### Do you want ML with that?

### When to say yes and why to say no

xero

Kendra Vant | Executive General Manager - Data, ML & AI

🔰 @dsdownunder









Xero is a global platform helping small businesses and their advisors grow and thrive

> Orenda Tribe and CV Ledger United States



**Our purpose:** 

Make life better for people in small business, their advisors and communities around the world

> **The Little Veggie Patch** Australia

2.453 million

#### From an apartment in Wellington in 2006 to ASX 50 in 2020 ... and not slowing down

Subscribers

2006

2007

2009

#### 2012

2011

#### 2015

#### 2017

#### 2018

2.700+ staff

#### 2019

2020

MarketScape as a global

Acquired Waddle



Do you want ML with that? → When to say yes and why to say no

# Some dimensions of decision making



Theo, Barista extraordinaire Xero Te Ara Turoa, Auckland



#### **Total Cost of Ownership**

- Tightly coupled systems
- Rapidly evolving base technology set
- Fewer established patterns
- Rare skills
- Rapidly rising bar on acceptable harm



#### **Responsible use**

- Complexity increases inadvertent harm
- Privacy in tug-of-war with personalisation
- Risk codifying the morals and prejudices of the data collection period



#### Avoiding sledgehammers when cracking walnuts

- Try lookups
- Try APIs
- Try humans

Do you want ML with that? → When to say yes and why to say no

### Kicking the tyres of an idea

Third Drawer Down Australia

#### ML inside 0 to 1 assessments

	X Assessment Template [COPY / X +	0
$\leftarrow \   \rightarrow $	C 🛆 🔒 confluence.teamxero.com/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=327788708	Q 🖈 🎯 E
= ×	Confluence Spaces - People Xero Blogs Create	Search Q 🛛 🕫
*	Pages ( ) Te Assessment Template [COPY AND REPLACE PAGE TITLE WITH PRODUCT NAME] Created by Last modified on Apr 12, 2021	✓ Edit ☆ Save for later ● Watching < Share …
<b>?</b> ?	ELEVATOR PITCH	CONTENTS
2°	FOR «TARGET CUSTOMER» WH0 «STATEMENT OF NEED» THE «PRODUCT NAME» IS A «PRODUCT TYPE» THAT «DESCRIPTION OF WHAT IT DOES THAT'S USEFUL» AND UNLINE «AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE PRODUCT» OUR PRODUCT «DESCRIPTION OF UNIQUE DIFFERENTIATOR» What is the user experience (from the user's perspective)?	What is the user experience (from the user's perspective)?     Would anyone pay for it, and if so why?     What is an estimate/description of the addressable market for the product?     What is an estimate/description of the addressable framework for the product is an estimate/description of the addressable in the product is the second of the addressable in the product is the second of
	Minimum Loveable Product: • Describe the minimum loveable product experience For Later: • Provide descriptions of future user experiences that would be developed as part of this product Would anyone pay for it, and if so why?	extensions that would be required. Why invest in this now? Is Xero uniquely placed to have this product? If yes, why? Are there any ethical / privacy / security risks to be considered as part of this product? FAQs
		FAQs
1	What is an estimate/description of the addressable market for the product? What data would we need? Does Xero have this data right now? If yes, where is it?	Why does this template exist? The purpose of this assessment rubric is to guide the thoughtful consideration of an ML-inside product idea. It provides a reusable and self consistent framework to pressure test where the value lies in a product, and hiphiphit feasibility details and Total Addressable Market considerations often glossed over in water
	Describe the data needed to power the minimum loveable product, as well as subsequent iterations. Is it available in production? In XADE?	cooler chats / brain storming sessions. Must I be able to answer yes to every 'potential yes'
	Will this product allow us to develop reusable technologies, or enable multiple products? If yes, identify these products/consumers and provide a brief explanation.	question? No. In many cases, ML-inside products are worth building, even if we are unable to pinpoint answers to every question on this assessment in the initial stages. What this assessment allows us to do is clarify myb something might well be worth attempting.
	Does this product build upon existing technologies / capabilities at Xero? If yes, identify these technologies / capabilities and provide a brief description of any extensions that would be required.	I don't know how to answer a question - what now? Scoping an ML-inside product is an inherently collaborative process. Often no one has all the answers – think about who might be able to help you refine the idea, and reach out!
	Why invest in this now?	
	Is Xero uniquely placed to have this product? If yes, why?	
o »	Are there any ethical / privacy / security risks to be considered as part of this product?  When we do <product feature="">, there is a risk that <what happen="" might="">, that will <insert consequence="" here=""></insert></what></product>	

