

Points to Ponder

הערות של רב יחיאל גרינהויז

THE SIXTH PEREK OF YEVAMOS IS DEDICATED:

לעיינ גרשון בן החבר מרדכי

יבמות דף סו

1. The כהן outlines the עבדים אעבדים אעבדים, with regards to being able to eat גדול ערומה or a divorcee who marries a regular כהן, with regards to being able to eat גדול. The גתרומה than explains what would be if a בת ישראל משנה and there is no כהן and there is no כהן and their marriage. Since the end of the the משנה explains the usual הלכה that applies in most cases, why doesn't the משנה lead with the regular case and than discuss the exception for איסורי כהונה

2. Further to the above when the משנה says אע״פ שהוא חייב במזונותן it seems to indicate that they eat because of him, so why does the גמרא compare it to קנינו שקנה which refers to her ownership?

3. גזירה says that גזירה all slaves can eat, but גזירה because they are living in sin. Why isn't the fact that she can't eat enough of a motivation?

4 עבדי צאן ברזל are not an issue because they eat due to the fact that they are his slaves. Since we are talking about לאחר מיתה and her husband is no longer their owner, how do they continue to eat תרומה? 5. The רב יהודה discusses the מחלוקת between רב אמי and רב יהודה with regards to a lady who is getting divorced and wants to take back the physical property that she brought with her into the marriage and the husband wants to just give her the monetary value. One of the proofs which the גמרא mentions is from the fact that if the husband knocks out the tooth of one of the slaves the slave goes free. Since everyone agrees that while they are married the husband is responsible for the property and it is therefore considered his, what is the proof from µ µ µ of the slaves?

6. The Gemara says מכרו שניהם לפרנסה. If they both agreed to sell how can the husband undo the sale at a future time?

If you have any comments or suggestions please email me at

Ygrunhaus@gmail.com

לע"נ אבי מורי הרב יעקב בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל

רפואה שלימה לרב רפאל צבי ליפא בן אסתר רכאל