

שבת קודש פרשת מקץ | מסכת נדרים דף ט'

INSIGHTS FROM OUR CHABUROS

Do the upstanding (כשרים)
ever utter oaths?

כנדרי כשרים לא אמר כלום

he Shita Mikubetzes writes in the name of ימב" that upstanding persons are those who are God-fearing and are therefore careful that no mishaps or misdeeds come about to themselves or to others. They do not accept upon themselves a commitment of nazir, because this condition demands that a person conduct himself with great care and avoid situations which are difficult to maintain. They also do not make declarations for tzeddakah or to bring offerings, whether from a personal perspective ("I will bring this animal...") nor in terms of the object ("This animal will be brought as an offering."). This honorable person is cautious not to declare promises that might not be fulfilled. When he wants to give tzeddakah or to offer a קרבן, he gives it without any prior statements announcing his intent. He is also careful not to pronounce an oath.

Therefore, when anyone says he will honor an oath "according to the manner of the משרים, "in effect, he has declared that the oath is void, as the כשרים do not make these type of statements. Rambam writes (Hilchos Nedarim 1:26) that upstanding individuals do not take oaths to prohibit things or out of anger. Lechem Mishnah explains that Rambam means to say that a שוו will utter an oath when his intent is to focus his actions (for a mitzvah) or in order to correct his ways, as in these situations an oath can be constructive and helpful. Rashba cites the Midrash (Bereshis 70:1) which teaches that if a person finds himself in a crisis, he should pronounce an oath, as Yaakov Avinu did when he was about to sojourn in the house of Lavan. Why, then, does our Mishnah tell us that משרים never utter oaths?

Rashba answers that Yaakov's oath was not a formal acceptance of a vow, but rather an expression of thanks and appreciation. Yaakov expressed his trust and confidence that Hashem would protect him and return him safely to Eretz Yisroel.

Tosafos in Chullin (ב: ד״ה אבל) writes that it is laudable to make an oath in a time of crisis. explains that when a person finds himself in a predicament, he can add to his merits by promising to do a mitzvah. Otherwise, if a person is able to do the mitzvah immediately, a verbal promise is usually avoided by a כשר.

POINT TO PONDER

The Gemara says that רבי שמעון הצדיס never ate from a קרבן נזיר טמא, except for one case when a נזיר came from the "דרום" and רבי שמעון asked him why he became a גמרא. Why is the גמרא mentioning that he came from the דרום? What difference does it make that he came from a specific area.

Response to last week's Point to Ponder:

The Gemara discusses whether a person can make a מצוה. Assuming that he can't because he is already גמרא, the גמרא, the גמרא suggests that he can still do so as a motivation. If the נדר is not binding, how does it motivate him?

The ס"ס (קצות החושן סימן עג' ס"ה proves from this question that there is a איסור in this שבועה. Even though there is no קרבן and מלקות there is still an איסור.

STORIES OF THE DAF

The Nazir's resolve

העבודה שאגלך לשמים

av Doniel Movshovitz, Hy"d, the Rosh Talmid Torah of Kelm, would give very powerful shmuessen. His words would literally pierce the heart of his listeners and inspired them to strive to reach great spiritual heights. Rav Simcha Zissel Broide, zt"l, the Rosh Yeshivah of Chevron, would repeat one particular lesson virtually every year. This was not a simple lecture. It had made a very powerful impression on him during his younger years that lasted his entire life.

Rav Broide would recount, "The Gemara in Nedarim 9b relates that Ray Shimon HaTzaddik never ate from the asham of a defiled nazir except on one particular occasion. He saw a Nazir with luxuriant hair and asked him what had caused him to become a nazir and commit to shave his hair at the end of his period of nezirus? The man responded that he was once walking along and noticed his handsome reflection in a pool of water. Immediately, his yetzer tried to make him feel pride in his comeliness. He said to his yetzer, 'Wicked one! Why should you be happy with a world which is not really yours, housed in a body that is destined to be devoured by worms? Ha'avodah! I will cut you off for the sake of Heaven!'

Rav Broide continued, "Now, what made this nazir so much greater than so many others? Rav Doniel compared this to a king who saw a bunch of children playing a certain game which the king had always enjoyed as a child. Seeing them made him feel nostalgic and gave him much pleasure, but those feelings would never impel him to lower himself into the mud and play with them. Why not? Because romping in the mud would be completely below him! It would be unthinkable. So too, our nazir did not hesitate for a second to remove the object of pride that could impede his service of Hashem. It was this razor-sharp sensitivity to any threat to his spiritual life and his iron resolve to remove it that set him apart from all others. He would go to any lengths to prevent failure!"