#### ML inside 0 to 1 assessments



	ELEVATOR PITCH
ML inside 0 to 1 assessments	FOR <target customer=""> WHO <statement need="" of=""> THE <product name=""> IS A <product type=""> THAT <description does="" it="" of="" that's="" useful="" what=""> AND UNLIKE <an alternative="" product="" the="" to=""> OUR PRODUCT <description differentiator="" of="" unique=""></description></an></description></product></product></statement></target>

#### **ELEVATOR PITCH**

**ML inside** 

0 to 1

FOR Xero Advisors & Xero (existing & prospective) customers WHO are particularly fond of delicious chocolate bunnies THE Chocolate Bunny Vendor Recommender assessments IS A Suggestion Service THAT provides personalised recommendations to advisors to connoisseurs of chocolate bunnies (see existing: Rules Based Algorithm). AND UNLIKE the existing Rules Based Algorithm for vendor suggestion to chocolate bunny fanciers **OUR PRODUCT** provides the opportunity to filter based on cocoa content and price per kg

#### ML inside 0 to 1 assessments



· When we do <PRODUCT FEATURE>, there is a risk that <WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN>, that will <INSERT CONSEQUENCE HERE>

#### FAQs

#### Why does this template exist?

The purpose of this assessment rubric is to guide the thoughtful consideration of an ML-inside product idea. It provides a reusable and self consistent framework to pressure test where the value lies in a product, and highlight feasibility details and Total Addressable Market considerations often glossed over in water cooler chats / brain storming sessions.

#### Must I be able to answer yes to every 'potential yes' question?

No. In many cases, ML-inside products are worth building, even if we are unable to pinpoint answers to every question on this assessment in the initial stages. What this assessment allows us to do is clarify *why* something might well be worth attempting.

#### I don't know how to answer a question - what now?

Scoping an ML-inside product is an inherently collaborative process. Often no one has all the answers — think about who might be able to help you refine the idea, and reach out!

#### ML inside 0 to 1 assessments





· When we do <PRODUCT FEATURE>, there is a risk that <WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN>, that will <INSERT CONSEQUENCE HERE>

#### What is the user experience (from the user's perspective)?

#### Minimum Loveable Product:

· Describe the minimum loveable product experience

#### For Later:

· Provide descriptions of future user experiences that would be developed as part of this product

Would anyone pay for it, and if so why?

What is an estimate/description of the addressable market for the product?

ML inside 0 to 1 assessments

What data would we need? Does Xero have this data right now? If yes, where is it? Describe the data needed to power the minimum loveable product, as well as subsequent iterations. Is it available in production? in XADE?

Will this product allow us to develop reusable technologies, or enable multiple products? If yes, identify these products/consumers and provide a brief explanation.

Does this product build upon existing technologies / capabilities at Xero? If yes, identify these technologies / capabilities and provide a brief description of any extensions that would be required.

Why invest in this now?

Is Xero uniquely placed to have this product? If yes, why?

Are there any ethical / privacy / security risks to be considered as part of this product?

• When we do <PRODUCT FEATURE>, there is a risk that <WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN>, that will <INSERT CONSEQUENCE HERE>

Do you want ML with that? → When to say yes and why to say no

### **Reducing uncertainty**



Horsetooth Hot Sauce Colorado

### How good does it have to be to be useful?

### How good can we make it with today's data and workflows?

5 Bounds on 'can be useful'-ness



### Bounds on do-ability

- Data coherence / fidelity / completeness
- Processing power / inference time
- Closed feedback loops
- Labelled data availability
- ...



Bounds on do-ability

useful'-ness



## Bounds on do-ability

Bounds on 'can be useful'-ness

Do you want ML with that? → When to say yes and why to say no

### All the overlooked work



Factor 1 Australia An extraordinary amount of work sits outside the vanilla data pipeline, let alone outside the algorithm.

Closed feedback loop: data quality	Data labeling
Closed feedback loop: label improvement	'Faster horse' syndrome
'Human in the loop' change resistance	Audience splitting























