

## THIS WEEK'S DAF IS DEDICATED L'REFUAH SHLAIMA חיים אברהם אדם בן אביגיל and חיים אברהם אדם בן אביגיל

## POINTS TO PONDER

- 1. The אסור says that if a person says מדרני הימך both he and the other party are אסור. How does one person obligate or cause an איסור on someone else? We know for example that אין אדם אוסר דבר שאינו שלו which means that one person can't for example make someone else's property הקדש.
- 2. The ידים שאינן מוכיחות which means that it's not 100% clear what he meant. Would we say in such a case?
- 3. Further to the above, why don't we ask him to clarify what he meant? Would he be נאמן?
- 4. The גמרא wants to bring a proof from גיטין regarding ידים שאינם שאינם שאינם נדרים. Since in נדרים we have a special that ידים that ידים help, how can we compare it to גיטין where we have no such דרשה?
- 5. אבי says that by a גע we don't need ידים מוכיחות because a person doesn't divorce someone else's wife. Why is this reason necessary? We already know that a גע has to have both names and must be written אשמה, so obviously it's this husband divorcing his own wife.
- 6. Further to the above, since the discussion is about whether these words are clear, and if they are not it's a wording problem which ידים doesn't solve, how does a side point help? The words are still vague.

## בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל ע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email Rabbi Grunhaus at <a href="mailto:Ygrunhaus@gmail.com">Ygrunhaus@gmail.com</a>