HALACHA Kissing a **HIGHLIGHT**

Rebbi in shul

מיד עמדתי ונשקתיו על ראשו

I immediately stood and kissed him on his head

ema¹ rules that it is prohibited for a man to kiss his young children in shul. The reasoning behind this restriction is to Vinstill in one's heart that there is no love that compares to the love one is to have for Hashem. Rav Moshe Feinstein² suggested an interesting interpretation of this halacha. Rav Feinstein maintains that the restriction against kissing a child in shul only applies during davening, but it is permitted to kiss a child in shul if it is not during tefilah. To prove his point he notes that Rema cites this halacha in the section of laws related to davening rather than in the section that relates to halachos of shul. He also cites our Gemara as proof to this assertion. The Gemara relates that Shimon Hatzadik became so excited when he spoke with one particular nazir that he stood up and kissed him on the head. It is clear that the incident took place in the Beis Hamikdash, which serves as the model for the halachos related to the sanctity of a Beis Haknesses and Shimon Hatzadik still felt comfortable kissing him there. This clearly indicates that the restriction is not related specifically to the place, whether the Beis Hamikdash or a Beis Haknesses, but rather it is related to what is happening in that place.

Teshuvas Beis Yisroel³ questions the practice found in some communities of students kissing their Rebbi in shul since it seems inconsistent with Rema's ruling. He suggests that there is a distinction between a kiss of honor and a kiss of love. The restriction of the Rema is limited to cases where the kiss demonstrates love but if the kiss is to show honor, especially from a child to a parent or a student to a teacher, it is permitted because such a kiss does not contradict the love one has for Hashem. Using a similar approach, Rav Yosef Chaim of Baghdad⁴, the Ben Ish Chai, discusses the practice of kissing the hand of others after they are called to the Torah. He distinguishes between those people one is obligated to honor and those that one is not obligated to honor. It is permitted to kiss the hand of those one is obligated to honor since honoring those people also gives honor to Hashem but it is prohibited to kiss the hands of people one is not obligated to honor since it is inappropriate to give honor to others in a place dedicated to the honor of Hashem.

> 'א או"ח סי'צ"ח סע א 1. רמ"א או"ח 2. מובא דבריו בספר ברכת חנוך סי' ג' 'ט'ט ח"א סי'ט. מי'ט מי'ט. בית ישראל (לאנדא) 4. בן איש חי ש"ר פרשת ויקרא סע 'י"א

MUSSAR FROM THE DAF

Seeing yourself as a pure Neshama

he Gemara tells a story regarding Shimeon Hatzadik. He says that he never ate the אשם נזיר טמא except for one time. A handsome nazir came and I asked him why he made a vow that would destroy his beautiful hair. He explained to me that he once looked at his reflection and his yetzer hara tried to overtake him. He then spoke to his yetzer and asked the yetzer why he takes such pride in a world that is so temporary? To counteract his yetzer he became a nazir. Shimeon Hatzadik proceeded to kiss this nazir on his head. What was so unique about this nazir that Shimon Hatzadik made an exception and ate his כרבו?

Rav Hutner in Pachad Yitzchak on Chanukah (מאמר) explains that a person sometimes has difficulty doing teshuva because he views himself as a בעל עבירה. However, when a person views themselves as a pure neshama and the yetzer hara, as a seperate entity, the person is able to disassociate himself from the pull of the yetzer hara. He is then able to break away from the actions which were caused by the yetzer hara This is what this nazir was able to do. He spoke to the yetzer hara, recognizing that it wasn't part of his identity. Rather, it was a separate force which the nazir was able to break free from.

Often times, one has difficulty freeing one's self from the actions which the yetzer hara causes. However we see from the nazir in our Gemara that if one views the pull of the yetzer hara as a separate force, he can now choose not to listen to this outside voice

CHANUKAH CONNECTION

In this week's daf the Mishna writes כנדרי **רשעים**. The word **רשעים** is said every time that we recite על הניסים to describe the Greeks who the חשמונאים fought and defeated to end their rule in ארץ ישראל. The actual reads as follows: נוסח reads as follows: מסרת גבורים ביד חלשים ורבים ביד מעטים וטמאים ביד טהורים ורשעים ביד צדיקים". It is easy to understand the miracles described in first two statements, the strong in the hands of the weak and the many in the hands of the few, but how do we understand the wicked in the hands of the righteous?

רב אלחנן וסרמן ה"יד explains that the רשעים don't have any constraints when they fight, whereas the צדיקים because of their righteous character will refrain from taking certain actions when fighting, putting them at a disadvantage. It is therefore a miracle that they defeated the רשעים. The statement of טמאים is also difficult to understand. What is the miracle that someone who is טהור defeated someone טהור? The חשמונאים who fought the Greeks, were כוהנים and in a normal battlefield situation they would become טמא when they killed גויים because the sword which is used to kill makes the holder of the sword a טמא מת. The miracle that transpired for them was that the Greek soldiers didn't die right away, and therefore the חשמונאים had time to withdraw the sword before it became טמא, leaving the חשמונאים טהור. (Similar to the סן that פנחס experienced when killing זימרי and כזבי.) This is the miracle that is being described in the statement טמאים ביד טהורים. (This answer is quoted in the name of רב יוסף חיים זוננפלד).

For more points to ponder by Rabbi Yechiel Grunhaus, or insights by Rabbi Yitzchok Gutterman, please visit our website, dafaweek.org, or download the app To share an insight from your Chabura please email info@dafaweek.org

The shavua matters is published by the Daf a week program under the rabbinical guidance of Harav Meir Stern shlita and Harav Shmuel Kamenetsky shlita