but rather WE are bringing a sacrifice. As we prepare for Pesach let's remember that togetherness will bring the Geula!

#### STORIES OFF THE DAF

ואל תשים קטטה בביתך "Do Not Sow Strife in Your Home..."

n this week's daf, we find a Beraisa that states that the Sages offered a man considering yibum a number of pieces of sound advice regarding marriage. Despite the differences in their details, all of the suggestions were designed to ensure that the couple achieves one very important goal: to live a married life free of unnecessary strife. Often, the most minor of issues instigates terrible controversy in the home. And, unfortunately, being scrupulous in the observance of certain mitzvos does not guarantee that when it comes to minor irritations a person will manage to be מעביר על מדותיו, to subdue his lower nature.

Rav Wolbe, zt"l, recounted that when he was in Mir he stayed with a certain baal habayis for quite a while. When Elul came around, this man re-inspected all of his mezuzos and tefillin to ensure that they were 100% מהודרין. When the young Rav Wolbe saw this he was frankly jealous of the man's scrupulous attention to mitzvos.

One day, when the lady of the house returned home, she confessed to her husband that she had paid quite a bit extra for vegetables in the market. Disregarding the young scholar's presence, the man became livid and embarked on a tirade which lasted an hour. His beleaguered wife was terribly distressed by his outburst, and soon complained of a headache. She excused herself and went to lie down. A short time later, the poor woman died. Hashem Yishmor!

Years later, Rav Wolbe was known to comment on this tragedy, "For an extra twenty cents spent on tomatoes this man indulged his terrible temper and killed his own wife, רחמנא ליצלן. Even with all of his attention to mitzvos, the fact that it was Elul did not mitigate his bad middos in any way at all!"

#### **REVIEW AND REMEMBER**

- 1. Is it appropriate for someone old to marry someone young?
- 2. What type of relationship creates מירזמם according to R' Akiva?
- 3. What are the ramifications of begin designated as םוגם?
- 4. What is the status of a child born to a Jewish mother and a non-Jewish father?

#### MUSSAR Their Future FROM THE DAF

The Gemara explains that if two women fell to Yibum one of whom was permitted to marry a Kohen and the other was not permitted (e.g., she was a divorcee), if  $oldsymbol{
u}$ the Yevam intends to do chalitzah he should do it to the one who is already forbidden to marry a Kohen so as not to disqualify the other woman from being able to marry a Kohen in the future. On this point, Rebbe taught that one should not dispose of water from his well when other people may need it. Why does Rebbe need this case to teach us this din. Why can't Rebbe learn this from the klal of בודס תדים לע ויפוכ in which a person shouldn't limit another's benefit of something if they aren't currently using it.

The Mabit explains the chiddush here is that the person who may need the benefit is not in front of us. It is a need the other may have in the future. Therefore, it is not a level of DTID because the other doesn't have a present need.

At the same time even though it is not מדים תדים it is not an optional level of a chesed where you are not giving something up of yourself for the other. Here, you are not giving up something for the benefit of the other. It is just a basic derech eretz that the Torah obligates one to think about the future needs of others when there is no loss to you.

There are certain actions which are so basic, they are not even optional because it doesn't cost you anything. Even if there is nobody in front of us, thinking about another is so basic and anything otherwise would reach the geder of assur.

#### **POINT TO PONDER**

The Gemara says that if he a Yavam has the financial wherewithal he can do Yibum for four Yevomos. He should not, however, marry more than four, because we want him to be available at least once a month for each Yevama. Rashi explains that a תלמיד חכם has a weekly obligation to his wife. Since the Mishna is for everyone, how can this apply to someone who is not a תלמיד חכם and is obliged to be available more than once a week?

#### Response to last week's Point to Ponder:

Rav Elazar taught in the name of Rebbi Chananya Hagadol, that most of the first month, the complete second month and most of the third month, is sufficient for the 3 months of waiting. Where else do find such a concept?

