

## **POINTS TO PONDER**

- 1. The רב ענן says that רב נחמן took the case of the fellow sent to him by רב נחמן before taking the case of the יתומים. The אליהו was wrong in this story and ענן stopped seeing him as a result. However it seems like רב נחמן isn't blamed for his actions. If prioritizing a תלמיד חכם will cause the other side to get confused and lose his claims, how come רב נחמן wasn't concerned about this possibility?
- 2. The רב יוסף says that in the days of רב יוסף there was ריתחא and the חכמים asked חכמים to daven. He refuses and made a אלישע from אלישע. What is the proper response when we are faced with רמב״ם for example writes that it's a מצוה to cry out in the time of a series?
- 3. רש״י ד״ה המגדלות בניהן writes that at some point they were מזלזל לעשותה בטבול יום. What is radding with these facts?
- 4. The אמוראים quotes various גמרא who each named a different group that received payment from תרומת הלשכה. Are they arguing with each other, meaning that each said that one group did while the other didn't?
- 5. רש"י ד"ה מגיהי ספרים writes that because the חכמים saw that people were lax in checking the פרים the were מפקיר these funds for this purpose. How is this different from what the גמרא says later, that לב בית דין מתנה עליהם?
- 6. מזבח החיצון writes that the מזבח החיצון was made of stones. Why is this relevant? Would the מזבח אדמה be different in the מזבח אדמה?

בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל ע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email Rabbi Grunhaus at <a href="mailto:Ygrunhaus@gmail.com">Ygrunhaus@gmail.com</a>