## Identification and therapeutic target of myeloid-mediated mechanisms of immunotherapy resistance in prostate cancer

<u>Aram Lyu,<sup>1,2,3</sup></u> Zenghua Fan,<sup>1</sup> Matt Clark,<sup>1</sup> Vibha Gurunathan,<sup>1</sup> Joey Lea,<sup>1</sup> Diamond Luong,<sup>1</sup> Ali Setayesh,<sup>1</sup> Alec Starzinski,<sup>1</sup> Rachel Wolters,<sup>1</sup> Marcel Arias-Badia,<sup>1</sup> Kathryn Allaire,<sup>1</sup> Kai Wu,<sup>1</sup> Laura Valderrábano,<sup>4,5</sup> Richard A. Miller,<sup>6</sup> Eliezer M. Van Allen,<sup>2,4,5</sup> Lawrence Fong<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy, San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Translational Science and Therapeutics, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>5</sup>Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>Corvus Pharmaceuticals, Burlingame, CA, USA

**Background**: Patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) are generally resistant to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs)[1,2] due in part to the presence of immunosuppressive myeloid cells within tumors.[3] CSF1R blockade and other broad targeting approaches have faced clinical challenges[4–6] due to the heterogeneous nature of myeloid cells. Therefore, we hypothesize that a comprehensive understanding of distinct immunosuppressive myeloid subsets and their molecular mechanisms at the single-cell level is essential for enhancing treatment efficacy.

**Methods**: We performed single-cell analysis of patient biopsies from various stages, including localized disease, metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer, or mCRPC. These findings were reverse-translated into a syngeneic mouse model of prostate cancer, where we performed multi-omic single-cell profiling, functional assays, tumor efficacy tests, and mechanistic studies.

Results: Single-cell analysis of patient biopsies identified a distinct subset of tumor-associated macrophages expressing elevated SPP1 transcripts (SPP1<sup>hi</sup>-TAMs), which were more abundant in mCRPC compared to earlier stages. These macrophages exhibited elevated immunosuppressive molecular programs[7–9] and significantly lower CSF1R transcript levels than other macrophages, potentially explaining the limited clinical efficacy of CSF1R inhibition. Multi-omic profiling of the mouse model revealed an analogous macrophage subset that suppressed CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell activity in co-culture. Adoptive transfer of Spp1<sup>hi</sup>-TAMs into CRPC increased the frequency of exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, leading to resistance to ICIs and worsened survival outcomes. Pathway analysis identified adenosine signaling as a key mechanism of immunosuppression[10,11]. Pharmacologic inhibition of adenosine receptors with ciforadenant reduced Spp1<sup>hi</sup>-TAM-mediated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell suppression *in vitro* and improved the sensitivity of CRPC cells to PD-1 blockade in vivo. Notably, adenosine receptor blockade decreased Spp1<sup>hi</sup>-TAM prevalence, suggesting a shift toward a less immunosuppressive myeloid landscape. Moreover, combined blockade of adenosine receptors and PD-1 significantly increased the density of polyfunctional CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within the tumors. Clinical validation demonstrated that mCRPC patients receiving a combination of adenosine receptor inhibition (ciforadenant) and PD-L1 blockade (atezolizumab) exhibited improved anti-tumor efficacy compared to those on monotherapy.

**Conclusions**: Our studies reveal a significant increase in immunosuppressive *SPP1*<sup>hi</sup>-TAM prevalence as prostate cancer progresses to lethal stages. These macrophages play a critical role in resistance to ICIs through adenosine signaling. Our findings highlight the potential of targeting these cells and their signaling pathways as promising therapeutic strategies.

**Funding Acknowledgements**: This work was supported by the Prostate Cancer Foundation, the Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). L.F. was supported by the Prostate Cancer Foundation, the Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy, and NIH grants U01CA233100 and R35CA253175. E.M.V. was supported by the Prostate Cancer Foundation, the Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy, and NIH grants U01CA233100. A.L. was supported by a Parker Scholar Award from the

Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy and is a recipient of the 2024 Prostate Cancer Foundation Young Investigator Award.

**Conflicts of Interest Disclosure Statement**: L.F. has received research support from Roche/Genentech, Abbvie, Bavarian Nordic, Bristol Myers Squibb, Dendreon, Janssen, Merck and Partner Therapeutics, and has served on the scientific advisory boards of Actym, Alector, Astra Zeneca, Atreca, Bioatla, Bolt, Bristol Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Immunogenesis, Innovent, Merck, Merck KGA, Nutcracker, RAPT, Scribe, Senti, Sutro and Roche/Genentech. E.M.V. has received research support from Novartis, BMS, and Sanofi, and served on the scientific advisory boards of Tango Therapeutics, Genome Medical, Genomic Life, Enara Bio, Manifold Bio, Monte Rosa, Novartis Institute for Biomedical Research, Riva Therapeutics, and Serinus Bio. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

## **References**

1. Beer TM, Kwon ED, Drake CG, Fizazi K, Logothetis C, Gravis G, et al. Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial of Ipilimumab Versus Placebo in Asymptomatic or Minimally Symptomatic Patients With Metastatic Chemotherapy-Naive Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;35:40–7.

2. Powles T, Yuen KC, Gillessen S, Kadel EE, Rathkopf D, Matsubara N, et al. Atezolizumab with enzalutamide versus enzalutamide alone in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomized phase 3 trial. *Nat Med.* 2022;28:144–53.

3. Fares CM, Allen EMV, Drake CG, Allison JP, Hu-Lieskovan S. Mechanisms of Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Blockade: Why Does Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy Not Work for All Patients? *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book*. 2019;147–64.

4. Autio KA, Klebanoff CA, Schaer D, Kauh JS, Slovin SF, Blinder VS, et al. Phase 1 study of LY3022855, a colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor (CSF-1R) inhibitor, in patients with metastatic breast cancer (MBC) or metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (MCRPC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37:2548–2548.

5. Hamid M, Heilbrun LK, Maier J, Devisetty K, Connolly I, Kaufman I, et al. A Phase 1 study Combining Pexidartinib, Radiation Therapy, and Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men With Intermediate- and High-Risk Prostate Cancer. *Adv Radiat Oncol.* 2021;6:100679.

6. Siddiqui BA, Chapin BF, Jindal S, Duan F, Basu S, Yadav SS, et al. Immune and pathologic responses in patients with localized prostate cancer who received daratumumab (anti-CD38) or edicotinib (CSF-1R inhibitor). *J Immunother Cancer*. 2023;11:e006262.

7. Maire CL, Mohme M, Bockmayr M, Fita KD, Riecken K, Börnigen D, et al. Glioma escape signature and clonal development under immune pressure. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130:5257–71.

8. Cheng S, Li Z, Gao R, Xing B, Gao Y, Yang Y, et al. A pan-cancer single-cell transcriptional atlas of tumor infiltrating myeloid cells. *Cell*. 2021;184:792-809.e23.

9. Bi K, He MX, Bakouny Z, Kanodia A, Napolitano S, Wu J, et al. Tumor and immune reprogramming during immunotherapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2021;39:649-661.e5.

10. Sidders B, Zhang P, Goodwin K, O'Connor G, Russell DL, Borodovsky A, et al. Adenosine Signaling Is Prognostic for Cancer Outcome and Has Predictive Utility for Immunotherapeutic Response. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;26:2176–87.

11. Fong L, Hotson A, Powderly JD, Sznol M, Heist RS, Choueiri TK, et al. Adenosine 2A Receptor Blockade as an Immunotherapy for Treatment-Refractory Renal Cell Cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2020;10:40–53.