The Gemara in סוטה דף יב ע"ב uses this same calculation to explain Moshe Rabbeinu's birth and his mother's hiding him for 3 months. According to one of two opinions Moshe was born on 7 Adar in a leap year and was put into a basket in the שפת היאר on the 21st of Nissan. The Gemara says that the 3 months are calculated as follows, most of the first Adar. the complete second Adar and most of Nissan. The source of the overall concept is found in Sanhedrin דף סט ע"א, where the Gemara explains that 3 months represent one third of a 9 month pregnancy and a 7 month pregnancy is similarly visible after 2 and a 1/3 months which is a third of 7. (See also רש"י & פרשת וישב פרק לח פסוק כד).

## HIGHLIGHT Unigated Mitzvah?

### HALACHA Is an Ollic Considered Obligated in the

כל העולה ליבם עולה לחליצה

Whoever is subject to yibum is subject to chalitza

av Avrohom Avli Gombiner<sup>1</sup>, the Magen Avrohom, writes that a person who is missing his left arm is still permitted to write tefillin. Although there is a principle that only  $oldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}$  those who put on tefillin are authorized to write tefillin, nevertheless, he is considered obligated in the mitzvah and thus permitted to write tefillin. This ruling indicates that one who is exempt from a mitzvah because he is an אונס is still considered obligated in the mitzvah. Rav Avrohom Bornstein<sup>2</sup>, the Avnei Nezer cites an opinion that points to a comment of Tosafos as proof to this principle. Tosafos<sup>3</sup> questions how two deaf-mute people could be obligated in yibum when, due to their conditions, they are unable to perform chalitza and the rule is that whoever is subject to yibum is subject to chalitza. Tosafos answers that deaf-mute people are, in fact, obligated in the mitzvah of chalitza but it is considered as if their mouth pains them, causing their inability to speak. This also points to the fact that people who are אונס are still considered obligated in the mitzvah.

Avnei Nezer, however, challenges this ruling from a comment of Ray Yosef Karo<sup>4</sup> concerning a deaf person blowing the shofar. Bais Yosef rules that although a deaf person possesses mental competency (דעת), he may not blow shofar for others. The reason is that fulfillment of the mitzvah requires the capacity to hear and since one who is deaf cannot hear he is not obligated in the mitzvah and consequently, he cannot perform the mitzvah on behalf of others. This indicates that one who is אונס is not obligated in the mitzvah.

Ray Tzvi Pesach Frank<sup>5</sup> resolves this contradiction by delineating between the potential to do a mitzvah and the capacity to actually fulfill the mitzvah. Concerning tefillin, the principle is that only those who put on tefillin are authorized to write tefillin. This does not mean that one must be physically able to put on tefillin, rather the intent is that this is a person who bears an obligation to wear tefillin. Since we are only interested in potential because we are focused on the question of writing tefillin, the fact that he cannot wear tefillin can be ignored. On the other hand, concerning a deaf person blowing the shofar for others, it is required that he should be able to actually fulfill the mitzvah, which he is unable to do. Similarly, regarding a deaf-mute performing yibum, it is not necessary for the deaf-mute to be able to fulfill the actual mitzvah. It is sufficient that he is one who bears an obligation in the mitzvah and the handicap that prevents him from actually doing the mitzvah can be overlooked.

 מג"א סי' ל"ט סק"ד 2. שו"ת אבני נזר או"ח סי' תל"ט 3. תוס' ד"ה כל שאינו 4. ב"י או"ח סי' תקפ"ט שו"ת הרב צבי או"ח (א) סי' ל"זס

Yevamos has been dedicated in לע״נ Shelly Mermelstien ב״ר יצחק מערמעלשטיין ז״ל ר' יוסף שמואל שמעלקא. For more points to ponder by Rabbi Yechiel Grunhaus, or insights by Rabbi Gutterman, please visit our website, dafaweek.org, or download the app

To share an insight from your Chabura please email info@dafaweek.org The shavua matters is published by the Daf a week program under the rabbinical guidance of Harav Meir Stern shlita and Harav Shmuel Kamenetsky shlita

To sponsor a publication, please contact Rabbi Zacharia Adler, Executive Director at info@dafaweek.org or call 507-daf-week. Sponsorship for one week is \$72

Sections reprinted with permission from the Chicago Torah Center