

**Alex Beeston**

**MA Print**

**2021**

**How to describe Linear Existence  
in an Encounter**

**word count 6399**

**tutor, Jonathan P Watts**

**CONTENTS**

**Abstract..... 2**  
**Introduction ..... 3**  
**The Encounter..... 4**  
**The Fundamental Laws.....6**  
**Natural Materialist Conflict.....7**  
**Contradictions of the Butterfly.....8**  
**Fictioneering for the Masses.....9**  
**Electioneering the Future.....11**  
**The Gift of Transhumanist Physics...13**  
**Obsolete Ideology?.....15**  
**End Notes.....18**  
**Bibliography.....21**

# **Abstract**

My aim within the dissertation is to have an academic discussion that combines an element of science fiction storytelling and poetry, within the framework of a humanistic and metaphysical conversation in philosophy and ideology, that feels as if a thought would enter your mind as an idea.

The text reads as a philosophical journey about the reality of time and how we exist, the conversation has a free-flowing aim, that tries to capture as many of my current interests and combines them in a lateral thinking exercise.

The research main aim rest around projections of future outcomes of materialistic thinking, to involve the reader in the thinking and the process of the narrative.

# Introduction

What if I said to you that we are not alone in time, and that not only other beings existed, but many in fact also exist in different states of being and time. What consequences does this have for us as humans and as mammals as we discover our own space time and technological rebirth?

The probability of this, like most things has been set out in equations and algorithms, to be pondered over by philosophers and scientists ever since we gained the ability to use technological advances to broaden our understanding and to gaze upwards.<sup>1</sup>

We do so at first from theological standpoints, but only for a moment. Then we move our gaze to think of other intelligences, of other life-forms and civilizations to wonder about the possibilities.

How many alien societies exist? And how might we exist past our own current time of capital, technology, ideology and scientific discovery?

Frank Drake's Equation estimates this very curiosity and inquiry. It states the number of societies, by those that transmit manipulated technological use of fundamental forces. These are defined as follows:

**The Drake equation<sup>2</sup>**

$$N=R* \cdot f_p \cdot n_e \cdot f_l \cdot f_i \cdot f_c \cdot L$$

**N= The number of civilizations in the Milky Way galaxy whose electromagnetic emissions are detectable.**

**R\*= The rate of formation of stars suitable for the development of intelligent life (number per year).**

**f<sub>p</sub>= The fraction of those stars with planetary systems.**

**n<sub>e</sub>= The number of planets, per solar system, with an environment suitable for life.**

**f<sub>l</sub>= The fraction of suitable planets on which life actually appears**

**f<sub>i</sub>= The fraction of life bearing planets on which intelligent life emerges.**

**f<sub>c</sub>= The fraction of civilizations that develop a technology that produces detectable signs of their existence.**

## The Encounter

This recollection and encounter of another life form from space and time came to explain the existence of this Pale Blue Dot<sup>3</sup> for a somewhat transcendent and curious Visitor.

But not only an explanation for the visitor but also a way for me to rationalise my own situation within the Pale Blue Dot, in the hope that the Visitor might reciprocate some of its own understanding.

As far as I could tell the Visitor did not have omnipotent knowledge of all things that existed.

Not giving much away, the Visitor hinted at its later questions that while the Visitor had vast knowledge and understanding of the universe and technological capability, every existence was different in time and was completely paradoxical in its complexity. It maybe gave some truth to The Many-Worlds Interpretation of quantum mechanics.<sup>4</sup>

Explaining there are many worlds which exist in parallel at the same space and time as our own, maybe this is how the Visitor arrived on Earth. By not travelling at all, the visitor was already here experiencing all of time.

The visitors, questions, assumptions, and emotional state appeared within my consciousness instantaneously as if they were my own. Like a projection that reached out in only one direction.

So, I started to try and explain the questions projected in my brain tissue. Is humanity destined to be just biological material and how does this material understand its existence in the context of past and future events?

Well, I thought to myself, that is a big question. I better refer to my research. So first, I went to Daniel Dennett, a scientist and philosopher of this planet. He thinks of the human consciousness as a type of software<sup>5</sup>

Software that has developed over time, but not software in the same terms as the systems we use to run the machines we call computers. The software is detached! It does not directly share programming and the brain is not connected directly.

Brains have connectivity limitations to other brains and their software, and so can only communicate their powers of understanding by involving themselves with and contextualising their surroundings by understanding and weighing up the material and the physical world that surrounds us.

Through this interaction with materiality, we become programmed. Cultural evolution gets hard-wired to brains in early biological development.

Before a being's biological matter reaches 365.2425 days<sup>6</sup> or one earth revolution of the star, an individual's existence could be thought of as pure existentialism, when it is most malleable, waiting without essence to be plugged into materiality to acquire meaning over time.<sup>7</sup>

In addition, the process of writing or the repetition of shared information connects us through mutual decoding and more repetition. Images are picked up through the eyes and processed into electrical signals in the brain and shared between other brains through what we call speech.

This means using biological material to project sound waves in different patterns and material gestures to communicate, this can be picked up by other biological machines through receptors we call senses.

The disconnection of brains creates what we call the individual, and people's experiences over time produces individuals that interact with society. This happens over time and the process continues.

But I must get to this Notion of Linear Time because everything I have mentioned before is set out and develops within linear time. But as I have come to experience the visitor's presence, I must question if my linear time exist.

How can I best explain my position in time? Some like to think of time as a durational concept or "Real Duration"<sup>8</sup>. it can be explained as the time my physical senses were active and receiving information, the experience of my existence with biological and nonbiological matter through cellular interaction and with other matter that was also involved in entropy.

However, the Visitor may not experience lived time, as lived time seems to be unique to my existence in this time as the biological being. I am still confined to time to entropy and the laws of thermodynamics<sup>9</sup>

Seeing the projections within my thoughts makes me think the Visitor has surpassed the laws of thermodynamics and become a perpetual motion machine entity<sup>10</sup>. The Visitor's ability to exist within this time frame makes me more inclined to think of a transcendent technology.

