

Convergent evolution of complex structural variants drives therapy resistance in metastatic prostate cancer

Thaidy Moreno¹, Meng Zhang², Arian Lundberg², Raunak Shrestha², Martin Sjöström², Anupama Pasam^{3,4}, Joanna Chan^{3,4}, Adam Foye⁵, Alana S. Weinstein², Anna Trigos^{3,4}, Alexander W. Wyatt⁶, Joshi J. Alumkal⁷, Eric J. Small⁵, Rahul Aggarwal⁵, Scott Dehm^{8,9,10}, George Zhao^{11,12,13}, Mathieu Lupien^{14,15}, Felix Feng^{1,5,16,17}, Shahneen Sandhu^{3,4*}, David Quigley^{1,17,18*}

AFFILIATIONS

- 1 Department of Urology, UCSF;
- 2 Department of Radiation Oncology, UCSF;
- 3 Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, University of Melbourne;
- 4 Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, University of Melbourne.
- 5 Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, UCSF;
- 6 Vancouver Prostate Centre ;
- 7 Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center
- 8 Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota;
- 9 Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology;
- 10 Department of Urology, University of Minnesota;
- 11 Department of Human Oncology, University of Wisconsin;
- 12 Carbone Cancer Center, University of Wisconsin;
- 13 William S. Middleton Veterans Hospital, Madison;
- 14 Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto;
- 15 Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto;
- 16 Department of Radiation Oncology, UCSF;
- 17 Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, UCSF;
- 18 Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, UCSF;
- * co-Senior authors

BACKGROUND

Targeted therapy prolongs the lives of men with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), but mCRPC is ultimately lethal. DNA copy gains that amplify the Androgen Receptor gene locus are a key driver of resistance to targeted therapy in mCRPC. Our group has recently shown that extra-chromosomal DNA (ecDNA) frequently drives this amplification. We hypothesized that ecDNA and other complex structural variants (cSV) also affect other drivers of therapy resistance and continue to evolve during over time.

METHODS

To test this hypothesis we reconstructed cSV profiles in 192 mCRPC tumors using transcriptome, deep whole genome, and Hi-C sequencing.

RESULTS

We identified extra-chromosomal DNA (ecDNA) in more than half of mCRPC biopsies and show it frequently amplifies both driver genes such as *AR* and *MYC* and their non-coding enhancers. The presence of ecDNA was significantly associated with whole genome doubling, chromothripsis, and with inactivating *TP53* alterations. Deep WGS analysis of 53 rapid autopsy samples showed cSV amplifying *AR* can arise independently within distinct tumors in a single patient. Phylogenetic analysis of tumor evolution implicates these cSV as an early event during metastatic spread. Paired analysis of mCRPC as patients developed resistance to AR signaling inhibitor (ARSI) therapy demonstrated cSV evolve in response to ARSI and can be detected in both solid and liquid biopsies.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that cSV, particularly ecDNA, are a pervasive contributor to intra-patient heterogeneity in late-stage mCRPC and a key driver of targeted therapy resistance.

FUNDING ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

D.A. Quigley and F.Y. Feng acknowledge funding from the UCSF Benioff Initiative for Prostate Cancer Research and the Prostate Cancer Foundation. D.A. Quigley was funded by a Department of Defense Data Science Award. D.A. Quigley, R.R. Aggarwal, M. Sjöström, R. Shrestha, and A. Trigos were funded by Prostate Cancer Foundation Young Investigator Awards. J. Alumkal acknowledges funding from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) R01 CA251245. This research was supported by a Stand Up To Cancer-Prostate Cancer Foundation Prostate Cancer Dream Team Award (SU2C-AACR-DT0812 to EJS) and by the Movember Foundation. Stand Up To Cancer is a division of the Entertainment Industry Foundation. This research grant was administered by the American Association for Cancer Research, the scientific partner of SU2C.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

J Alumkal has received research support to his institution from Zenith Epigenetics and Beactica. A. Foye reports personal fees from Varian Medical Systems outside the submitted work. R.R. Aggarwal reports grants from Janssen, Amgen, Zenith Epigenetics, and Xynomic Pharmaceuticals; grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Merck, and Novartis; personal fees from Dendreon, Elsevier, Exelixis, Jubilant Therapeutics, Bayer, Pfizer, and Alessa Therapeutics outside the submitted work. E.J. Small reports other support from Fortis, Harpoon, Teon, Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, and Ultragenyx during the conduct of the study; other support from Fortis, Harpoon, Teon, Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, and Ultragenyx outside the submitted work. F.Y. Feng reports personal fees from Janssen Oncology, Bayer, PFS Genomics, Myovant Sciences, Roivant Sciences, Astellas Pharma, Foundation Medicine, Varian, Bristol Myers Squibb (BMS), Exact Sciences, BlueStar Genomics, Novartis, and Tempus; other support from Serimmune and Artera outside the submitted work.