

POINTS TO PONDER

- 1. The איסור says that חידוש is a פיגול ונותר because it can be understood to mean the איסור of which is not חבר הנדור. Why don't we say סתם נדרים להחמיר? The משנה דף יח ע"ב iists very similar situations where we don't know if he meant one thing or another, and concludes that we assume להחמיר. Why is this case different?
- 2. The איזהו איסור האמור בתורה, שלא אוכל בשר וכו why is this call "איסור האמור בתורה"? Fasting is only a דרבנן?
- 3. Further the above, if he says "שלא אוכל" that is a שבועה which doesn't need to be dependent on דבר הנדור?
- 4. The גמרא discusses what is his intention when he says כיום שמת בו אביו. Isn't obvious that he means a נדר that he made when his father died? otherwise what is the point of his statement?
- 5. The רא"ש on the words כחלת אהרן תרומתו writes that even though it becomes, מותר with his words (הפרשת חלה) it was actually אסור before as טבל and his words made the "rest".
 Doesn't the rest become מותר automatically and it was never אסור? Especially according to who describes חולין וקודש as טבל mixed together? His action didn't cause a change in the "rest".
- 6. The מפריש, says that it is talking about a case whereby he was מפריש. How can he do a הפרשה before the breads become "״קדוש״?

בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל ע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email Rabbi Grunhaus at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com