Baseline ctDNA analyses and associations with outcomes in taxane-naive patients with mCRPC treated with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 versus change of ARPI in PSMAfore

Johann S de Bono,¹ Michael J Morris,² Oliver Sartor,³ <u>Xiao X Wei,</u>⁴ Karim Fizazi,⁵ Ken Herrmann,⁶ Josep M Piulats,⁷ Hakim Mahammedi,⁸ Christopher Logothetis,⁹ Daniel George,¹⁰ Lamis Eldjerou,¹¹ Connie C Wong,¹² Louise Barys,¹³ Nisha Rajagopal,¹² Theodoulos Rodosthenous,¹⁴ Kim N Chi¹⁵

¹The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK
²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA
³Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA
⁵Gustave Roussy Institute, Paris-Saclay University, Villejuif, France
⁶University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany
⁷Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain
⁸Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont-Ferrand, France
⁹University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA
¹⁰Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA
¹¹Formerly of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA
¹²Novartis Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland
¹⁴Novartis Pharmaceuticals UK, London, UK
¹⁵British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Background

[¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 (¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617) prolonged radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS) versus androgen receptor pathway inhibitor (ARPI) change in taxane-naive patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) in PSMAfore (NCT04689828). In this exploratory analysis, associations between baseline circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and outcomes were assessed.

Methods

Patients were randomized 1:1 to ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 (7.4 GBq Q6W; 6 cycles) or ARPI change (abiraterone/enzalutamide). Patients known to have actionable mutations (e.g. *BRCA*) were excluded. The primary endpoint was rPFS. Baseline plasma ctDNA was analyzed using a customized 585-gene sequencing assay. ctDNA fraction was assessed in all samples passing quality control. Alterations in key prostate cancer drivers (prevalent in >10% participants) were assessed in samples with ctDNA fraction >1%. Univariate Cox regression (reference: ARPI change) was used to assess association of ctDNA fraction or alterations with rPFS, prostate-specific antigen response (\geq 50% decline; PSA50) and RECIST response (RR) at the June 21, 2023 data cutoff.

Results

Of 360 samples from 468 patients, 255 passed quality control and 156 had ctDNA fraction >1% (median 5.85%; range 0–85). Detection of ctDNA alterations was comparable between arms and with published data. Median rPFS was shorter for patients with ctDNA fraction > versus $\leq 1\%$ (HR 2.753; 95% CI 1.957–3.872; p<0.0001) (**Table**); ctDNA fraction >1% was also associated with worse RR and PSA50 response. Median rPFS was shorter for patients with detected versus undetected *AR* (HR 1.954; 95% CI 1.333–2.865; p<0.001), *TP53* (1.655; 1.13–2.426; p<0.01) and *PTEN* (1.62; 1.018–2.578; p<0.05) alterations. Median rPFS was longer with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 versus ARPI change in patients with detected *AR*, *TP53*, *PTEN* (**Table**), PI3K pathway and DNA repair pathway alterations. There was no significant association between ctDNA alterations and PSA50 or RR.

Conclusions

ctDNA fraction >1% and *AR*, *TP53* and *PTEN* alterations were associated with worse outcomes in PSMAfore regardless of treatment. Nonetheless, patients with these negative prognostic biomarkers did better with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 than with ARPI change.

Table

	¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA- 617 Median rPFS, mos (95% CI)	ARPI change	¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA- 617 PSA50 non- responders (<50% decline), n	ARPI change	¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA- 617 RR non- responders, n	ARPI change
ctDNA fraction > v ≤1%	N=120	N=153	N=49	N=88	N=28	N=52
	7.9 (5.8–11.3) v 17.1 (11.5–NE)	2.4 (2.3–4.2) v 6.0 (5.6–13.7)	32 v 17	53 v 35	20 v 8	35 v 17
Alteration detected v undetected	N=74	N=82	N=32	N=53	N=20	N=35
AR	5.0 (2.7–8.6) v 11.6 (6.2–NE)	2.3 (2.1–5.6) v 2.7 (2.2–6.0)	13 v 19	20 v 33	9 v 11	15 v 20
TP53	6.1 (3.1–9.3) v 9.2 (6.2–NE)	2.4 (2.2–4.3) v 2.7 (2.3–5.8)	12 v 20	22 v 31	8 v 12	15 v 20
PTEN	3.6 (2.5–NE) v 7.9 (6.1–11.6)	2.1 (2.0–NE) v 3.1 (2.3–5.8)	7 v 25	10 v 43	4 v 16	8 v 27

DCO Jun 2023

 \odot 2024 American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. Reused with permission. This abstract was accepted and previously presented at the 2024 ASCO Annual Meeting. All rights reserved.

Funding: Novartis

Disclosures: Novartis research grants or contracts DG, KH, KC, MM, OS; consulting fees XW, JP, KH, KC, OS; honoraria for speaking, writing, or educational events HM; support for meeting attendance/travel HM; participation in advisory board or data safety monitoring board JdB, KF; employment TR, LE, CW, LB, NR (Syros Pharmaceuticals).