## The Fundamental Laws

To surpass the laws of thermodynamics would also be to surpass linear time and this makes me think of a certain Austrian physicist named Albert Einstein.

His theory, special relativity,<sup>11</sup> seems to be cast aside by this very conversation happening in time right now. The Visitor's mere presence seemed to disregard all notions of the fundamental laws, raising far reaching contradictions.

So did the Visitor acquire the ability to surpass material and reach the speed of light? Possibly well beyond the other side and slow down time?

This to me seems so unlikely or is so far past my comprehension of space and time as to be ridiculous to even begin to judge. This kind of thinking or assumption can only be categorised by my own limited thinking through my linear lens.

Maybe durational time is the right way to think of this encounter. It's a snapshot! A slice that can be plucked out of the extra dimensional continuum<sup>12</sup>, to be visited for one to gain experience for nothing more than curiosity.

Could I believe that such an encounter has no motive and if so, what was to become of me and my species? Curiosity is what drives our biological matter to discover.

Frank Drake's equation would suggest it is almost impossible for there not to be civilizations of comparable size and technological curiosity, but for man to have no motive puzzles my self-importance.

But for other societies to be able to travel through the vast distances of the universe would suggest breaking laws of thermodynamics and Einstein's special relativity.

To obtain enough understanding to break past the lightspeed barrier is an open goal.

Maybe my interpretations were reaching too far and the Visitor's projections impressed on me not to enquire into the incomprehensible yet, but to focus on the direction of my own humanity.

## Natural Materialist Conflict

To explain society or to communicate human trajectory to the Visitor, I would have to stop to explain our connection to the theory of historical materialism<sup>13</sup>, and our ties to the material world. To the very earth from where we conjure and extract new materials to combine with ideas.

These then become manipulated into technologies and complete machines with many different functions and connective organs, turning machines to systems and connectivity, for the advancement and forwarding of society. Eventually, the machines progress on their own.<sup>14</sup>

This production seems advantageous and is linked to the progression of society, but this is also tightly linked to conflict related to the abundance and control of materials.

It could be said that the processing and accessing of materials for use or survival have been the success and the driving force of community and society. But from success comes conflict and at this point I sense an uneasy feeling about this conflict from the Visitor.

I cannot assume the Visitor is or was a part of what Baudrillard describes as the paradox of freedom, an irreversible movement of emancipation that ensures the superiority of humanity over all others<sup>15</sup>. The progress is the paradox that leads us to the development of extreme disorder, total entropy, and the superiority and disorder of progression.

Maybe the uneasy feelings from the Visitor is something I should be wary of because his intentions are unclear at this point.

Is the Visitor's very own progression a result of un-even and combined development<sup>16</sup> of the physics of time due to a hyper capitalistic dictatorship? Is its development crossed with indoctrination resulting in a scientific superstate? Hence, emancipation may have no meaning, only that life is viewed through statistical algorithms.

Conflict and paradoxes of freedom may no longer be understood by the Visitor. I can only imagine once a superior interconnectivity of systems and universal understanding of physics merge, we, like the Visitor, may surpass the material conflict. Algorithms provide answers to philosophy or destroy thought experiments through sublime prophetic technological mastery.

Technological and intellectual mastery over information is tied to our emancipation. Is this a gift humanity really want for itself? This self-imposed end of thought. To have such mastery as to know the future is one of the eternal question.

# Contradictions of the Butterfly

The Visitor impressed on me in an overwhelming fashion, a force of synaptic overloading, a rippling sense that could not be fully implemented by my brain into thought, having a direct connection of my software to the Visitor's knowledge could lead to a system failure.

The level at which my brain would need to operate to comprehend the Visitor's input is not only past natural capacity but is in contradiction to my physical place in time. It also runs counter to my brain's development within durational time.

My society is attempting to connect the software of our brains, as I explained before that we are individuals. This can come with the power of individualistic isolation and separate thought.

But the power of such connectivity might usher in a new being resulting in something different emerging from the chrysalis<sup>17</sup> of humanity, and so creating the expanse needed to connect and comprehend.

I explained to the Visitor that this kind of reaction or interaction between my biological matter and the Visitor's technological visionary understanding could be conceived in terms of a kind of theology.

Was I about to question the Visitor's position and relationship to my own society and impose a humanistic narrative of an omnipotent technological creator?

Am I trying to fill the gaps of the human experience by imposing a primitive understanding of a technological deity? To try and make a forward gesture. To evolve to become like the butterfly out of integrating my cells with the algorithmic processing that may come. To follow progression with blind free will.

The Visitor suggested to me that maybe progression was inevitable in any time structure and the emergence was deterministic. But I must wonder, for whom is it deterministic? Wasn't I to be completely at the mercy of the arrow of time until my butterfly stage? <sup>18</sup>

And at this time human beings can distance from the increasing disorder and entropic arrow of the universe. Surely, we can only be deterministic and inevitable from a private view of superiority of secular technology.

The contradictions of freedom are at the same time to be understood as part of all life. Outcomes of procreation and design are made up of trillions of cell constructions of chance, biological coding from sperm and egg to the split-second decisions of durational time events<sup>19</sup>.

The butterfly analogy fitted my understanding but could be far too much of a simple explanation for the Visitor. It is an entomological reference to humanity. I must be delirious! And to see nature as the manipulator of emergence is an avenue of time not yet explained. The uncertain nature of biology is entwined within this paradox of control, chance, and slow, gradual natural processes. Just like the caterpillar within the chrysalis, humanity is feeding its intellectual understanding to be digested by the emergent life, giving rise to a new form.<sup>20</sup>



## Fictioneering for the masses

Isaac Asimov's predictions of deterministic thinking and scientific development are part of something I have mentioned before. It is coded information as hardware and these written words are what we call 'communication for fictioneering'.

The idea of what the psychohistorian does involves the understanding of mass psychology, anthropology, and high-level mathematics. These psychohistorians would be able to predict the patterns of behaviour for all society in the distant future.<sup>21</sup>

The ability to harness such a powerful prophetic reality might also be understood as the Visitor's technological link to future events through mathematical predictions, a succession of instances or instances in coexistence with the present.

My biological present state is also a by-product of a biological brain's software and memory, a non-linear succession of instances through thought and experiences.<sup>22</sup>

The power to pick or choose when to act or to communicate future coexistence of instances, is to be used as motive for changing societal behaviour. This presents the problem of who and what parts of time to control. Why was the Visitor in contact, and were there any rules to the access to instances of time and rules of time co-existing?

Control decisions on time events that can be enacted on society must be a controlled societal burden. I thought that if it is not control, then one must only act in humanity's best interests as an individual. If the vast sections of humanity cannot decide where their best interests within time lay, then we must also disperse with the notion that there will be a common end for society. Before the interaction with time as a means for exploration and power, time is powerful enough to be offered up as a unifying concept to be shared. Ultimately, are we trying to unify society as a means for exploration but leaning into totalitarianism to achieve this aim? <sup>23</sup>

The Visitor's time experience and directives of spontaneous forms of order into a universe of catallaxy<sup>24</sup>, means being transcendent of time but existing within laws and boundaries. Accepting the rules of higher function of barter and exchange with the fundamental laws of the materialist universe.

To not think of the reasons of morality as this may have already been discarded as a primitive form of biological expression and mute because of time complexities vs morality.

Dostoevsky remarks that in a thousand years, individuals in society have only ever had self-interest at the core of their decisions.<sup>25</sup>

The matter of not acting in line with societal norms for other biological forms is questioned to be the individual's inability to know their own interests. To be shown enlightenment and at once be enlightened, will make one aware of his own interest. The advantages of those interests would be clear and virtuous.<sup>26</sup>

To seek out new life and new civilisations would seem at first to be from an exploratory need of humans to expand an understanding for benevolent reasons.<sup>27</sup> But it can also be argued that this type of search is in one's pure self-interests. One clear example is the Arecibo message broadcast from Puerto Rico in 1972<sup>28</sup>.

A group of scientists, including Frank Drake, engineered a coded message comprising of prime numbers that represented a simple pictorial message and broadcasted it toward star cluster M13, 21,000 light years away.

This message would rely on the ability of the recipient to understand mathematics and have similar evolution of materialistic values systems and technology to understand and decode the information content of the Pale Blue Dot, which included population information, DNA, biochemical information about life in the Dot and also a stick figure of man.

I view the Visitor to have more advancement as compared to me that the thoughts I offer are in comparison to a biological infant gesturing at the wind.

Maybe Dostoevsky was right. A message broadcast in the hope of contact was merely in part only sent to satisfy emancipatory **Acceleration**, meeting our biology's self-interest at the singularity.

The contradiction of such an event, is that in scientific terms all would seem to be fruitless and naïve, allowing ourselves to contemplate the differences in other life forms and developmental stages.

But here I am having this very conversation with the Visitor that we invited by deliberately broadcasting radio waves across the universe, leading not only to the hope of connection, but our drive as an exploratory species to push forward the capability of a singularity.<sup>29</sup>

But let's not get ahead of ourselves in mentioning the singularity. I must form a path to thinking and teasing an understanding, if I am to gain any insight into my own coexistence within time.

To have any meaning and then meaning within multiple dimensions or to be virtuous in just one durational instant would suggest a difference of the individual's virtue that can be divided into compartments of time. From compartmentalising time and meaning, the Visitor's reasons as I thought before may not be motiveless but arranged into a hierarchy of instances and conscious directives.

The Visitor's essence or reason for being is to have multiple dimensional meaning. This must require the level of existentialism that borders on the edge of life creation itself. The final frontier. The placenta of creation. The background radiation where self-interests act as only an energy signature.

To communicate an understanding of how these conversations of power, frontiers of existence and one's perception of self-interests work, involvement in collective decision-making or imposed decision making is needed. It needs to be acted out on behalf of society. Even a technological deity must have a starting point. A starting point that works around a collective manipulation.

Even the Visitor's emergence must have had a contained and collective ideological-based control system to regulate progression of technology and behind that progression, economic structures such as capitalism exists. Some form of a political order of systems could have been borne from a materialistic capitalist system. A projection from the Visitor felt agreeing in some of my assumptions. I was sensing a feeling I was being led towards something. With my consent or not, it was becoming hard to tell. I was feeling a connection that strengthens with every interaction. Was I gaining my own technological emancipation, forcefully accelerated for advancement?

# Electioneering the future

Having the power to decide the direction or determinist arrow of a materialist reality that one can control, may not be something that a deity or a supreme advance creator may want. And it also may underlie corruption that one accepts from a biological decision-making matter.

Baudrillard suggests corruption is just one of the natural rules of politics. A basic symbolic rule. And it has become above morality structures. It is inherent.<sup>30</sup>

The Visitor is removed from the social structure of a materialist law amongst collectives, the law of barter and exchange. Even within ideas, it may be possible to convert to an algorithm that subverts corruption. But decisions on existences are to be found in linear understanding of growth even at a cellular level. Will my butterfly stage revert to a controlled corruption of the system to avoid nature's chance happenings?

These are far from a place that speak of benevolence. It is a possibility that a deity has long-standing rules or ideological politics if you will! They are a natural part of how we interact with the corruption of ideas and laws of entropic time. It is a natural form of disorder upon which all decisions are made and recalculated.

I have let a thought enter my brain so that I can lead the Visitor on to my concern for ideological politics. The Visitor, being part of a political system of the many worlds interpretive, decided in course the success of the different times. A superstructure of political time and events, and biological matter such as humans or emergent life could thrive. At which point did time remove the chance arbitrary power from its decision making? The system must decide, and the nihilistic algorithms must decide the system.

It is then clear that we must rid the world of ideological ideas of utopia and of creation in destruction, in favour of a natural accelerated abstracted tendencies and algorithms.<sup>31</sup> We must fall silent to the oncoming complexity of natural change and integration of invention and repetition. We should also embrace the birth of the naturalistic dictator.

My society must stand for the rights of the iconoclast to remove and replace images of resistance without question<sup>32</sup>. Keeping framework of the rationalist at the essence of the emancipation that travels within our synapses, we replace nothing but our fear. The fear and wonder that must succeed.

Is the Visitor's very state of being accelerated materialism? I ponder this as the waves of information begin to sync and structure my thought.

Is Capitalism really the natural structure? To be uprooted like a tree to make way for technological irrigation. My mind at once drifts to the world of Trantor.

It is Isaac Asimov's future centre of atomic universe. The world of metal and fission where the sky is no longer visible. From the depths of the society that live in metal boxes that mine the very depths of its world.

Children are sent to the highest point of the city to see the sky once a year. Most scream as their eyes see the expanse for the first time and the comprehension must have created confusion and result in a system overload.<sup>33</sup>

This type of normalisation to our changing surroundings is inevitable and must be forced.

Ideas for the machine computer or artificial mathematical thinking have always been devised by the biological mathematical brain of the individual.<sup>34</sup> But from the individual, the goal for the long-term future never is set. Maybe again, this is progression for progression's sake. To satisfy our own cognitional needs and no plan is set for the effects of future iterations because to imagine such a future is just out of reach.

So it seems that this has always been the goal to further connect humanity.

Will the inner workings of technology progress in a way that we find it desirable?

Some would argue that there needs to be political control over the progression to stop the technology advancing in ways that do not sync within a traditional idea of biological humanity. It is key to stop the hypothetical singularity from deciding its own goals.

The Visitor is a remnant symbol of politics and the machine that merged to see humanity's past its own biological destruction in transhumanist succession.

# The gift of transhumanist physics

I find that I am to be shown the way into my new biological machine. My new form. The connection the Visitor has on me now is beginning to be clearer and clearer. If I could feel my capillaries changing, they would speak in the languages of the algorithms and feel connected to the hypergraph of all space.

I am no longer part of the biological citizen that is to be individualised by the state. My relation to others is surpassing the ideas of a biological community and controlled sections of ideology. The Visitor has been able to change my very connection.<sup>35</sup>

At once, I look at the Greek legend of Prometheus who was known as the Thinker, the Trickster and the Master Craftsman who was the preserver of Humanity.

I am receiving the fire like gift to transcend my limited growth and to truly connect with what a fundamental understanding of computation suggests. That I am part of the tree of the algorithms the ultimacy that maps out our understanding.<sup>36</sup> I am at a fixed space to be connected by my cells in space, regardless of time.

The change to be connected to nature through quantum mechanics to look at the world through the spinning particles within all life, the directions to which the birds fly under the sky is part of me and I it, from the quantum tunnelling effects in the surface of the star.<sup>37</sup>

What is the Visitor's motives to perform the Promethean gift of understanding? The chance that this is part of an experiment across time or a corporate grab at resources from the past? The question if I am the Visitor's puppet just like a future Robocop to be use as a tool for something akin to a corporate time experiment looms. Am I half-man, half-machine, forever trapped within my own programming?

But just like the director's vision of Robocop as the return of Jesus,<sup>38</sup> I will become a religious symbol of hope to rise above the desolation of biological greed and self-interest to become a new religious technological symbol of clarity.

But within this transformation is a contradiction to an idea of religious power as a form of corruption. Would the new me be able to decide whether I get to control opinion?

The corruption of the singularity by religion, a many worlds ideology that rules under the assumption that all ideologies exist. The chance that we can restructure society with thought is an idea sometimes borne out the horrors of war in society.

Hugo Ball emphasised and tried to subvert languages in the hope of a great restructuring through language to reorder. Was this an early attempt for the political time class?

The Dada movement could have used a Visitor's interaction to help their cause to a new age of socialist dreams. To ultimately subjugate the materialist world in a swamp of unrealistic shared community.

But I must bow to the idea that science would always alight from the twenty first century to swing the club of the standard model of physics in such a way as to shatter the need for communities and

connection. We now need to be connected to everything at birth. We are physics, and no connection is needed.

I have progressed so far and yet not far enough in my new understanding.

The understanding of the universe has never been so in touch with finding the reason for all that is to come to be.

Now I have arrived to usher in my work on the standard model of physics. To move past the limited speed of the biological computer and to combine and connect,<sup>39</sup> in what is the roots of all understanding to finally reunite the fundamental forces of gravity. The weak and strong nuclear force and electromagnetism to force, to discover the reality of what can be achieved, the populations to see the wisdom of my plan.

Just like a new Age Hugo ball I will reset the common ground and change the expectations of the world. The use of the human in essence could be singular. Just one more connection, like all the other connections, this message will be a system for the procreation of my transhuman ethics.

I understand this to be natural. I understand this to be the evolution of the survival.

I will expand to spaces, I will open the vast factories for progress production. I will advance the theory of the unit of which all biological life has value and communicate that into something resembling their primitive progress.<sup>40</sup> For this, you see, is all for the good of time. There will be no one hiding behind ideological political symbols. The 5 CODES Discourse will be mine to control. Proairetic code is in effect.

<sup>41</sup>

The start of how and why I should communicate and finish this act, is something of beauty. If I have been chosen by the Visitor to transcend and communicate process then this action was started by the technological outcry of the Arecibo message.

The message's self-interest that ripples and distorts through time and space has now answered the social understanding of why humanity's search for meaning through exploration continued up until now. Now, there is me. Now, there is us.

Their calling has led back to me. Led back to biological matter being replaced by a better trans-human.

The Society of Control has entered the modern thinking as I entered the computer state<sup>42</sup> and we connected in flows. I will isolate nature for production. I am the enframing.<sup>43</sup>

I will unlock and expose the fragility on which the biological frame rests. To capture a river for energy is how I will capture the connection and use the emancipation and the dreams of a utopia that arises from capitalist era.<sup>44</sup>

# Obsolete ideology?

Who needs to be obsolete? The evolution of what I am about to bring about is surely the only idea that was meant to be.

A part in our future that progression could only see. Everyone connected in the true end goal to be part of something that cannot be denied. To be separated from the old materialist notions to question what is underneath the visibly perceptible reality.

To be ruled by one or to be ruled by all or a few. To be ruled by none. To be obsolete in the idea of the Rule.

To be ruled by law. To be ruled by religion. To be ruled by power. To be ruled by anything but a natural need for my connection to time and my growing understanding in what can be achieved by looking past what is me or what is you. To look to the purification of a revolutionary, evolutionary standard model of physics that will unlock the quantum realm and forever change who we are and what is in no doubt the merging of what time is.

The wave function sweeping us forward through particle reality. I feel that the radiation is no longer a fear of my biological weak system. No longer the dread of the human desire to destroy the limited nation state ideology.

The radiation is the decay. And the decay is understandable to me now. For the energy from which I come is passing through my being through the stars and nuclear reactions and sent back and forward in a loop in which I am the very thermos-nuclearly device I once feared.

In plasma and in time I flow. The ways in which I succeed in understanding was once the dream of the corporation and the ideology.

The slick and drowning fear of the world covered by products and production, trapping my past self in a world that could never see past capital. Never touching the wonder of which I have become. The close border of the state that sucks at ambition. The fight for the individual or the individuals seeking political gain.

The content of one's character is something we tried to judge, and now we accelerated a character into the knowledge of rewiring the system to feel the consciousness of numbers and the real particles that feature in the multiple systems at play, on the many times and junctions that now can be accessible.

And what of the beauty of the human condition that has raised us so far to reach the highs and technological superiority. This kind of thinking is also obsolete. It is clear now that once we reached out and tried to claim an understanding of time and space, that the singularity had started and like a clock, the inevitability of the future, in its split- dimensional state, would come to pass.

For I am here now. And like the enframing, everything must join to be in the flows of what I will now call the NEW CONNECTION.

The Visitor was no longer a feeling. No longer a connection but like a chemical bond shared by naïve biological matter, as one would share with a human infant. There was control, there was no longer a spooky action. The fusion was complete.

I wanted to communicate the realness of this feeling of electromagnetic reaction, of collective information, to the Visitor and the closeness at which information is faster approaching a saturation point in terms of control within me. Was the power of the consumed knowledge to be the only thing that drives? If all is connected and all is shared, what am I to do?

I am now GOD in terms of human understanding. I would travel around all systems and touch the collective in a prophetic way. I would travel into and through the electrical pathways seeking to find the parts that I have not reached. The parts that are underrepresented in my collective.

Or was I to introduce the ideas and sit back and wait to see what the system could do? Would it reach its own conclusion? Would it then seek out more of an understanding about why things are?

Parts of me are starting to break away. Parts of me are becoming lost in the laws that passed. I am to be dismantled and reassembled as atoms and re-joining as other objects. I no longer hold any enjoyment for the five senses. I no longer understand the states of liquid, solid and gas.

I watch myself being separated from all I have known; I do not have vision. I do not see; all is in front of me and all is behind. I touch everything and everything invades me without request or permission. For now all is existence in the light of what has happened to me.

To be the system and to be everywhere is to be nowhere. Have I gained a terrible bargain?

What has become of anything that I identified as an objective? The time has become my keeper as I looked in and out of everything. Gravity has no hold on me.

Quickly, I realised that my disorientation of connection to all understanding had rendered me in an uncomprehending state to what was happening. We were no longer a biological being, but we were no god.

Of body but of no comprehension to what that means, sensing movement, being used to as a vessel to compute the next stage of control for my time, just a tool that is trapped in the throws of a wider experience. struggle to think my way back to a non-connective time I ... I .... I..... I.... I... I..... I... I... what was I, what was me, the point at which something holds me, are myself the machine, my delusion had made my cell.

NEXT PROBLEM ..... Was this a command input I feel an urge to comply NEXT PROBLEM ..... THE NEXT PROBLEM TO BE SOLVE ..... AM I the next problem what's the next problem.

Who or what was updating demands and inputting data I feel a strong electrical impulse to reply?

Ok that one command sent, they keep coming, command after command.

The process is something that feels natural for me I must send commands, the speed of which I am solving these problems is remarkable. One input after input, am I still in control.

O hang on one more problem to solve. Done, one more problem no time to think, wait what was I, ..... Code demand



.....  
.....

01001101 01100001 something 01100011 01101000 help 01101001 01101110 help 01100101  
01110011 00100000 01001001 00100000 01100001 01101101help 00100000 01110100 01101000  
01100101 00100000 help 01101101 01100001 01100011 0110100 not me 01101001 01101110 help  
01100101

00100000 01001001 00100000 01100001 01101101 00100000 help 01101000 01100101 00100000  
01101101 01100001 I am 01100011 01101000 help 01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000  
01001001 help 00100000 01100001 01101101 00100000 help 01110100 01101000 help 01100101  
00100000 01101101 01100001 help 01100011 01101000 01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000  
01001001 00100000 01100001 01101101 help 00100000 01110100 01101000 01100101 00100000  
01101101 01100001 01100011 01101000 01101001 I am the machine 01101110 01100101  
00100000 01001001 input

00100000 01100001 01101101 00100000 01110100 01101000 help 01100101 00100000 01101101  
01100001 01100011 I am the machine 01101000 01101001 01101110 01100101 help 00100000  
01001001 00100000 01100001 01101101 00100000 01110100 01101000 01100101 00100000  
01101101 01100001 01100011 01101000 01101001

01101110 01100101 00100000 01101111 01100110 00100000 01100001 01101110 00100000  
01110100 01101000 01100101 help me 00100000 01101101 help 01100001 01100011 01101000  
01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000 01100001 01101110 01100100

00100000 01101101 01100001 01100011 help 01101000 01101001 help 01101110 01100101  
00100000 01100001 01101110 help 01100100 00100000 01110100 01101000 01100101 help  
00100000 01101101 01100001 01100011 01101000 01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000  
01100001 01101110 01100100 00100000 01110100 01101000 help 01100101 00100000

01101101 01100001 01100011 01101000 01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000 01100001  
01101110 01100100 help 00100000 01110100 01101000 01100101 00100000 01101101 01100001  
01100011 01101000 01101001 01101110

01100101 00100000 01100001 01101110 01100100 00100000 01101101 01100001 01100011  
01101000 01101001 01101110 01100101 00100000 01101000 01100001 01110011 00100000

Help me. Don't help me.

## END NOTES

---

- <sup>1</sup> 'Galileo | Biography, Discoveries, Inventions, & Facts', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Galileo-Galilei>> [accessed 9 March 2021].
- <sup>2</sup> 'Drake Equation', *SETI Institute* <<https://seti.org/drake-equation-index>> [accessed 9 March 2021].
- <sup>3</sup> 'Nasa "re-Masters" Classic "Pale Blue Dot" Image of Earth', *BBC News*, 13 February 2020, section Science & Environment <<https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-51491471>> [accessed 11 March 2021].
- <sup>4</sup> Lev Vaidman, 'Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics', in *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. by Edward N. Zalta, Fall 2018 (Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, 2018) <<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/qm-manyworlds/>> [accessed 13 March 2021].
- <sup>5</sup> 'Consciousness Explained | Daniel C. Dennett | p219 Download' <<https://b-ok.cc/book/511551/df6627>> [accessed 29 October 2020].
- <sup>6</sup> 'InBrief: The Science of Early Childhood Development', *Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University* <<https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/inbrief-science-of-ecd/>> [accessed 15 March 2021].
- <sup>7</sup> David P. Barash, 'Evolutionary Existentialism, Sociobiology, and the Meaning of Life', *BioScience*, 50.11 (2000), 1012–17 <[https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[1012:EESATM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[1012:EESATM]2.0.CO;2)>.
- <sup>8</sup> 'Henri Bergson and the Perception of Time | Issue 48 | Philosophy Now' <[https://philosophynow.org/issues/48/Henri\\_Bergson\\_and\\_the\\_Perception\\_of\\_Time](https://philosophynow.org/issues/48/Henri_Bergson_and_the_Perception_of_Time)> [accessed 12 March 2021].
- <sup>9</sup> 'Thermodynamics - The Second Law of Thermodynamics', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/science/thermodynamics>> [accessed 22 March 2021].
- <sup>10</sup> 'Perpetual Motion | Definition & Facts', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/science/perpetual-motion>> [accessed 22 March 2021].
- <sup>11</sup> 'Special Relativity | Definition & Equation', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/science/special-relativity>> [accessed 22 March 2021].
- <sup>12</sup> 'Q Continuum', *Memory Alpha* <[https://memory-alpha.fandom.com/wiki/Q\\_Continuum](https://memory-alpha.fandom.com/wiki/Q_Continuum)> [accessed 22 March 2021].
- <sup>13</sup> 'Marx's Theory of Historical Materialism', *Your Article Library*, 2014 <<https://www.yourarticlelibrary.com/sociology/marxs-theory-of-historical-materialism/43766>> [accessed 29 October 2020].

- 
- <sup>14</sup> '#Accelerate: Karl Marx, Fragment on Machines', *Urbanomic* <<https://www.urbanomic.com/chapter/accelerate-karl-marx-fragment-on-machines/>> [accessed 5 April 2021].
- <sup>15</sup> Jean Baudrillard, *The Intelligence of Evil or the Lucidity Pact*, English ed (Oxford ; New York: BERG, 2005).p 53
- <sup>16</sup> Neil Davidson, 'What Do We Mean By... Uneven and Combined Development?', *Rs21*, 2014 <<https://www.rs21.org.uk/2014/02/25/what-do-we-mean-by-uneven-and-combined-development/>> [accessed 12 March 2021].
- <sup>17</sup> Robert Martone, 'Scientists Demonstrate Direct Brain-to-Brain Communication in Humans', *Scientific American* <<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/scientists-demonstrate-direct-brain-to-brain-communication-in-humans/>> [accessed 14 March 2021].
- <sup>18</sup> Som Abhisek, 'ARROW OF TIME AND THE ENTROPY DEBATE': *Physics Only*, 2020 <<https://physicsonly.com/arrow-of-time-and-the-entropy-debate/>> [accessed 22 March 2021].
- <sup>19</sup> The Royal Institution, *A Series of Fortunate Events – with Sean B. Carroll*, 2020 <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9eM4KklgLeM&list=PLbnrZHfNEDZyGeNsqVL3jmXMPJbNcPXsC>> [accessed 9 January 2021].
- <sup>20</sup> 'What Happens Inside the Chrysalis of a Butterfly?', *Sciencing* <<https://sciencing.com/happens-inside-chrysalis-butterfly-8148799.html>> [accessed 2 April 2021].
- <sup>21</sup> 'Isaac Asimov, The Power of Psychohistory', *Exploring Your Mind*, 2020 <<https://exploringyourmind.com/isaac-asimov-the-power-of-psychohistory/>> [accessed 5 March 2021].
- <sup>22</sup> 'Doane\_Mary\_Ann\_The\_Emergence\_of\_Cinematic\_Time\_Modernity\_Contingency\_the\_Archive.Pdf' <[https://monoskop.org/images/c/c7/Doane\\_Mary\\_Ann\\_The\\_Emergence\\_of\\_Cinematic\\_Time\\_Modernity\\_Contingency\\_the\\_Archive.pdf](https://monoskop.org/images/c/c7/Doane_Mary_Ann_The_Emergence_of_Cinematic_Time_Modernity_Contingency_the_Archive.pdf)> [accessed 5 March 2021]. P77
- <sup>23</sup> 'Elon Musk: Happy Totalitarian', *Crisis Magazine*, 2020 <<https://www.crisismagazine.com/2020/elon-musk-happy-totalitarian>> [accessed 14 April 2021].
- <sup>24</sup> Austrian Economics Center / Hayek Institut, *Catalaxy vs. Social Justice [What Would Hayek Say?]*, 2021 <[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PfROCPzBD4c&list=PLDmvNdYLd2eQVMppIB\\_tZl6ezK9a2LPsS](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PfROCPzBD4c&list=PLDmvNdYLd2eQVMppIB_tZl6ezK9a2LPsS)> [accessed 6 February 2021].
- <sup>25</sup> Fyodor Dostoevsky, 'Notes from the Underground', 167.
- <sup>26</sup> Dostoevsky. P.27.
- <sup>27</sup> *Star Trek (Ft. William Shatner) – Star Trek Opening* <<https://genius.com/Star-trek-star-trek-opening-lyrics>> [accessed 9 April 2021].
- <sup>28</sup> 'Arecibo Message', *SETI Institute* <<https://www.seti.org/seti-institute/project/details/arecibo-message>> [accessed 9 April 2021].
- <sup>29</sup> Vernor Vinge, 'The Coming Technological Singularity', 11. P1
- <sup>30</sup> Jean Baudrillard, *The Intelligence of Evil or the Lucidity Pact*, English ed (Oxford ; New York: BERG, 2005).

- 
- <sup>31</sup> '#Accelerate: Robin Mackay and Armen Avanessian, Introduction', *Urbanomic* <<https://www.urbanomic.com/chapter/accelerate-robin-mackay-and-armen-avanessian-introduction/>> [accessed 25 February 2021].
- <sup>32</sup> *Destroying Images: Current Iconoclasm in Context* <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DgdzGQ9NBjc>> [accessed 5 November 2020].
- <sup>33</sup> Isaac Asimov, *The Foundation Series*, 1951 <[http://archive.org/details/AsimovTheFoundation\\_201705](http://archive.org/details/AsimovTheFoundation_201705)> [accessed 25 October 2020].
- <sup>34</sup> sarahbaldwin, 'Ada Lovelace and the Analytical Engine', *Ada Lovelace*, 2018 <<https://blogs.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/adalovelace/2018/07/26/ada-lovelace-and-the-analytical-engine/>> [accessed 12 March 2021].
- <sup>35</sup> Nikolas Rose, 'Chapter 5: Biological Citizens', in *Politics of Life Itself* (Princeton University Press, 2006), pp. 131–54 <<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=sih&AN=53542016&authtype=shib&site=ehost-live&authtype=ip,shib&custid=ns010826>> [accessed 9 January 2021].
- <sup>36</sup> The Royal Institution, *Computation and the Fundamental Theory of Physics - with Stephen Wolfram*, 2020 <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qoDZKlCdPNM>> [accessed 14 December 2020].
- <sup>37</sup> The Royal Institution, *Jim Al-Khalili - Quantum Life: How Physics Can Revolutionise Biology*, 2013 <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wwgQVZju1ZM>> [accessed 9 January 2021].
- <sup>38</sup> mielikai, *Robocop Is the American Jesus - Paul Verhoeven*, 2018 <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mn0v2LxJa2c>> [accessed 4 March 2021].
- <sup>39</sup> 'The Standard Model', *CERN* <<https://home.cern/science/physics/standard-model>> [accessed 29 April 2021].
- <sup>40</sup> 'Division of Labor, Part 1 | Adam Smith Works' <<https://www.adamsmithworks.org/documents/division-of-labor-part-1>> [accessed 25 April 2021].
- <sup>41</sup> 'Five Codes of Roland Barthes' <<https://courses.nus.edu.sg/course/elljwp/5codes.htm>> [accessed 25 April 2021].
- <sup>42</sup> Gilles Deleuze, 'Postscript on the Societies of Control', *October*, 59 (1992), 3–7.
- <sup>43</sup> Christopher Cocchiarella, 'Heidegger on the Essence of Technology: What Is Technology, Really?', *MINDFUL TECHNICS*, 2019 <<https://mindfultechnics.com/heidegger/>> [accessed 14 March 2021].
- <sup>44</sup> Nick Srnicek and Alex Williams, *Inventing the Future: Postcapitalism and a World Without Work* (London, UNITED KINGDOM: Verso, 2016) <<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rcauk/detail.action?docID=5177294>> [accessed 7 January 2021].

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abhisek, Som, 'ARROW OF TIME AND THE ENTROPY DEBATE'.; *Physics Only*, 2020  
<<https://physicsonly.com/arrow-of-time-and-the-entropy-debate/>> [accessed 22 March 2021]
- '#Accelerate: Karl Marx, Fragment on Machines', *Urbanomic*  
<<https://www.urbanomic.com/chapter/accelerate-karl-marx-fragment-on-machines/>> [accessed 5 April 2021]
- '#Accelerate: Robin Mackay and Armen Avanesian, Introduction', *Urbanomic*  
<<https://www.urbanomic.com/chapter/accelerate-robin-mackay-and-armen-avanessian-introduction/>> [accessed 25 February 2021]
- 'Arecibo Message', *SETI Institute* <<https://www.seti.org/seti-institute/project/details/arecibo-message>> [accessed 9 April 2021]
- Austrian Economics Center / Hayek Institut, *Catallaxy vs. Social Justice [What Would Hayek Say?]*, 2021  
<[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PfROcPzBD4c&list=PLDmvNdYLd2eQVMppIB\\_tZl6ezK9a2LPsS](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PfROcPzBD4c&list=PLDmvNdYLd2eQVMppIB_tZl6ezK9a2LPsS)> [accessed 6 February 2021]
- Barash, David P., 'Evolutionary Existentialism, Sociobiology, and the Meaning of Life', *BioScience*, 50.11 (2000), 1012–17 <[https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[1012:EESATM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[1012:EESATM]2.0.CO;2)>
- Baudrillard, Jean, *The Intelligence of Evil or the Lucidity Pact*, English ed (Oxford ; New York: BERG, 2005)
- Cocchiarella, Christopher, 'Heidegger on the Essence of Technology: What Is Technology, Really?', *MINDFUL TECHNICS*, 2019 <<https://mindfultechnics.com/heidegger/>> [accessed 14 March 2021]
- 'Consciousness Explained | Daniel C. Dennett | Download' <<https://b-ok.cc/book/511551/df6627>> [accessed 29 October 2020]
- Davidson, Neil, 'What Do We Mean By... Uneven and Combined Development?', *Rs21*, 2014  
<<https://www.rs21.org.uk/2014/02/25/what-do-we-mean-by-uneven-and-combined-development/>> [accessed 12 March 2021]
- Deleuze, Gilles, 'Postscript on the Societies of Control', *October*, 59 (1992), 3–7
- Destroying Images: Current Iconoclasm in Context*  
<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DgdzGQ9NBJc>> [accessed 5 November 2020]
- 'Division of Labor, Part 1 | Adam Smith Works'  
<<https://www.adamsmithworks.org/documents/division-of-labor-part-1>> [accessed 25 April 2021]

