

## Points to Ponder

הערות של רב יחיאל גרינהויז

## <u>יבמות דף פח</u>

- 1. The גמרא makes a distinction between something which is known to be אסור אחזק איסורא)) and something which is not known to be בההיא. Rashi writes אסור in this piece, what is he clarifying?
- 2. The גמרא says that in our case since she is known to be married, it's איתחזק איסורא and than adds ואין דבר שבערוה פחות משנים, isn't the fact that it's איתחזק איסורא enough of a reason? Why add the fact about דבר שבערוה?
- 3. The גמרא says that one person (עד אחד) can be believed with regards to תרומה and similar situations that need some action, because the necessary action is in his control (בידו). Are we assuming that he already took this action, or do we believe him because he could have done it?
- 4. Since the reason why an משום עיגונה is believed for משום עיגונה is עדות אשה meaning that אדים knew that it would be very difficult to find two עדים, why does the גמרא first say that because we are strict at the end we are lenient in the beginning? It should just say משום עיגונא.

- 5. The גמרא says that if one witness comes forth after she remarried and testifies that her first husband is still alive, she doesn't have to leave the second husband if she doesn't believe the second witness. In addition, even if she is quite she can stay. How can she stay, if we assume שתיקה כהודאה? If she is agreeing with the second witness that her first husband is alive than she is still an אשת איש.
- 6. Further to the above, the גמרא says that every case where the תורה believed one witness, he is believed like 2 witnesses. How can we say that the תורה believed him if the whole concept of משום עיגונא הקילו רבנן is משום עיגונא, which clearly means that its not דאוריתא.

If you have any comments or suggestions please email me at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com

לע"נ אבי מורי הרב יעקב בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל לע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל