# Evaluation of AI-based and Genomic Prognostic Markers for the Prediction of Grade Progression in the Prostate Cancer Active Surveillance Setting

Archan Khandekar<sup>1</sup>, Hassan Muhammad<sup>2</sup>, Chao Feng<sup>2</sup>, Parag Jain<sup>2</sup>, Wei Huang<sup>3</sup>, Hirak S. Basu<sup>2</sup>, Adam Williams<sup>1</sup>, Sujit Nair<sup>4</sup>, Dimple Chakravarty<sup>4</sup>, Rajat Roy<sup>2</sup>, George Wilding<sup>3</sup>, Ashutosh Tewari<sup>4</sup>, Dipen J Parekh<sup>1</sup>, Sanoj Punnen<sup>1</sup>

#### Affiliations:

- Desai Sethi Urology Institute, University of Miami, Miami, FL<sup>1</sup>
- PATHOMIQ Inc., Cupertino, CA<sup>2</sup>
- Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI<sup>3</sup>
- Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY<sup>4</sup>

# Background

Active surveillance (AS) is a preferred strategy for men with very-low, low, or favorable-intermediate risk prostate cancer, but accurately predicting which patients will experience grade progression remains a critical challenge. Gleason grading is limited by sampling error and interobserver variability. Genomic assays and MRI provide additional insights, yet robust biopsy-based predictors are lacking. We evaluated PATHOMIQ\_PRAD, a slide-based AI histology score, and compared it to the Decipher genomic classifier for prognostic prediction in the Miami Active Surveillance Trial (MAST).

#### Methods

MAST prospectively enrolled 205 men with very-low to favorable-intermediate risk prostate cancer. All diagnostic and confirmatory biopsies were digitized. Of these, 147 patients had PATHOMIQ\_PRAD scores, and 106 had both PATHOMIQ\_PRAD and Decipher genomic classifier results. The primary endpoint was Gleason grade progression ( $GG1 \rightarrow \geq 2$  or  $GG2 \rightarrow \geq 3$ ), with treatment for volume progression modeled as a competing risk. Associations were assessed using Fine—Gray regression. Cutoff analyses (0.55 for PATHOMIQ\_PRAD, 0.60 for Decipher) and multivariable models including age, PSA density, and PI-RADS were performed.

# **Results**

Median age was 62 years (IQR 56–68), median PSA was 5.0 ng/mL (IQR 3.7–7.0). PATHOMIQ\_PRAD was independently associated with grade progression (sHR per SD: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.28–2.37, p<0.001). High-risk patients by PATHOMIQ\_PRAD cutoff had a nearly three-fold greater hazard of upgrade (sHR: 2.74, 95% CI: 1.61–4.95, p<0.001). In multivariate models including Decipher, PATHOMIQ\_PRAD remained an independent predictor (sHR: 1.91, 95% CI: 1.03–3.65, p=0.049). PATHOMIQ\_PRAD achieved 72.7%

accuracy in identifying patients harboring occult higher-grade disease when restricted to GG1+2 biopsies.

## **Conclusions**

PATHOMIQ\_PRAD, a biopsy-based AI histology score, provides clinically meaningful, independent prognostic information in the AS setting and complements MRI and genomic testing. Incorporation of PATHOMIQ\_PRAD may refine biopsy scheduling, guide earlier intervention for higher-risk men, and improve precision in AS management. Multicenter prospective validation is ongoing.

# **Funding Acknowledgements**

This study was supported by PATHOMIQ Inc., University of Miami Desai Sethi Urology Institute, and National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number P30CA240139 (SCCC); and 1R01CA272766). SP is also funded in part through the Paps Corps Champions for Cancer Research Endowed Chair in Solid Tumor Research.

## **Conflicts of Interest Disclosure Statement**

Some authors are affiliated with PATHOMIQ Inc. and have financial interests in the development of AI-based pathology tools. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.