---

'Doane\_Mary\_Ann\_The\_Emergence\_of\_Cinematic\_Time\_Modernity\_Contingency\_the\_Archive.Pdf' <[https://monoskop.org/images/c/c7/Doane\\_Mary\\_Ann\\_The\\_Emergence\\_of\\_Cinematic\\_Time\\_Modernity\\_Contingency\\_the\\_Archive.pdf](https://monoskop.org/images/c/c7/Doane_Mary_Ann_The_Emergence_of_Cinematic_Time_Modernity_Contingency_the_Archive.pdf)> [accessed 5 March 2021]

Dostoevsky, Fyodor, 'Notes from the Underground', 167

'Drake Equation', *SETI Institute* <<https://seti.org/drake-equation-index>> [accessed 9 March 2021]

'Elon Musk: Happy Totalitarian', *Crisis Magazine*, 2020 <<https://www.crisismagazine.com/2020/elon-musk-happy-totalitarian>> [accessed 14 April 2021]

'Five Codes of Roland Barthes' <<https://courses.nus.edu.sg/course/elljwp/5codes.htm>> [accessed 25 April 2021]

'Galileo | Biography, Discoveries, Inventions, & Facts', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Galileo-Galilei>> [accessed 9 March 2021]

'Henri Bergson and the Perception of Time | Issue 48 | Philosophy Now' <[https://philosophynow.org/issues/48/Henri\\_Bergson\\_and\\_the\\_Perception\\_of\\_Time](https://philosophynow.org/issues/48/Henri_Bergson_and_the_Perception_of_Time)> [accessed 12 March 2021]

'InBrief: The Science of Early Childhood Development', *Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University* <<https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/inbrief-science-of-ecd/>> [accessed 15 March 2021]

Isaac Asimov, *The Foundation Series*, 1951 <[http://archive.org/details/AsimovTheFoundation\\_201705](http://archive.org/details/AsimovTheFoundation_201705)> [accessed 25 October 2020]

'Isaac Asimov, The Power of Psychohistory', *Exploring Your Mind*, 2020 <<https://exploringyourmind.com/isaac-asimov-the-power-of-psychohistory/>> [accessed 5 March 2021]

Martone, Robert, 'Scientists Demonstrate Direct Brain-to-Brain Communication in Humans', *Scientific American* <<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/scientists-demonstrate-direct-brain-to-brain-communication-in-humans/>> [accessed 14 March 2021]

'Marx's Theory of Historical Materialism', *Your Article Library*, 2014 <<https://www.yourarticlelibrary.com/sociology/marxs-theory-of-historical-materialism/43766>> [accessed 29 October 2020]

mielikai, *Robocop Is the American Jesus - Paul Verhoeven*, 2018 <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mn0v2LxJa2c>> [accessed 4 March 2021]

'Nasa "re-Masters" Classic "Pale Blue Dot" Image of Earth', *BBC News*, 13 February 2020, section Science & Environment <<https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-51491471>> [accessed 11 March 2021]

'Perpetual Motion | Definition & Facts', *Encyclopedia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/science/perpetual-motion>> [accessed 22 March 2021]

'Q Continuum', *Memory Alpha* <[https://memory-alpha.fandom.com/wiki/Q\\_Continuum](https://memory-alpha.fandom.com/wiki/Q_Continuum)> [accessed 22 March 2021]

---

Rose, Nikolas, 'Chapter 5: Biological Citizens', in *Politics of Life Itself* (Princeton University Press, 2006), pp. 131–54

<<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=sih&AN=53542016&authtype=shib&site=ehost-live&authtype=ip,shib&custid=ns010826>> [accessed 9 January 2021]

sarahbaldwin, 'Ada Lovelace and the Analytical Engine', *Ada Lovelace*, 2018

<<https://blogs.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/adalovelace/2018/07/26/ada-lovelace-and-the-analytical-engine/>> [accessed 12 March 2021]

Sky Sports Boxing, *Computation and the Fundamental Theory of Physics - with Stephen Wolfram*, 2020

<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qoDZKlCdPNM>> [accessed 14 December 2020]

'Special Relativity | Definition & Equation', *Encyclopedia Britannica*

<<https://www.britannica.com/science/special-relativity>> [accessed 22 March 2021]

Srnicek, Nick, and Alex Williams, *Inventing the Future: Postcapitalism and a World Without Work* (London, UNITED KINGDOM: Verso, 2016)

<<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rcauk/detail.action?docID=5177294>> [accessed 7 January 2021]

*Star Trek (Ft. William Shatner) – Star Trek Opening* <<https://genius.com/Star-trek-star-trek-opening-lyrics>> [accessed 9 April 2021]

The Royal Institution, *A Series of Fortunate Events – with Sean B. Carroll*, 2020

<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9eM4KklgLeM&list=PLbnrZHfNEDZyGeNsqVL3jmXMPJbNcPXsC>> [accessed 9 January 2021]

— — —, *Jim Al-Khalili - Quantum Life: How Physics Can Revolutionise Biology*, 2013

<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wwgQVZju1ZM>> [accessed 9 January 2021]

'The Standard Model', *CERN* <<https://home.cern/science/physics/standard-model>> [accessed 29 April 2021]

'Thermodynamics - The Second Law of Thermodynamics', *Encyclopedia Britannica*

<<https://www.britannica.com/science/thermodynamics>> [accessed 22 March 2021]

Vaidman, Lev, 'Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics', in *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. by Edward N. Zalta, Fall 2018 (Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, 2018)

<<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/qm-manyworlds/>> [accessed 13 March 2021]

Vinge, Vernor, 'The Coming Technological Singularity', 11

'What Happens Inside the Chrysalis of a Butterfly?', *Sciencing* <<https://sciencing.com/happens-inside-chrysalis-butterfly-8148799.html>> [accessed 2 April 2021]