







INCOME FIG. 9 INCOME FIL. 8 F16.10 COST COOL F16.11 FUCT UNFORTUNATELY Actists WHO CHOOSE A LIFE OF MATERIAL POVERTY ARE STILL OF TEN ENCUMB-ERED WITH STUDENT LOAN DEBT INCURRED MEETING SOCIETAL EDUCATIONAL EXPECTATIONS (COLLEGE) AND PURSUING ADVANCED DEGREES AT EXPENSIVE (NOT NECESSARILY WELL-REGARDED) MFA PROGRAMS TO COMPETE FOR A LIMITED NUMBER OF ART WORLDTM AND ACADEMIC OPPORTUNITIES. (FIG 12)

FROM WHICH OTHERS PROFOT GREATLY, W.A.G.E. RECOGNIZES AN INHERENT EXPLOITATION AND DEMANDS COMPENSATION.6

A CONCLUSION : IN THE U.S. MOST Notiste ARE DEPENDENT ON A MARKET. NOT SUBSIDIES, THAT REWARDS VERY FEW OF THEM

WITH HIGH PRICES CREATING A SPECULATIVE ASSET-CLASS BASED STAR SYSTEM WITHOUT A STABLE MIDDLE CLASS. THIS LOOKS A LOT LIKE FIGURE 16, LIKE INCOME INEQUALITY.

FOOTNOTES: 1. FIGURES 1-12 ARE BASED ENTIRELY ON PERCEPTION. 2 WHY THE W.A.L.E. SURVEY IS IMPORTANT. 3. ABBING HANS. THE VALUE OF ART 4. W.A.G.E SURVEY RESULTS FIGURES 13-16 5. COMPOSITE RESPONSE FROM DOUCHE BAGS. WILLIAM POWHIDA FOR W.A.G.E ZO12 6. WWW. WAGEFOR WORK, COM 7. TO BE CONTINUED

A SELECTION OF **ANONYMOUS COMMENTS FROM** THE W.A.G.E. SURVEY:

>> Artists deserve a fee, not just "publicity."

>> As an artist I incurred all costs for travel, production, installation, shipping-etc. At the time of the exhibition project the organization (Creative Time) raised 1 million dollars by fund-raising but still did not pay artist fees

>> Every non-profit space I've worked with in the past five years has been really wonderful and I have received some form of honorarium. Much more humane than commercial spaces. The Kitchen is the best. THE BEST. PS1 (solo too) is on the worse side. NYSCA is great for requiring these venues to pay a fee.

>> FREE means useless for society, and we're not.

>> I actually received \$23.50, I believe. This was a symbolic wage based on the amount that artists were paid by the WPA for a week of work under the Federal Project Number One program during the 1930s.

>> I didn't receive any payment for participation and in addition, the work was damaged during the opening due to little to no invigilation on behave of the institution and its curators. The institution, although, feeling terribly guilty, didn't offer compensation for the damaged work until I emailed them a series of stern emails expressing my disappointment and reminding them of the obligations institutions have to the artists they use to gain funding

>> I enjoyed showing at CUE but their preparator staff has been significantly reduced in the past few years and I had little help installing and no help deinstalling.

>> I had different experiences at different institutions. 500 dollars is the most I have been paid for a live performance and I had to use that money to pay my musicians. So in the end I did not earn anything.

>> I have had work in many shows that there was no pay for at all.

>> The the overall honorarium T received was considered to cover my per diem, installation fee, and lecture fee.

>> I negotiated aggressively for a \$150 screening fee which still has not been paid. Was not invited to exhibition opening for VIPs and not sent a catalog.

>> I performed at X-Initiative for Performa 09 and received ZERO funding from either organization. However, I raised \$8000 myself and was awarded a \$900 emergency grant.

>> I recently moved from London to NYC but the problem is the same there, (and all over). Artists don't seem to get paid for their work so I would like to support W.A.G.E.

>> I screened a film and spoke on a panel the same evening. I was pleasantly surprised when Apex handed me a check. Their attitude was that supporting artists, at least in that context, was normal for them. I told them I wished it was normal for everyone else.

