

Profiling the Prostate Cancer Epigenome Using Circulating Nucleosomes in Plasma

Joonatan Sipola¹, Asli D. Munzur², Edmond M. Kwan^{2,3,4}, Clara C. Y. Seo⁵, Benjamin J. Hauk⁵, Karan Parekh², Yi Jou (Ruby) Liao², Cecily Q. Bernales², Gráinne Donnellan², Ingrid Bloise^{6,7}, Emily Fung⁸, Sarah W. S. Ng², Gang Wang⁸, Gillian Vandekerckhove^{2,3}, Matti Nykter¹, Matti Annala¹, Corinne Maurice-Dror³, Kim N. Chi^{2,3}, Cameron Herberts², Alexander W. Wyatt^{2,9}, David Y. Takeda⁵

¹Prostate Cancer Research Center, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University and Tays Cancer Center, Tampere, Finland; ²Vancouver Prostate Centre, Department of Urologic Sciences, University of British Columbia, British Columbia, Canada; ³Department of Medical Oncology, BC Cancer, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ⁴Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences; Monash University; Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁵Laboratory of Genitourinary Cancer Pathogenesis, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States; ⁶Instituto Brasileiro de Controle ao Cancer, Sao Paulo, Brazil; ⁷Department of Molecular Oncology, BC Cancer Research Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ⁸Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ⁹Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre, BC Cancer, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Background: The prostate cancer epigenome undergoes dramatic reprogramming during tumorigenesis and treatment resistance. Despite the androgen receptor (AR) being expressed in both normal prostate and prostate cancer, the genomic occupancy of AR is altered in cancer to induce oncogenic transcriptional programs. Similarly, the enhancer landscape is reshaped in response to treatment to activate dormant fetal prostate transcriptional programs that drive resistance. These mechanistic insights could not have been elucidated through genomic or transcriptomic approaches alone and relied on profiling primary patient samples. However, performing comprehensive epigenetic profiling in patients with advanced disease has been limited by access to adequate biopsy specimens. Although circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) provides a noninvasive method to identify genetic alterations, we investigated whether ctDNA could detect epigenetic reprogramming using cell free chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (cfChIP-seq).

Methods: We performed cfChIP-seq for histone marks on 71 plasma samples from 46 patients including 34 patients with metastatic prostate cancer. A subset of patients provided serial samples to allow evaluation of temporal changes during progression. Samples also underwent whole genome sequencing for mutation and structural variant annotation. Radiographic and clinical findings were assessed at the time of collection.

Results: cfChIP-seq captures prostate cancer-specific regulatory elements, identifies epigenetic subtypes of mCRPC, and stratifies patients based on genomic and clinical correlates. Inferred transcription factor activity based on binding motif enrichment within regulatory elements confirm expected drivers such as AR and FOXA1 in adenocarcinoma and ASCL1 and EZH2 in neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC). Using samples collected from serial time points, we observe reprogramming of the epigenome associated with treatment emergent NEPC. We also provide a framework to analyze cfChIP-seq results accounting for the highly variable tumor fraction that contributes to background signal.

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that cfChIP-seq captures clinically relevant epigenetic alterations in advanced prostate cancers and is a powerful complement to current cell free DNA methods to identify dynamic changes in the epigenome during prostate cancer progression.

Funding Acknowledgements:

This work was funded by the Terry Fox New Frontiers Program Project Grant, Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, BC Cancer Foundation, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Prostate Cancer Foundation, Canadian Cancer Society, Jane and Aatos Erkkö Foundation, and the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence programme (project no. 312043), Finnish Foundation for Technology Promotion, Cancer Foundation Finland, Ida Montin Foundation and the Vilho, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Foundation.

Conflicts of Interest Disclosure Statement:

The authors declare the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.