

POINTS TO PONDER

- 1. The גמרא says that if עדים come while she is in her father-in-law's house and testify that she was she gets סקילה פתח בית אביה than adds the following: כלומר ראו גידולים than adds the following: סקילה פתח בית אביה add this reasoning? It is not in the פסוקים and we don't find the גמרא giving a reason for similar punishments.
- 2. The עדים says that if the מזנה come while she in her parents home that she was מרא while living at home, she get סקילה על פתח שער העיר. Since the point of doing it in her parents house is ראו גידולתם, why is this case different than the earlier case, when the witnesses came when she was מינה ממיה?
- 3. The גמרא says מראה ולבסוף בגרה תידון בחנק since the understanding is that she wasn't brought to until after she became a בוגרת, why does it say ולבסוף בגרה? Every נערה becomes a בוגרת, so the point is NOT that she became a בית דין but rather that she wasn't brought to בית דין earlier, and this is what the גמרא should have said.
- 4. רבא says that חידוש is a חידוש and we can't learn from it to other areas. Since it's a חידוש shouldn't we limit it to the case of אין לך בו אלא חידושו?
- 5. Further to the above, according to בוגרת that the מוציא שם רע of מוציא שם רע extends to a בוגרת, why doesn't she get סקילה at her parents' house?

לע"נ אבי מורי הרב יעקב בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל לע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email me at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com