>> i spent money and time on the de-installation of the previous show at white box, the installation of the show i participated in, my show (materials, time, etc.), and the deinstall of my show.

>> I think there should be a detailed question about the ratio of honorarium to size of project and also ratio of installation/ production to size of project or what the artist ended up paying to exhibit/produce. Otherwise it seems that Sculpture Center's \$100 fee or minimal help w/ exhibition costs seems fair when really my work was large and labor intensive.

>> I was designing and building a set for a performance, a one day event with many artists and curators and writers involved, for two weeks of work i got \$100

>> I was not compensated in any way for my exhibition, nor did I have any assistance installing, de-installing or documenting my piece... sad to say.

>> I was promised a stipend of \$200, but never received it. When I followed up about payment, I was

>> I've also exhibited at the Queens Museum of Art, BAM, Governor's Island and Galapagos and didn't receive any kind of compensation.

>> I've exhibited twice at Wave Hill. The first time was in 2006 for the show Garden Improvement. The second time was a year or two later for the show called Ornamental Instincts. I received a \$1500 honorarium of Garden Improvement and \$750 for Ornamental Instincts. The only other time I received any kind of payment for showing at a nonprofit was when I was in a show at Dorsky Gallery Curatorial Projects in Long

Island City and participated in a panel discussion. I wasn't paid to be in the show, but I did receive a hundred dollars or so for serving on the panel.

If we ask for payment, they wor exhibit us at all. Unfortunately some suppose 'non-profit' galleries, e.g. White Box, are asking payment from artists to be exhibited!

>> In between the hustle of trying to get paid any way I can, I am looking for new ways to get paid for being an artist.

>> Indeed we need to change this.

>> It is quite astonishing to be actually faced with the fact that barely any of my labors as an artist are recompensed. I support my studio practice through teaching (which I also consider to be a part of my studio practice) but still, the realization of how undervalued I am as an artist is profound!

>> Just to clarify, there was a flat fee to cover all expenses, including an artist's fee. However, the expenses were more than the fee, and there was consequently no artists' fee. This was not from going over budget. The project was on budget, but only a small fee was available.

>> Maybe you can work on getting artists paid for commercial work also? It is all a scam creativity for free.

>> My expenses were covered because I conceptualized the performance so that its costs would not be more than the honorarium. Given more money the work might have been a little different. The piece also referenced this condition. Basically it was an audition for a work that could have taken place in the given framework. I felt that the \$300 the museum offered was only enough to pay for an audition, definitely not a finished piece.

>> My money was a commission fee for the performance. It was a dance performance and the commission fee covered less than half of the

>> Performa only provides financial support to approx 6 artists within a festival that includes hundreds.

>> Please consider including this information: I have earned a master's degree in Landscape Architecture and am working in Brooklyn as an unpaid intern for a non-profit Architecture group. My living expenses are around \$1200/ mo to be here to do this work. I work collaboratively on submissions to architecture competitions with other unpaid interns who are also educated with master's degrees in architecture. The groups work is

being considered for exhibition at the MOMA and internationally.

>> Please develop a nation-wide survey. Folks in the outlands are getting screwed, too!

>> Position of artists in this society is not a happy one..other cultures respect art & artists.. this culture reveres money!

>> PS1 is a disaster for artists

>> re-inbursement for expenses certainly would be a re-freshing experience, artists are not treated with respect unless they are represented by a heavy-duty gallery.

>> Receiving installation funds was not a given, and only took place after I refused to install without

>> so much great media exposure

>> Spent several thousand dollars on the exhibition.

>> The curators never returned my

>> The director at the time said I would be reimbursed for up to \$200 of my installation costs, after repeated requests were ignored, i

>> the fee did not cover all of my materials. it also did not cover work i had to do to the space before it was ready to use.

>> The group was mixed USA and Dutch artists. A show was the curator's initiative. We had to pay 6,000 for one month exhibition space and (very little) technical support.

