

Predicting Active Surveillance Failure in the Magnetic Resonance Imaging Era: A Multicentre Transatlantic Cohort Study

Nikita Sushentsev ^{a †}, Irene G. Li ^{b †}, George Xu ^{b †}, Anne Y. Warren ^c, Celeste Y. Hsu ^b, Madison Baxter ^b, Dev Panchal ^b, Christof Kastner ^d, Sean Fernando ^e, Ekaterina Pazukhina ^f, Oleg Blyuss ^{f g}, Alexey Zaikin ^{h i}, Ahmed Shabaik ^k, Anders M. Dale ^l, Michael Liss ^m, Tristan Barrett ^{a ‡}, Tyler M. Seibert ^{b l m n ‡}

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡] These authors jointly supervised this work.

Affiliations:

^a Department of Radiology, Addenbrooke's Hospital and University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

^b Department of Radiation Medicine, University of California-San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

^c Department of Pathology, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

^d Department of Urology, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

^e School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

^f Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

^g Department of Paediatrics and Paediatric Infectious Diseases, Institute of Child's Health, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia

^h Department of Women's Cancer, Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK

ⁱ Department of Mathematics, University College London, London, UK

^j Institute for Cognitive Neuroscience, University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

^k Department of Pathology, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

^l Department of Radiology, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

^m Department of Urology, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

ⁿ Department of Bioengineering, Jacobs School of Engineering, University of California-San Diego La Jolla, CA, USA

Background:

Active surveillance (AS) is increasingly used for the management of prostate cancer (PCa), helping patients avoid or delay treatment-related side-effects while keeping the window of opportunity open for curative treatment. While some guidelines limit AS to patients with low-risk PCa, some also allow patients with favorable intermediate-risk and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-visible grade group (GG) 2 disease. Our study investigated the oncological safety of contemporary MRI-driven AS and evaluated whether there are patient subgroups at increased risk of AS failure.

Methods:

This retrospective cohort study included AS patients with MRI-localized PCa from Cambridge University Hospitals, The University of California San Diego, and The University of Texas San Antonio. The primary outcome, AS failure, was defined as a composite of PCa-specific mortality, radiological progression to metastatic disease, histological progression to GG 4 disease, or post-treatment biochemical recurrence. The secondary outcome, disease progression, was defined as histological progression to GG 3 or histological/definitive radiological progression to locally advanced disease. Multivariable Cox proportional-hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs). Multiplicity-adjusted log-rank tests were used to compare event-free survival across subgroups.

Results:

Of the 799 patients enrolled in this study, 80 patients were excluded for having less than 12 months of follow-up, entering a therapeutic clinical trial while on AS, or missing any baseline data. Of the 719 patients that remained for analysis (median follow-up 5.2 years), 629 (87%) had stable disease; 36 (5%) experienced AS failure, including eight (1%) cases of metastasis and no PCa-related deaths; and 54 (8%) had disease progression. Cribriform GG 2 histology was the strongest predictor of AS failure (HR 12.7, 95% confidence interval (CI) 4.8–33.6; $p < 0.001$). Other significant predictors included tumor MRI visibility (HR 5.0, 95% CI 1.5–16.5; $p = 0.009$) and non-cribriform GG 2 histology (HR 3.4, 95% CI 1.6–7.0; $p = 0.001$). MRI-invisible non-cribriform GG 2 and all GG 1 tumors had comparable event-free survival (adjusted $p > 0.05$ for both).

Conclusions:

This study provides evidence that contemporary MRI-based AS for PCa may be safe for suitable patients, including those with non-cribriform GG 2 tumors and MRI-invisible tumors. In addition, this study shows that patients with cribriform GG 2 disease are at higher risk of AS failure and may benefit from upfront treatment.

Funding Acknowledgements:

The UK National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), the Cancer Research UK Cambridge Centre, the Urological Malignancies Programme of the Cancer Research UK Cambridge Centre (C9685/A25177), the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014), Barts Charity (G-001522), the UK and EPSRC joint award EDDCPJT/100022, the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 24-68-00030), and the Prostate Cancer Foundation (24CHAL03).

Conflicts of Interest Disclosure Statement:

None