

POINTS TO PONDER

THIS WEEK'S DAF IS DEDICATED L'ZECHER NISHMAS שעפטיל יקותיאל בן חיים הלל

- 1. The ממרא says that we need 2 פסוקים, one is to exempt him from the לאו and the other to exempt him from a קרבן. Since what triggers the obligation to bring a קרבן is the לאו and we have a פסוק that the לאו doesn't apply, why would we need another פסוק?
- 2. The משנה says "יש נדר בתוך נדר" the example cited in the משנה is of someone who makes a to become an נדר and then make another נדר to become a נדר. In reality its a נדר of a בתוך and should be described as נדר חל על נדר. Why does the משנה called it "בתוך"
- 3. According to משנה, is talking about a case of הריני נזיר היום הריני is separate. משנה who says that the הריני נזיר היום הריני is separate.
- 4. The אין שבועה agives an example of אין שבועה בתוך שבועה whereby he made one שבועה not to eat figs and another not to eat grapes. Why did it choose an example which is completely different from the משנה? It should have used an example of 2 days, I won't eat figs today and I won't eat figs tomorrow.
- 5. Further to the above, why is this a שבועה בתוך שבועה? They are totally independent of each other.
- 6. The אמרא cites an example of someone making a שבועה not to eat grapes and figs, he then eats grapes and is קרבן. If he now would eat grapes it would be חצי שיעור. What's the significance of him being קרבן a מפריש? It seems like the point could have been made without it.

בן ר׳ קיים משה יצחק ז"ל ע״נ הרב צבי ליפא בן יחיאל ישראל זצ״ל

If you have any comments or suggestions, please email Rabbi Grunhaus at Ygrunhaus@gmail.com