>> The honorarium I received was

>> The museum wanted a piece from me and continuously pleaded with me for a piece but they were unwilling to pay a single cent for the work, claiming poverty. They had just spent many millions putting up a new building for the collection. They continued to call me for over a year and I finally relented when they said they would make my piece the center piece of part of the collection with a permanent place in the entry to the museum. They gave me nothing for the piece or for exhibiting in a big important exhibition.

>> The Spring show at Socrates also included using their outdoor facilities to produce the work and technical assistance, project budget and artist honorarium. This was the first time as an artist that I felt supported by an institution.

>> The Swiss Institute was a pleasure to work with.

>> They damaged my equipment, did not want to package the work for me even though I was out of the country when deinstallation happened, and ultimately didn't return the entire thing, i.e. a part was missing.

>> this was a collaborative show, the artist fee was 10% of the overall project budget, and that was divided between the collaborating artists.

>> This was a commission from the Jerome Foundation that was administered through Roulette Intermedium. They are an excellent organization and are really good with the artist fees thing even if it's not a commission and just a one-off performance.

>> We successfully argued to receive \$200 for payment of a group of 4 performers who were doing a 2.5 hour improv performance @ the museum.

>> We were expected to ship our own work and pay for it. when they returned my work it had been badly wrapped and 3 glass mirrors were broken, because they had not been padded, but bundled touching each other. when I called to get compensation I was offered a verbal apology and nothing more, even though the problem occurred clearly from mindless packing of the work. although I got a catalogue, I lost \$700 in damages and paid \$160 in shipping.

>> what kind of survey is this? i can't imagine what can be gained by this limited information, except to find out how widely your email was circulated... in terms of the last O--what constitutes "participate"? if an institution owns and artists work, does this qualify as participate, and does the purchase of the work constitute reimbursement? what if a sale occurred as a result of the exhibition? you should hire a pollster if you make another

>> Artists should get stipends to defray costs. Also, Governor's Island arts events are a public entertainment. The city should be giving compensation.

>> but they bought the work and they paid for framing. i call that compensation.

>> i asked for the assistance of 7 people to install the show i was participating in. neither i or any of the people working with me were paid for any work.

>> I found out only about 7 days before my shows (two performance/ screenings over two weekends) that I would not be paid. I had to bring my own equipment. On one of the afternoons the museum charged \$15/

person because there was a loud concert in the courtyard. They wouldn't accept artist passes or MoMA member discount prices. On the second weekend I found out that they had scheduled another performance at the same start time as me, and none of them were being paid either.

GROUP

MATH

\$1450

175

728.5% /400

\$1275 1175

> 7.285 100 *

PRICE

INCREASE

>> I wish my rent was lower and I did not have to pay so much rent.

>> I'm a veteran of scores of museum shows around the country and haven't received payment from a museum for participating in an exhibition since 1987, In fact, most of the museums who've acquired my work in the past 25 years have demanded it be donated for free or for a cost that doesn't even cover the cost of materials. The year the Jewish museum purchased my work, two other NYC museums acquired work by me and from the three acquisitions, T received enough money to cover the materials cost for one piece.

>> Many thanks to NMAI, what a wonderful institution and gem of New

>> PS1 did not give me any money but they did offer me space to make my work for a month. It was a huge studio with tons of sunlight and ${\tt I}$ had access from 9 -5pm everyday. That was generous I think.

>> super! fantastic... Go on like this! And yes, we can!

>> The museum did not pay my production expenses or offer compensation. I was put into a position where I had to sell my piece (a performance) to receive any sort of payment. I did not want to sell the work, but couldn't see anyway around it. Money was never discussed beforehand, and the curator was very elusive when the subject came up.

>> The New Museum is a Great Place for fictional rebellion much love from fuck you. Ps I mean it.

>> There were additional expenses that the Museum paid for, not covered in your survey. These included the restoration and preservation of two films, the blow up of a Super 8mm film to 16 mm, the purchase of several films for the museum collection. These alone would total more than another \$5000.

>> this was for a show at altria, the installation i did cost about \$2500- \$3000 cant remember exactly but i did get \$1500 from them

>> the work was already in the collection, why would i get paid?