

## **POINTS TO PONDER**

- 1. The משנה tells us that there were two ירושלים in ירושלים. What is the objective of telling us this fact?
- 2. Further to the above, the משנה lists אדמון first, but then describes what חנן did before אדמון, why is the order reversed?
- 3. The בהמה (according to רש"י) writes that if a בהמה destroys someone's sapling the דייני גזירות etc. Why did the שבירושלים said that if it's one year old he pays שני כסף etc. Why did the אבירושלים cite this example? Isn't this a regular case of מזיק that should be treated like any instance of damage caused by one's animal.
- 4. ומתפרנסין הימנו because they were not busy in their work. Why didn't he simply say that this was their compensation for being .
- 5. שלא תחייבני אם זכאי אני writes שלא תחייבני שוחדא, why did he pick this example which unusual, as opposed to saying, please make sure that I prevail even if I am wrong?
- 6. The איסור says that קרנא would be violating the איסור of שוחד if he took money from both parties. What is the concern if both parties pay him the same amount? He has no bias to one versus the other?
- 7. The ראשית הגז says that ראשית הגז received ראשית הגז from someone who had a ראשית המז says that ראשית הגז received ראשית הגז from someone who had a ראשית and wanted to use him as a דיין. He refused and still found himself thinking about this man's claims. He then said "I who didn't receive anything and if I did it was mine..". Since the ישראל to any כמון some the נאשית הגז and choose to give it to him, than he did receive something tangible. In fact the ממון sound choose to give it to him, than he did receive something tangible.

## בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל ע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email Rabbi Grunhaus at <a href="mailto:Ygrunhaus@gmail.com">Ygrunhaus@gmail.com</a>