

A randomised phase 3 evaluation of transdermal oestradiol (tE2) versus luteinising hormone releasing hormone agonists (LHRHa) for androgen suppression in non-metastatic (M0) prostate cancer; efficacy results from the PATCH and STAMPEDE trials.

Ruth E. Langley¹, Matthew Nankivell¹, Duncan C Gilbert¹, Elli Bourmpaki¹, Stephen Mangar², Hannah L. Rush¹, Stuart D. Rosen³, Abdullah Alhasso⁴, Roger Kockelbergh⁵, John Marshall¹, Anna Griffiths¹, Kitty Hiu Yu Chan¹, Wael M. Mohamed⁶, Nicola L. Dallas⁷, Simon J. Brown⁸, Ashwin Sachdeva^{9,10}, Noel Clarke⁹, Nicholas D. James¹¹, Mahesh K. Parmar¹

Affiliations:

1. MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials and Methodology, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ, UK.
2. Charing Cross Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK.
3. National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK.
4. Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, Glasgow, UK.
5. Department of Urology, University Hospitals of Leicester, Leicester, UK.
6. Singleton Hospital, Sketty Lane, Sketty, Swansea SA2 8QA, UK.
7. Wexham Park Hospital, Wexham St, Slough SL2 4HL, UK.
8. Airedale General Hospital, Skipton Rd, Steeton, Keighley BD20 6TD
9. The Christie and Salford Royal Hospitals, Manchester, UK
10. Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.
11. Institute of Cancer Research, 15 Cotswold Road, Sutton, SM2 5NG, UK.

Background: Androgen deprivation is a key component in the management of patients with prostate cancer, usually achieved using LHRH analogues (LHRHa). As an alternative, transdermal estradiol (tE2) lowers testosterone more rapidly, avoiding side-effects attributable to loss of estragens, maintains bone mineral density, and improves metabolic outcomes and quality of life (QL). Transdermal administration avoids the cardiovascular (CVS) toxicity of oral oestrogen.

Methods: Open-label, randomised phase 3, non-inferiority (NI) comparison of LHRHa v tE2 patches with oncological efficacy outcome measures. Eligibility: histologically confirmed newly diagnosed high-risk M0 [locally advanced or node positive (+)] prostate cancer or those relapsing with PSA \geq 4ng/ml and doubling in <6 months, PSA \geq 20ng/ml or N+. Treatment: standard LHRHa v tE2 100mcg/24h patches changed twice weekly for \geq 2 years, (prostate radiotherapy and docetaxel permitted). Primary outcome: metastasis-free survival (MFS) defined as time from randomisation to metastatic disease or death from any cause, designed to exclude >4% reduction in 3-year MFS (85% power, 1-sided 5% α). Secondary outcomes: overall survival (OS), castration rates and toxicity.

Results: 1360 men, [639 LHRHa 721 tE2 (randomisation ratio 1:2 then 1:1)] were recruited from PATCH (NCT00303784, n=1082) and STAMPEDE (NCT00268476, n=278) trial sites between 2007-2022. Baseline characteristics were well-balanced between randomised groups. The 3-year MFS in patients randomised to LHRHa was 86% (giving a target NI hazard ratio (HR) of 1.31). Patients randomised to tE2 had a 3-year MFS of 87% HR 0.96 (95% CI 0.81-1.14), excluding a 2% reduction in MFS in favour of tE2. OS HR was 0.89 (CI 0.74-1.07) in favour of tE2. Prostate cancer, CVS and 2nd malignancy deaths were similar between randomised groups. Sustained castration rates (testosterone \leq 1.7nmol/L over 1 year (n=1066), with tE2 use (confirmed oestradiol \geq 250 pmol/L), were 85% in both arms. LHRHa v tE2 any grade: gynaecomastia was 42% v 85%, and hot flushes 89% v 44%.

Conclusion: In the treatment of men with locally advanced prostate cancer, outcomes are non-inferior when tE2 as opposed to LHRHa is used as ADT; this approach should be considered a standard of care. tE2 provides men with choice about expected side-effects and route of administration.

Funding Acknowledgements: The PATCH and STAMPEDE trials are funded by Cancer Research UK (CRUK_A12459 and A12443) and the UK Medical Research Council (MRC_MC_UU_12023/25 and MC_UU_00004/01). AS is funded by a PCF-JBCF YIA. The authors declare no relevant conflicts of interest.