

Evaluating direct correlations between genomic classifier and digital pathology based multi-modal AI biomarkers in castration-sensitive prostate cancer: localized and oligometastatic disease spaces

Adeniyi A. Olabumuyi¹, Olivia G. Jordan², Jinhee Chang¹, Claudia Datnow-Martinez¹, Xiaolei Shi¹, Huei-Chung Huang³, Erin Stewart³, Meghan Tierney³, Amol Shetty⁴, Yang Song⁴, Alex Hakansson⁵, Yang Liu⁵, Rikiya Yamashita³, Timothy Showalter³, Matthew Ramotar⁶, Ozan Guler⁷, Loig Vaugier⁸, Audrey Blanc-Lapierre⁸, Carole Mercier⁹, Piet Dirix⁹, Ryan J. Park¹⁰, Chad Tang¹⁰, Michael Rooney¹⁰, Shalin Shah¹⁰, Lauren Mayo¹⁰, Seungtaek Choi¹⁰, Steven J. Frank¹⁰, Comron Hassanzadeh¹⁰, Karen Hoffman¹⁰, Sean McGuire¹⁰, Osama Mohamad¹⁰, Henry Mok¹⁰, Quynh-Nhu Nguyen¹⁰, Theodore DeWeese¹¹, Ana Kiess¹¹, Daniel Song¹¹, Scott E. Delacroix¹², Daniel E. Spratt¹³, Paul L. Nguyen¹⁴, Andre Esteve³, Elai Davicioni⁵, Stephane Supiot⁸, Piet Ost⁹, Cem Onal⁷, Alejandro Berlin⁶, Zaker H. Rana¹, Jason K. Molitoris¹, Mark V. Mishra¹, Young Kwok¹, Matthew Deek¹⁵, Phuoc T. Tran¹⁰, Philip Sutera¹⁶.

1. Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD
2. University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD
3. ArteraAI, Los Altos, CA
4. Institute for Genome Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD
5. Veracyte Inc., San Diego, CA
6. Radiation Medicine Program, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre - University Health Network, Ontario, Canada
7. Baskent University Adana Dr Turgut Noyan Research and Treatment Center, Adan, Turkey
8. Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut de Cancérologie de l'Ouest (ICO), Saint-Herblain, France
9. Department of Radiation Oncology, Iridium Network, Wilrijk, Belgium
10. Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX
11. Department of Radiation Oncology and Molecular Radiation Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore MD
12. Mary Bird Perkins Cancer Center and Gulf South NCORP, Metairie, LA
13. Department of Radiation Oncology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH
14. Department of Radiation Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston MA
15. Department of Radiation Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ
16. Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY

Background

Prostate cancer is the most common malignancy in men and a leading cause of cancer death in the United States. The Decipher Genomic Classifier (GC) and the Artera multimodal artificial intelligence (MMAI) platform are robustly validated prognostic biomarkers with level I evidence for risk stratification. Whether these assays are interchangeable or complementary remains unclear.

Methods

We analyzed two cohorts of men who underwent both GC and MMAI testing. Cohort 1 included a prospective registry of 116 patients with localized prostate cancer treated at a single institution (May 2024–May 2025). Cohort 2 comprised an international multi-institutional retrospective evaluation of 122 patients with oligometastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer with ≤ 5 metastases. GC scores (low <0.45 ; intermediate $0.45\text{--}<0.6$; high >0.6) were derived from microarray or RNA-sequencing. MMAI scores (low <0.27 ; intermediate $0.27\text{--}<0.50$; high ≥ 0.5) were calculated from a machine learning model integrating digitized histopathology with clinical variables; a short-term ADT (stADT) biomarker was also reported. Correlation was assessed with Pearson's coefficient and concordance with Fisher's exact test.

Results

A total of 238 patients were included (116 localized, 122 metastatic). Median age was 68.3 years (localized) and 62.9 years (metastatic). Median PSA at initial diagnosis was 7.5 ng/mL and 9.9 ng/mL, respectively. In localized disease, $>90\%$ were Gleason grade group 2–3; the metastatic cohort included 42% grade group ≥ 4 and 51% T3 disease. Median GC scores were 0.52 (localized) and 0.61 (metastatic); 40% and 53% were GC high-risk, respectively. Median MMAI scores were 0.20 and 0.52, classifying 1.7% of localized and 68% of metastatic cases as high-risk. Correlation between GC and MMAI was moderate (localized $r=0.43$, $R^2=0.19$; metastatic $r=0.41$, $R^2=0.17$). Risk group concordance was 39.7% in localized (54.3% favorable intermediate vs 31.2% unfavorable intermediate) and 49% in metastatic disease (61% synchronous vs 47% metachronous). Fisher's test showed significant association in localized ($p=0.0011$) but not metastatic disease ($p=0.064$).

Conclusion

GC and MMAI demonstrated moderate correlation but low categorical concordance across localized and metastatic prostate cancer. These assays appear to provide complementary rather than interchangeable insights, which has implications for clinical decision-making and biomarker-driven trial design. Future work should evaluate the prognostic performance of combined stratification particularly for patients with discordant results.

Funding

Philip Sutera is funded by Prostate Cancer Foundation YIA

Phuoc Tran is funded by the NIH/NCI (1R01CA271540 and U54CA273956), the Movember Foundation, the Distinguished Gentlemen's Ride, the Prostate Cancer Foundation, the Department of Defense (W81XWH-21-1-0296), and by an anonymous donor

Conflicts of Interest

PTT declares a consulting/advisory role and patent with Natsar Pharmaceuticals (Compounds and methods of use in ablative radiotherapy. Patent filed 3/9/2012. PCT/US2012/028475.

PCT/WO/2012/122471) licensed with royalties to Natsar Pharmaceuticals. Consulting/advisory role and research funding with Reflexion Medical and Bayer Health. Consulting/advisory role with Regeneron, Dendreon, Noxopharm, Janssen, Myovant Sciences, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Lantheus. APK receives research funding from Advanced Accelerator Applications/Novartis (Inst), Merck (Inst), Bayer (Inst), Novartis Pharmaceuticals UK Ltd. PO reports a relationship with Janssen, Advanced Accelerator Applications, Curium, Bayer and MSD that includes: consulting or advisory. HH, ES, MT, RY, TS, AE are employed by Artera Inc. AH, YL, ED are employed by Veracyte Inc. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.